Nietzsche on Time and History

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008





Nietzsche on Time and History

Edited by Manuel Dries

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

Walter de Gruyter · Berlin · New York

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

Printed on acid-free paper which falls within the guidelines of the ANSI to ensure permanence and durability.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN 978-3-11-019009-0

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

© Copyright 2008 by Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, 10785 Berlin, Germany. All rights reserved, including those of translation into foreign languages. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in Germany Cover design: Martin Zech, Bremen. Printing and binding: Hubert & Co GmbH & Co KG, Göttingen. If there is no goal in the whole of history of man's lot, then we must put one in: assuming, on the one hand, that we have need of a goal, and on the other that we've come to see through the illusion of an immanent goal and purpose. And the reason we have need of goals is that we have need of a will—which is the spine of us. 'Will' as the compensation of lost 'belief', i.e., for the idea that there is a divine will, one which has plans for us.

Friedrich Nietzsche, Nachlaß Summer 1886-Spring 1887, KSA 12, 6[9]

We are still growing continually, our sense of time and place, etc., is still developing.

Friedrich Nietzsche, Nachlaß April–June 1885, KSA 11, 34[124] authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

'Timeless' to be rejected. At a particular moment of a force, an absolute conditionality of the redistribution of all forces is given: it cannot stand still. 'Change' is part of the essence, and therefore so is temporality—which, however, just amounts to one more conceptual positing of the necessity of change.

Friedrich Nietzsche, Nachlaß May-July 1885, KSA 11, 35[55]



Acknowledgements

The essays in this volume were first presented at the 15th International Conference of the Friedrich Nietzsche Society of Great Britain and Ireland, held at Peterhouse, Cambridge, in September 2005. I wish to take this opportunity to thank delegates and all those who participated in this event for the debates and critical discussions that shaped the research presented here.

I would further like to thank the Faculty of Philosophy and the Department of German at Cambridge for providing generous financial support. I am much indebted to Raymond Geuss, Simon Blackburn, Margaret Clare Ryan, and the Executive Committee of the Friedrich Nietzsche Society for their advice during the various stages of the editing of this volume.

Two of the contributions in this book are either drawn from or have appeared in full elsewhere, and this material appears with permission and my thanks. Raymond Geuss' article was previously published in his collection of essays *Outside Ethics* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2005). It is reprinted here with permission of Princeton University Press. Lawrence J. Hatab's article is drawn in parts from the text of his book *Nietzsche's Life Sentence: Coming to Terms with Eternal Recurrence* (New York: Routledge University Press, 2005). I thank Routledge for permission to publish the essay.

The four excerpts of printed music of Wagner, Bizet, and Stravinsky in Jonathan R. Cohen's essay appear here with permission of Dover Publishing, Chester Music Limited (Music Sales) and Schott Music GmbH & Co. KG. Every effort has been made to trace and contact copyright holders. If there are any inadvertent omissions I apologize to those concerned and undertake to include suitable acknowledgements in future editions.

Finally, I would like to thank Walter de Gruyter Publishers for taking on this volume, Gertrud Grünkorn, Christoph Schirmer, and Jana Pokorny for their patient support, and Angela Blackburn for copyediting the final manuscript.



Contents

Notes on Contributors Abbreviations and Translations	XI XIII
Nietzsche's Critique of Staticism Introduction to Nietzsche on Time and History Manuel Dries	1
Part I: Time, History, Method	
Nietzsche's Cultural Criticism and his Historical Methodology <i>Andrea Orsucci</i>	23
Thucydides, Nietzsche, and Williams	35
Raymond Geuss The Late Nietzsche's Fundamental Critique of Historical Scholarship Thomas H. Brobjer authors copy with permission by WdG 2008 Part II: Genealogy, Time, Becoming	51
Nietzsche's Timely Genealogy: An Exercise in Anti-Reductioni Naturalism	ist 63
Tinneke Beeckman From Kantian Temporality to Nietzschean Naturalism R. Kevin Hill	75
Nietzsche's Problem of the Past	87
John Richardson Towards Adualism: Becoming and Nihilism in Nietzsche's Philosophy Manuel Dries	113
Part III: Eternal Recurrence, Meaning, Agency	
Shocking Time: Reading Eternal Recurrence Literally Lawrence J. Hatab	149
Suicide, Meaning, and Redemption	163
Paul S. Loeb Nietzsche and the Temporality of (Self-)Legislation Herman W. Siemens	191

Part IV: Nietzsche's Contemporaries

Geschichte or Historie? Nietzsche's Second Untimely Medi	tation
in the Context of Nineteenth-Century Philological Studies	213
Anthony K. Jensen	
'An Uncanny Re-Awakening': Nietzsche's Renascence of t	he
Renaissance out of the Spirit of Jacob Burckhardt	231
Martin A. Ruehl	
Part V: Tragic and Musical Time	
Tart V. Tragic and Musical Time	
	v 275
Metaphysical and Historical Claims in <i>The Birth of Tragedy Katherine Harloe</i>	v 275
Metaphysical and Historical Claims in The Birth of Tragedy	y 275 291
Metaphysical and Historical Claims in <i>The Birth of Tragedy Katherine Harloe</i>	, 2,6

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

Notes on Contributors

- TINNEKE BEECKMAN is postdoctoral researcher for the Fund of Scientific Research, Flanders. She works for the Department of Philosophy, University of Brussels, Belgium.
- THOMAS H. BROBJER is Associate Professor in the Department of the History of Science and Ideas at Uppsala University, Sweden.
- JONATHAN R. COHEN is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Maine in Farmington, USA.
- MANUEL DRIES is Research Fellow at Wolfson College and the Faculty of Philosophy, University of Oxford, UK.
- RAYMOND GEUSS is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Cambridge, UK.
- KATHERINE C. HARLOE is a Career Development Fellow in Classics at St. Anne's College University of Oxford, UKn by WdG 2008
- LAWRENCE J. HATAB is Louis I. Jaffe Professor of Philosophy at Old Dominion University, Virginia, USA.
- R. KEVIN HILL is Associate Professor of Philosophy at Portland State University, USA.
- ANTHONY K. JENSEN is a Visiting Assistant Professor in the Department of Philosophy at Xavier University in Cincinnati, Ohio, USA.
- PAUL S. LOEB is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Puget Sound, USA.
- ANDREA ORSUCCI is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Cagliari, Italy.
- JOHN RICHARDSON is Professor of Philosophy at New York University, USA.
- MARTIN A. RUEHL is University Lecturer of German at Sidney Sussex College, University of Cambridge, UK.
- HERMAN SIEMENS is University Lecturer in Philosophy at the University of Leiden. The Netherlands.



Abbreviations and Translations

Friedrich Nietzsche's published and unpublished writings (Nachlaß) are quoted according to the following abbreviations:

- A *The Anti-Christ*, cited by section number.
- AOM 'Assorted Opinions and Maxims' (vol. 2, pt 1, of *Human*, *All Too Human*), cited by section number.
- BAW *Historisch-kritische Gesamtausgabe. Werke*, ed. Hans Joachim Mette, 5 vols. (Munich: C. H. Beck, 1933–1940), cited by volume and page number.
- BAB *Historisch-kritische Gesamtausgabe. Briefe*, ed. Hans Joachim Mette, 4 vols. (Munich: C. H. Beck, 1933–1940), cited by volume and page number.
- BGE Beyond Good and Evil, icited by section humber 3 2008
- BT The Birth of Tragedy, cited by section number and KSA page number.
- CV 'Five Prologues to Five Unwritten Books', cited by number and KSA page number.
- CW The Case of Wagner, cited by section number.
- D Daybreak, cited by section number.
- EH *Ecce Homo*, cited by section heading and (when applicable) number.
- EI 'On the Future of Our Educational Institutions', cited by section number.
- GM On the Genealogy of Morality, cited by essay and section number.
- GS The Gay Science, cited by section number.
- HA *Human, All Too Human,* cited by volume and section number.
- CV 'Five Prefaces to Five Unwritten Books', cited by preface number and KSA page number.
- KGB *Briefwechsel. Kritische Gesamtausgabe*, ed. Giorgio Colli and Mazzino Montinari (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1975–), cited by volume and page number.
- KGW Werke. Kritische Gesamtausgabe, established by Giorgio Colli and Mazzino Montinari, ed. Wolfgang Müller-Lauter and Karl Pestalozzi (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1967–), cited by volume, part, and page number.

- KSA Sämtliche Werke. Kritische Studienausgabe, ed. Giorgio Colli and Mazzino Montinari (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1967–), cited by volume and page number. The Nachlaß is cited by date, KSA volume, notebook section, and fragment number.
- KSB Sämtliche Briefe. Kritische Studienausgabe Briefe, ed. Giorgio Colli and Mazzino Montinari (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1986–), cited by volume and page number.
- NCW Nietzsche contra Wagner, cited by section heading.
- OTL 'On Truth and Lying in a Non-Moral Sense', cited by KSA page number.
- PTAG 'Philosophy in the Tragic Age of the Greeks', cited by section number.
- TI Twilight of the Idols, cited by section heading and number.
- UM *Untimely Meditations*, cited by part and section number, and (when applicable) KSA page number.
- Z Thus Spoke Zarathustra, cited by part, section heading, and (when applicable) number.

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008 Note on Translations of Nietzsche's Works

The contributors to this volume have used different translations of Nietz-sche's texts, often modified by the individual contributor. At the end of each essay the reader will find a list of the translations used. Where no such list has been provided the contributor has relied exclusively on his or her own translations. All translations from Nietzsche's Nachlaß are usually by the individual contributors, although other translations have been consulted whenever possible, notably *The Will to Power*, trans. Walter Kaufmann and R. J. Hollingdale (New York: Random House, 1967), and *Writings from the Late Notebooks*, ed. Rüdiger Bittner, trans. Kate Sturge (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003).

Metaphysical and Historical Claims in The Birth of Tragedy

Katherine Harloe

What is *The Birth of Tragedy* about? From a contemporary critical perspective, the very attempt to pose this question may appear hopelessly naive. Even if the furthest reaches of the complex and varied history of the reception of Nietzsche's first book are ignored, debates among scholars over its coherence, content, and significance within Nietzsche's thought have shown no signs of abating, and Montinari's comment twenty-five years ago that 'the entire problem of interpretation of Nietzsche's philosophical firstling is still wide open' appears equally apposite today (Montinari 1980, p. 5). In this essay I wish to question an assumption which I believe has come increasingly to guide interpretation of The Birth of Tragedy, and which is common to many who hold very different substantive views of its content. This is the idea that it should be read primarily as a contribution to what was, admittedly, one of the major debates of German philosophy after Kant: that of the possibility of metaphysics. If this assumption is granted, the most important question to ask about Nietzsche's first book becomes whether or not he there asserts or denies the possibility of 'transcendent' knowledge of the ultimate nature of the world. Regardless of the substantive differences between the answers scholars have given to this question over the past few decades, agreement that The Birth of Tragedy is essentially an exercise in metaphysics has informed many influential readings.

Sometimes the assumption is very much a background presence in a discussion which focuses on different themes. Consider, for example, Alexander Nehamas' views as put forward in his book *Nietzsche: Life as Literature* (Nehamas 1985). His interpretation of Nietzsche places the doctrine of perspectivism centre-stage and is primarily concerned with the writings of the 1880s rather than the 1870s. *The Birth of Tragedy* is, however, mentioned in order to support the following observation:

Nietzsche seems to have believed that there are some ultimate facts, some noninterpretive truths, concerning the real nature of the world ... he denied that these facts could ever be correctly stated through reason, language, and science. Yet he also believed (and here the influence of Schopenhauer became dominant) that tragedy, primarily through the musically inspired, 'Dionysian' chorus, can intimate the final truth that the ultimate nature of the world is to have no orderly structure: in itself the world is chaos, with no laws, no reason, and no purpose. (Nehamas 1985, pp. 42–43)

The Birth of Tragedy is here invoked as a document of Nietzsche's early faith in the possibility of metaphysics, and is thereby distinguished from the later writings, in which 'Nietzsche comes to deny the very contrast between things-in-themselves and appearance which was presupposed by his discussion of tragedy' (Nehamas 1985, p. 43). The assumption does rather more work in motivating the influential, deconstructive readings of The Birth of Tragedy offered by Paul de Man and Philippe Lacoue-Labarthe (de Man 1979, pp. 79–102; Lacoue-Labarthe 1971). As Henry Staten has convincingly shown, it is because de Man interprets The Birth of Tragedy as an attempt to depict an 'ontological hierarchy', according to which the Dionysian is genetically prior to the Apollonian, that his verdict on it as a text that is logocentric—and his consequent deconstruction—can operate (de Man 1979, pp. 83, 85; Staten 1990, pp. 187-216). More recently, James I. Porter has argued against the view that any metaphysical thesis is asserted in The Birth of Tragedy and in favour of reading it as an attempt 'to mimic and challenge—through a mixture of parody, irony, implausibility, and logical circularity—the metaphysical banalities that the work superficially conveys' (Porter 2000a, p. 87). While his reconstruction of the content of Nietzsche's argument could not be more opposed to that of Nehamas or de Man, his reinterpretation of Nietzsche as an antimetaphysician nevertheless leaves the question of metaphysics in the foreground.

This first interpretative question is usually thought to be bound up closely with a second contested issue: the Schopenhauerianism of Nietz-sche's first book. The connection seems straightforward enough: *The Birth of Tragedy*'s elaboration of the Apollonian-Dionysian polarity conspicuously deploys Schopenhauerian language, and Schopenhauer's magnum opus, *The World as Will and Representation* (Schopenhauer 1969 [English]; 1949a and b [German])¹, offers a systematic metaphysics in the traditional sense of a set of interconnected claims about the ultimate nature of the world. We might, therefore, take *The Birth of Tragedy*'s Schopenhauerianism as an indicator of its metaphysical commitment: insofar as Nietzsche's position there may justly be characterized as Schopenhauerian, he is defending a metaphysical thesis. It is my contention that this apparently plausible inference is in fact mistaken, and rests upon an oversimplification of what 'Schopenhauer' could have represented for Nietzsche at the time of

¹ Translations from Schopenhauer's and Nietzsche's Nachlaß and letters are my own.

Katherine Harloe 277

writing *The Birth of Tragedy*. Appreciating this leads us to recognize that *The Birth of Tragedy* may be 'Schopenhauerian' yet not 'metaphysical' in any straightforward sense.

My argument to this effect will proceed by means of a critique of one of the most recent attempts to give an overarching interpretation of *The Birth of Tragedy*: the aforementioned reading of Porter. Porter's discussion is important as it exposes of some of the puzzles and difficulties that arise when the interpretative question with which I began is answered in the affirmative. He is correct to insist that certain aspects of *The Birth of Tragedy*'s 'narrative structure'—its language, imagery, and train of argument—call into question the notion that its author is 'uncritically enthralled to a metaphysics that ... [he] later abandoned' (Porter 2000a, p. 20). In reinterpreting *The Birth of Tragedy* as an 'attack on metaphysics' (ibid., p. 28), however, and equating this with an attack on Schopenhauer, Porter repeats what I suggest are a mistaken interpretative assumption and attendant oversimplification. By responding to his arguments, then, I hope to be able to indicate why both ways of answering the question of metaphysics in relation to *The Birth of Tragedy* miss what is really at issue.

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

It would, of course, be impossible to provide an adequate response to Porter in the course of this essay. This is not just because his reading of The Birth of Tragedy is based on an detailed and broad-ranging consideration of Nietzsche's notebooks and early philological writings, but also because he attributes to Nietzsche a deliberate strategy of what Quentin Skinner has termed 'oblique reference' (Skinner 1969, pp. 32-35). Put crudely, this is the writing of something one does not believe in order to disguise as well as to set out what one means to say. As Skinner points out, oblique strategies pose particular problems of interpretation, assessment of which requires close attention to the possible linguistic (textual) contexts of a particular work in order to decide whether its author is subverting or sustaining the ideas, generic conventions, topoi and so on, of his predecessors and contemporaries. Porter interprets The Birth of Tragedy as a subversive text; the immediate target of its critique is Wagnerian and Schopenhauerian metaphysics. My comments here are intended to draw attention to some aspects of the Schopenhauerian and Wagnerian linguistic

² In addition to Porter 2000a, the focus of my discussion here, this reading is extended in Porter 2000b.

context, overlooked by Porter, which I believe support a different interpretation.

One of the cornerstones of Porter's reading is his interpretation of the Dionysian as a 'pleat in the texture of appearance' (2000a, p. 49; see pp. 33-50, passim) and hence of metaphysics as something 'generated from within' appearance itself. Repeatedly in The Birth of Tragedy, Nietzsche seems to claim that the Dionysian is ontologically prior to the Apollonian—'the eternal and original artistic power that first calls the whole world of phenomena into existence' (BT 25, quoted in Porter 2000a, p. 36). This message is, however, undermined by passages such as the allusion to Lucretius in The Birth of Tragedy 1, which implies that all divinities, Dionysus included, are the product of dreams. Just as much as Apollo, then, who is explicitly associated with dreams and deception, the opening section of *The Birth of Tragedy* provides a hefty hint that Dionysus is illusory: an aspect of human psychology rather than a constituent of the deeper reality behind appearances. Nevertheless—and this is the flip-side of Porter's reading—Nietzsche tells us that such illusions cannot simply be done away with. They are the product of the deep-seated human need to project some

higher meaning onto existence with permission by WdG 2008

These are noteworthy observations, but do they, as Porter thinks, amount to a decisive move away from a Schopenhauerian or Wagnerian position? Let us consider the crucial passage where Nietzsche states that 'As Lucretius envisages it, it was in dream that the magnificent figures of the gods first appeared before the souls of men' (BT 1, KSA 1, p. 26). The sentence continues by quoting Wagner:

In dream the great image-maker saw the delightfully proportioned bodies of superhuman beings; and the Hellenic poet, if asked about the secrets of poetic procreation, would likewise have reminded us of dream and would have given an account much like that given by Hans Sachs in the *Meistersinger*:

My friend, it is the poet's task
To mark his dreams, their meaning ask.
Trust me, the truest phantom man doth know
Hath meaning only dreams may show:
The arts of verse and poetry
Tell nought but dreaming's prophecy. (ibid.)

In the following paragraph, which continues the theme of dreaming, we are referred to Schopenhauer:

Philosophical natures even have a presentiment that hidden beneath the reality in which we live and have our being there also lies a second, quite different reality; in other words, this reality too is a semblance, and Schopenhauer actually states that the mark of a person's capacity for philosophy is the gift of Katherine Harloe 279

feeling occasionally as if people and all things were mere phantoms or dreamimages. (ibid.)

Porter is, I think, correct to interpret these passages as implicating the Dionysian and the supposedly higher reality it symbolizes in 'appearances', but how are we to read the specific allusions to Wagner and Schopenhauer in this context?

The immediate Schopenhauerian allusion is to a passage from his Nachlaß,³ but the theme is treated at greater length in volume 2 of *The World as Will and Representation*, in a chapter tellingly titled 'On Man's Need for Metaphysics' (Schopenhauer 1969, vol. 2, pp. 160–187/1949b, pp. 175–209). There Schopenhauer talks of man as an *animal metaphysicum*, permanently afflicted by the desire for metaphysical knowledge. In the face of the evident suffering and misery of life, humans are compelled to wonder why the world exists. The desperate need for an answer to this question is, Schopenhauer says, the origin of all 'metaphysical' thought, both religious and philosophical:

Temples and churches, pagodas and mosques, in all countries and ages, in their splendour and spaciousness, testify to man's need for metaphysics, a need strong and ineradicable, which follows close on the physical. (1969, vol. 2, p. 162/1949b, p. 177)

The difference between religion and philosophy does not consist in the claim, common to both, to embody a truth beyond appearances, but rather in their mode of presentation. Religions provide a 'popular metaphysics' resting upon revelation, and can be true solely *sensu allegorico*. Philosophy, by contrast, appeals to thought and conviction and claims to be true *sensu proprio* (1969, vol. 2, pp. 166–168/1949b, pp. 183, 185). Nevertheless, both arise from humans' need, faced with the misery of life, to make 'metaphysical assumptions' about the existence of another world whose real character is separated by 'a deep gulf, a radical difference' from anything of which they can conceive (1969, vol. 2, p. 178/1949b, pp. 197, 198). Belief in metaphysical doctrines is, then, a human cognitive response to misery and helplessness in the face of existence, and both religion and philosophy, as forms of metaphysics, gain their content by a projection of the antithesis of the world of 'appearances' into an assumed beyond. In this

^{3 &#}x27;He who does not feel occasionally as if people and all things were mere phantoms or dream-images has no gift for philosophy. For it arises out of the contrast of individual things with the Idea of which they are the appearance' (Schopenhauer 1864, p. 295). An annotated copy of this work survives among Nietzsche's personal effects, although the date at which he purchased it is unknown (see Oehler 1942, p. 21).

chapter of *The World as Will and Representation*, Schopenhauer presents a view of the origins of metaphysical thought which is surprisingly similar to Porter's interpretation of the hidden message of *The Birth of Tragedy* 1.

The heavily annotated copy of *The World as Will and Representation* which survives among Nietzsche's personal possessions is part of the *Collected Works* edited by Julius Frauenstädt and published in 1873–1874, after the appearance of *The Birth of Tragedy* (Oehler 1942, p. 21). While there can be no doubt that Nietzsche read *The World as Will and Representation* extensively in the years 1865–1872, it is impossible to prove which chapters he studied most attentively. It is, however, extremely likely that he was familiar with the chapter discussed above, as it contains Schopenhauer's problematic and much-commented-upon claim that, unlike the systems of his predecessors, his metaphysics is *not* transcendent:

And although no one can recognize the thing-in-itself through the veil of the forms of perception, on the other hand everyone carries this within himself, in fact he himself is it; hence in self-consciousness it must be in some way accessible to him, although still only conditionally. Thus the bridge on which metaphysics passes beyond experience is nothing but just that analysis of experience into phenomenon and thing-in-itself in which I have placed Kant's greatest merit. For it contains the proof of a kernel of the phenomenon different from the phenomenon itself. It is true that this kernel can never be entirely separated from the phenomenon, and be regarded by itself as an ens extramundanum; but it is known always only in its relations and references to the phenomenon itself. The interpretation and explanation of the phenomenon, however, in relation to its inner kernel can give us information about it which does not otherwise come into consciousness. Therefore in this sense metaphysics goes beyond the phenomenon, i.e., nature, to what is concealed in or behind it (το μετά το φυσικόν), yet always regarding it only as that which appears in the phenomenon, not independently of all phenomenon. Metaphysics thus remains immanent, and does not become transcendent; for it never tears itself entirely from experience, but remains the mere interpretation and explanation thereof, as it never speaks of the thing-in-itself otherwise than in its relation to the phenomenon. This, at any rate, is the sense in which I have attempted to solve the problem of metaphysics, taking into general consideration the limits of human knowledge which have been demonstrated by Kant. (Schopenhauer 1969, vol. 2, pp. 182–183/1949b, pp. 203–204)

This claim was interrogated by Rudolf Haym in his 1864 essay on Schopenhauer, which Nietzsche read in 1866.

⁴ Nietzsche to Hermann Mushacke, 27 April 1866, KGB I 2, pp. 126–129; Nietzsche to Carl von Gersdorff, end-August 1866, KGB I 2, pp. 156–161 (see Barbera 1994).

Katherine Harloe 281

The likely linguistic contexts of the appeal of *The Birth of Tragedy* 1 to Wagner complement this picture of congruence between Nietzsche's arguments and Schopenhauerian themes. The passage Nietzsche quotes centres around the paradoxical notion of the 'truest phantom' or 'illusion' (*wahrster Wahn*), and is taken from Act III of *Die Meistersinger*, in which *Wahn* is a prominent theme. It is therefore relevant to consider Wagner's letter to Ludwig II of Bavaria (Wagner 1911 [German]/1995 [English]), published in 1873 under the title *Über Staat und Religion*, which Nietzsche read in manuscript in 1869. In this letter, Wagner combines a Schopenhauerian metaphysical standpoint with a lengthy analysis of political and religious ideas as forms of *Wahn*, necessary illusions:

Blindness is the world's true essence, and not Knowledge prompts its movements, but merely a headlong impulse, a blind impetus of unique weight and violence, which procures itself just so much light and knowledge as will suffice to still the pressing need experienced at the moment. So we recognize that nothing really happens but what has issued from this not far-seeing Will that answers merely to the momentarily-expressed need. (1995, p. 10/1911, p. 8)

Humans are the unwitting instruments of this blind striving for existence, and both patriotism (which induces them to place the ends of state above their own egoistic goals) and religion (which counsels resignation in the face of the wretchedness of existence) are ruses by which they are induced to serve the ends of Will. This outlook leads Wagner to give the following analysis of religious feeling:

Its inmost kernel is denial of the world—i.e., recognition of the world as a fleeting and dreamlike state reposing merely on illusion—and struggle for Redemption from it, prepared-for by renunciation, attained by Faith. In true Religion a complete reversal thus occurs of all the aspirations to which the State had owed its founding and its organising: what is seen to be unattainable here, the human mind desists from striving-for upon this path, to ensure its reaching by a path entirely opposite. To the religious eye the truth grows plain that there must be another world than this, because the inextinguishable bent-to-happiness cannot be stilled within this world, and hence requires another world for its redemption. What, now, is that other world? So far as the conceptual faculties of human Understanding reach, and in their practical application as intellectual Reason, it is quite impossible to gain a notion that shall not

⁵ I am thinking in particular of Hans Sachs' famous *Wahn-monologue* at the end of act III, scene 1. The passage Nietzsche cites is from the beginning of act III, scene 2

⁶ See Barbera 1994, p. 219 (no. 4). As late as 1873, Nietzsche thought fit to praise this work of Wagner's as 'in the highest sense "edifying" (Nietzsche to Gersdorff, 2 March 1873, KSB II 3, p. 131).

clearly show itself as founded on this selfsame world of need and change: wherefore, since this world is the source of our unhappiness, that other world, of redemption from it, must be precisely as different from the mode of cognisance whereby we are to perceive that other world must be different from the mode which shews us nothing but this present world of suffering and illusion. (1995, pp. 23–24/1911, pp. 20–21, emphasis mine)

Religious feeling is awesome in nature—Wagner calls it 'wonder-working' (wunderwirkend) and 'sublime' (erhaben) (1995, p. 25/1911, p. 21), but is nonetheless illusion for all that. In explicitly associating religious thought with illusion and dream, Wagner goes further than Schopenhauer does in the passages I have quoted, but both the language and the content of this recognizably Schopenhauerian train of thought foreshadow those aspects of *The Birth of Tragedy* 1 that Porter emphasizes.⁷

If Porter's argument that the Dionysian or the metaphysical originates as the compensatory fantasy of needy and suffering human beings is granted, it seems nevertheless that the elaboration of these thoughts in the opening sections of *The Birth of Tragedy* draws considerably on Schopenhauer's treatment of the same theme. It is, moreover, not merely Nietzsche's account of the *origins* of metaphysics that is Schopenhauerian in tenor. His discussion of the *resurgence* of the need for metaphysics in his contemporary era is also redolent of Schopenhauer. According to Nietzsche, this need is provoked anew by the eventual bankruptcy of the optimistic, 'Socratic' belief that science can provide a fully satisfactory explanation of the world (see especially BT 15, 18). The second half of Schopenhauer's chapter 'On Man's Need for Metaphysics' is likewise devoted to an extensive and scathing discussion of the ambitions of science to explain the world:

Naturalism, or the purely physical way of considering things, will never be sufficient, it is like a sum in arithmetic that never comes out. Beginningless and endless causal series, inscrutable fundamental forces, endless space, beginningless time, infinite divisibility of matter, and all this further conditioned by a knowing brain, in which alone it exists just like a dream and without which it vanishes—all these things constitute the labyrinth in which naturalism leads us incessantly round and round ... In fact, even if a man wandered through all the planets of all the fixed stars, he would still not have made one step in *metaphysics*. On the contrary, the greatest advance in *physics* will only

The connection between metaphysical 'knowledge' and dreams is treated at length in Schopenhauer's essay on spirit-seeing (Schopenhauer 1960 [German]/1974 [English]). This discussion inspired Wagner's 1870 centenary essay on Beethoven, which Nietzsche praises in the Preface to BT and in section 16 (KSA 1, pp. 23, 104).

Katherine Harloe 283

make the need for a system of *metaphysics* felt more and more, since the corrected, extended, and more thorough knowledge of nature is the very knowledge that always undermines and finally overthrows the metaphysical assumptions that till then have prevailed. (Schopenhauer 1969, vol. 2, pp. 177–178/1949b, pp. 196–197)

Like Nietzsche, Schopenhauer is disdainful of the ambitions of science, and believes that it will eventually refute itself, provoking a return to metaphysical speculation. Not only are there general thematic parallels, but the very terms in which Nietzsche expresses the cultural importance of Socratism echo the cosmic imagery of Schopenhauer's contemptuous dismissal.⁸

An element of continuity with Schopenhauerian ideas is also, I would argue, implied by the imagery of veiling that Nietzsche uses to depict the insight offered by the Dionysian state:

Now, hearing this gospel of universal harmony, each person feels himself to be not only united, reconciled or merged with his neighbour, but one with him, as if the veil of maya had been torn apart, so that mere shreds of it flutter before the mysterious primordial unity. (BT 1, KSA 1, pp. 29–30; see too BT 15, KSA 1, pp. 98–99; BT 24, KSA 1, p. 150)

KSA 1, pp. 98–99; BT 24, KSA 1, p. 150) authors copy with permission by WdG 2008 Porter points out that the veracity of this vision is far from assured, suggesting that the subjunctive character of the 'as if'-clause and the continued fluttering of the tattered veil imply that the Dionysian vision does not provide immediate insight into the beyond (2000a, pp. 51–52). He concludes that this represents a critique of Schopenhauer; but again, there are Schopenhauerian precedents. We have already seen Schopenhauer speak of 'the veil of the forms of perception' in *The World as Will and Representa-*

⁸ 'For the first time, thanks to this universality, a common network of thought was stretched over the whole globe, with prospects of encompassing even the laws of the entire solar system' (BT 15, KSA 1, p. 100). They also contain echoes of Wagner. Nietzsche characterizes the Socratic instinct for scientific knowledge as a 'sublime metaphysical illusion' (BT 15, KSA 1, p. 99) and comments that without its influence, human energy would have been 'applied instead to the practical, i.e., egotistical goals of individuals and nations'. The 'wars of extinction' that would have ensued would have led to a generalized and suicidal pessimism of the kind which, Nietzsche claims, 'has existed throughout the entire world, wherever art has not appeared in one form or other, especially as religion or science, to heal and to ward off the breath of that pestilence' (BT 15, KSA 1, pp. 100; see also p. 102). Wagner had likewise argued that patriotic or political Wahn is still too close to individual egoism to be stable, and will collapse into war unless supplemented by the illusions of faith (1995, pp. 15-19/1911, pp. 12-14). Nietzsche's account of the way science functions as a form of illusion is thereby aligned with Wagner's discussion of religion.

tion II, chapter 17, when wrestling with the thorny issue of human beings' 'inner' experience of the thing-in-itself (1969, vol. 2, pp. 182–183, quoted above). He resorts to this metaphor again in the following chapter, this time to confess the impossibility of an unshrouded view:

Meanwhile it is to be carefully noted, and I have always kept it in mind, that even the inward observation we have of our own will still does not by any means furnish an exhaustive and adequate knowledge of the thing-in-itself ... in this inner knowledge, the thing-in-itself has indeed to a great extent cast off its veils, but still does not appear quite naked ... Accordingly we have to refer the whole world of phenomena to that one in which the thing-in-itself is manifested under the lightest of all veils, and still remains phenomenon only insofar as my intellect, the only thing capable of knowledge, still always remains distinguished from me as the one who wills, and does not cast off the knowledge-form of *time* even with inner perception. (Schopenhauer 1969, vol. 2, pp. 197, 198/1949b, pp. 220–221)

These passages are taken from the second volume of *The World as Will and Representation*, which was added in the second edition of 1844 and forms a supplement to volume 1. There is no question that such statements are hard to reconcile with the confidence with which the thesis that the world is Will is presented in the first edition of Schopenhauer's work. It is nevertheless evident that the terms of what Porter sees a radical critique of Schopenhauer are available from Schopenhauer himself.

I have, I hope, succeeded in showing that allusions to these particular chapters of *The World as Will and Representation* are prominent at several points in *The Birth of Tragedy*. Nietzsche's selective allusions may fairly be said to emphasize this self-critical moment in Schopenhauer, but do they thereby amount to a wholesale rejection of whatever he may have understood the elder philosopher to stand for? An alternative interpretation is suggested by yet another apologia for the use of metaphysical language—this time from Nietzsche's own notebooks. The passage is from an early draft of Fragment 10[1], which survives labelled by Nietzsche as

⁹ The imagery of the veil has a long pedigree in German philosophical aesthetics, evoked by Kant Goethe, Schiller, Novalis, Hegel, and others. See Gombrich 1985 for some examples. The implication is always double-edged: a veil conceals as much as it reveals. It is this tradition that Nietzsche taps into with his remarks about the veiling and unveiling in BT 15 and in *The Gay Science* (GS Preface to the second edition 4, KSA 3, pp. 351–352; GS 57, KSA 3, pp. 421–422).

Katherine Harloe 285

'Fragment of an extended form of "The Birth of Tragedy" written in the first weeks of the year 1871' (KSA 7, pp. 333ff.):

If I ventured in passing to speak of genius and of appearance as if a knowledge that exceeded every bound stood at my disposal and as if I were able to see out of the pure, great eye of the world, in what follows it will be explained that in using this figurative language [Bildersprache] I do not believe that I have stepped beyond anthropomorphic bounds. But who could endure existence without such mystical possibilities? (KSA 14, p. 541)

Porter states that Nietzsche's position in *The Birth of Tragedy* is 'not only that metaphysics is a fictional enterprise worthy of being shattered once and for all *but also that its resurrection is an inescapable and constitutional need deeply implanted in human nature*' (Porter 2000a, p. 9; emphasis mine). Although he recognizes that Nietzsche portrays metaphysical speculation as a matter of human need, his overall discussion of *The Birth of Tragedy* suggests that its argument is weighted heavily towards critique. In the passage above, however, we see Nietzsche underlining in poignant terms a conclusion that we have also seen Schopenhauer and Wagner emphasize: the need for a myth such as the metaphysical provides in order to endure existence. Nietzsche's acknowledgement of this need together with its Schopenhauerian precedent, raises the possibility that *The Birth of Tragedy* deploys Schopenhauer not in parodic fashion, as a weapon with which to shatter all such illusions, but rather as a means of developing them in a new and superior form.

This interpretation also coheres with Nietzsche's comments about the work of Friedrich August Lange. Porter argues that it was reading Lange that caused Nietzsche to apostatize from Schopenhauer (Porter 2000a, pp. 5, 9–16). Yet, in the same August 1866 letter to Gersdorff in which he praises Lange's *History of Materialism* as 'splendid and highly instructive' (KGB I 2, p. 159), Nietzsche draws a different conclusion: 'You see that even in the face of this most exacting critique our Schopenhauer remains for us, indeed, he almost becomes us even more.' What Lange's arguments show is, according to Nietzsche, that philosophy can only be a form of art, of which none other than Schopenhauer furnishes the highest example:

If philosophy is art, then even Haym may hide from Schopenhauer; if philosophy should be edifying, then I at least know no philosopher who edifies more than our Schopenhauer. (KGB I 2, p. 160)

My suggestion is therefore that Nietzsche draws upon Schopenhauer in *The Birth of Tragedy* as part of his attempt to foster a new form of Wagnerian

¹⁰ Note the echo in Nietzsche's 1873 praise of Wagner's 'On State and Religion' as 'highly "edifying", quoted in n. 6 above.

Wahn: an acknowledgement and indulgence of the need to find a higher meaning in existence, however illusory that meaning may be. This project may seem opposed to Schopenhauer's goal of presenting a system of metaphysics in the grand style, yet there are sufficient counter-currents in The World as Will and Representation to enable Nietzsche to enlist his predecessor in the service of this enterprise. Schopenhauer claims that his philosophy embodies a set of 'truths' (1969, vol. 2, p. 185/1949b, p. 206), yet not in the sense that it presents a system of conclusions derived deductively from true premises, nor because it relies on some form of privileged intuition. Rather, it is true in virtue of providing, in contrast to science, an 'understanding' (Verständniß), 'interpretation' (Auslegung), or 'deciphering' (Entzifferung) of the world of phenomena which is, so he claims, rich, satisfying and complete (1969, vol. 2 pp. 184-186/1949b, pp. 204-205). It is such a humanly satisfying interpretation of existence that, according to the arguments of *The Birth of Tragedy*, only art can provide. Nietzsche picks up on those elements of The World as Will and Representation which can be redeployed creatively in order to support this insight. The presentation of Schopenhauer which results from his refashioning is, admittedly, partial and one-sided. It may nevertheless be concluded that The Birth of Tragedy extends Schopenhauerian themes and concerns in order to hammer its message home.

The Birth of Tragedy's co-option of Schopenhauer extends further than this, however. Nietzsche does not stop at drawing upon his predecessor's arguments in order to announce the crisis of science; he also dramatizes this crisis and casts Schopenhauer in a leading role. He does so by constructing a narrative which has its beginnings in sixth-century Greece, and which locates Schopenhauer—along with Aeschylus, Sophocles, Euripides, Socrates, Kant, and Wagner—at points along a cultural development that will culminate in a new form of tragic art. This chronology is putatively historical, but insofar as it may be characterized as a form of illusion that aims, via a representation of the past, to generate a constellation of

¹¹ This comment assumes that the 'rebirth' of tragedy Nietzsche envisages in *The Birth of Tragedy* is, indeed, a Wagnerian Renaissance. Although this has sometimes been questioned, it still seems to me the best way to make sense not only of *The Birth of Tragedy* but of the references to Wagner in Nietzsche's notes and letters of the early 1870s. The scope of the rebirth Nietzsche has in mind is, however, far too broad and indeed open-ended to encompass Wagner alone. Although Wagner is identified with the fulfilment of this ideal in *The Birth of Tragedy*, this is compatible with the view that he later retracted this association and, as occurred in *Ecce Homo*, disavowed *The Birth of Tragedy*'s Wagnerianism without disowning the 'hope' that speaks out from the work (EH III BT 1 and 4).

beliefs and attitudes that legitimate a particular form of cultural activity, it might more aptly be termed ideological. Its function is to alert its readers to the climacteric shift taking place in European culture and to raise their hopes for tragedy's rebirth.

Nietzsche's most general verdict on Schopenhauer's significance within this narrative comes in *The Birth of Tragedy* sections 18 and 19, when he is describing the disintegration of the Socratic-optimistic outlook:

The catastrophe slumbering in the womb of theoretical culture is gradually beginning to frighten modern man ... Meanwhile great natures with a bent for general problems have applied the tools of science itself, with incredible deliberation, to prove that all understanding, by its very nature, is limited and conditional, thereby rejecting decisively the claim of science to universal validity and universal goals. Thanks to this demonstration it has been recognized for the first time that it is a delusion [Wahnvorstellung] to believe that we can penetrate to the innermost essence of things by following the chain of causality. The hardest-fought victory was won by the enormous courage and wisdom of Kant and Schopenhauer, a victory over the optimism which lies hidden in the nature of logic and which in turn is the hidden foundation of our culture ... This insight marks the beginning of a culture which I now dare to describe as a uttagic culture. Its most important feature (ies in putting wisdom in place of science as its highest goal. (BT 18, KSA 1, pp. 117–118)

Let us recall then, how Kant and Schopenhauer made it possible for the spirit of German philosophy ... to destroy scientific Socratism's contented pleasure in existence by demonstrating its limits, and how this demonstration ushered in an incomparably deeper and more serious consideration of ethical questions and art, one which can be defined as the conceptual formulation of Dionysiac wisdom. In what direction does this mysterious unity of German music and German philosophy point, if not towards a new form of existence, the content of which can only be guessed at from Hellenic analogies? (BT 19, KSA 1, p. 128)

In these remarks, Schopenhauer is lauded (alongside Kant) for having demonstrated the bankruptcy of the Socratic attempt to view the world as amenable to human understanding. The philosophers are not praised for their residual hope for a form of knowledge that transcends the bounds of experience, but rather because of the demonstration their arguments furnish of those very bounds. Although it is Socrates whom Nietzsche dubs 'the vortex and turning point of so-called world history' (BT 15, KSA 1, p. 100), in *The Birth of Tragedy* 18 and 19, Kant and Schopenhauer appear almost as important as actors on the world-historical stage. In finally discrediting Socratism, they clear the way for the replacement of corrosive scientism with a 'new form of existence': a renewed kind of artistic orientation to the world. Schopenhauer is significant in this story not as the last metaphysician, but rather as the philosopher who demonstrates the need for

a new myth and who anticipates its form.¹² In doing so, he merits praise as an augur of the rebirth of tragedy. His successor, both in this prophesying and in this anticipating, is Nietzsche himself.

This paper has tried to rehabilitate some claims about *The Birth of Tragedy* which may seem rather traditional: namely, the positive character of its appropriation of Schopenhauer and Wagner, and the importance of the (quasi-)historical structure of its argument. Being traditional does not, of course, amount to being mistaken, and I hope I have shown that such claims can be supported by crediting Nietzsche with a less naive reception of Schopenhauer than has sometimes been suggested. Nietzsche famously warns philosophers to be vigilant about the unnoticed and subtle commitments inherent in the grammar of our language (BGE 2, KSA 5, p. 54; TI "Reason" in Philosophy' 5, KSA 6, p. 78), but the manner in which the areas and positions of long-running debates come to be defined may occasionally be just as insidious. ¹³

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008

References

Barbera, Sandro, 1994, 'Ein Sinn und unzählige Hieroglyphen. Einige Motive von Nietzsches Auseinandersetzung mit Schopenhauer in der Basler Zeit', in: Tilman Borsche / Federico Gerratana / Aldo Venturelli (eds.), Centauren-Geburten. Wis-

¹² As Nietzsche emphasizes in BT 16, it is Schopenhauer's analysis of the representational and expressive capacity of music which also provides an intimation of the kind of art by means of which the crisis can be overcome. Schopenhauer's writings suggest that a work of art which combines music with images or action can represent 'the innermost kernel preceding all form, or the heart of things' (Schopenhauer 1949a, p. 311, quoted by Nietzsche, BT 16, KSA 1, p. 106). This is, of course, the kind of representation Nietzsche characterizes as *myth*: 'the symbolic image ... with the highest degree of significance' (BT 16, KSA 1, p. 107). Regrettably, space considerations preclude any further discussion of this aspect of Nietzsche's appropriation of Schopenhauer here.

¹³ The research for this paper was begun when I was a Junior Postdoctoral Fellow in the Institute of Greece, Rome and the Classical Tradition at the University of Bristol, UK. I am grateful to the Institute Board for funding my research and to the Bristol Classical Seminar for their responses to an early presentation. I also owe thanks to Martin Ruehl, Raymond Geuss, Mike Levene, and Thomas Brobjer for their comments and questions on my initial conference paper, and to Nicholas Jardine and Dawn Phillips for subsequent constructive criticism.

- senschaft, Kunst und Philosophie beim jungen Nietzsche, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, pp. 217–233.
- de Man, Paul, 1979, Allegories of Reading: Figural Language in Rousseau, Nietzsche, Rilke, and Proust, New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Gombrich, E. H., 1985, 'The Symbol of the Veil: Psychological Reflections on Schiller's Poetry', in: Peregrine Horden (ed.), Freud and the Humanities, London: Duckworth, pp. 75–109.
- Lacoue-Labarthe, Philippe, 1971, 'Le detour', in: Poétique, 5, pp. 53–76.
- Montinari, Mazzino, 1980, Nietzsche lesen, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Nehamas, Alexander, 1985, Nietzsche: Life as Literature, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Oehler, Max, 1942, Nietzsches Bibliothek, Weimar: Gesellschaft der Freunde des Nietzsche-Archivs.
- Porter, James I., 2000a, The Invention of Dionysus: An Essay on The Birth of Tragedy, Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Porter, James I., 2000b, Nietzsche and the Philology of the Future, Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1864, Aus Arthur Schopenhauer's handschriftlichem Nachlaß. Abhandlungen, Anmerkungen, Aphorismen und Fragmente, ed. Julius Frauenstädt, Leipzig: F. U. Brockhaus.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1949a, Die Welt als Wille und Vorstellung, Erster Band, in: Arthur Schopenhauer, Sämtliche Werke. Nach der ersten, von Julius Frauenstädt besorgten Gesamtausgabe neu bearbeitet, ed. Arthur Hübscher, vol. 3, Wiesbaden: Eberhard Brockhaus Verlagy with permission by WdG 2008.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1949b, Die Welt als Wille und Vorstellung, Zweiter Band, in: Arthur Schopenhauer, Sämtliche Werke, ed. Arthur Hübscher, vol. 4, Wiesbaden: Eberhard Brockhaus Verlag.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1960, 'Versuch über das Geistersehn und was damit zusammenhängt', in: Arthur Schopenhauer, Parerga und Paralipomena. Kleine philosophische Schriften, Erster Band, in: Arthur Schopenhauer, Sämtliche Werke, ed. Arthur Hübscher, vol. 5, Wiesbaden: Eberhard Brockhaus Verlag, pp. 239–329.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1969, The World as Will and Representation, trans. E. F. J. Payne, 2 vols., New York: Dover.
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 1974, 'Essay on Spirit-Seeing and Everything Connected Therewith', in: Arthur Schopenhauer, Parerga and Paralipomena: Short Philosophical Essays, trans. E. F. J. Payne, vol. 1, Oxford: Clarendon Press, pp. 225–309.
- Skinner, Quentin, 1969, 'Meaning and Understanding in the History of Ideas', in: History and Theory, 8, pp. 3–53.
- Staten, Henry, 1990, Nietzsche's Voice, Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Wagner, Richard, 1911, 'Über Staat und Religion', in: Richard Wagner, Sämtliche Schriften und Dichtungen. Volksausgabe, Sechste Auflage, vol. 8, Leipzig: Breitkopf und Härtel, pp. 3–29.
- Wagner, Richard, 1995, 'On State and Religion', in: Richard Wagner, Art and Politics, trans. William Ashton Ellis, Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, pp. 5–34.

Translations

The Birth of Tragedy, ed. Raymond Geuss, trans. Ronald Speirs, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.



Index rerum et nominum

authors copy with permission by WdG 2008



	amoral, 257, 261
A	amoralism, 239
Abel, G., 10, 18, 122, 132–134, 142–	anaesthesia, 124
143	anarchists, 174
Absichtlichkeit, 130	Anaxagoras, 137
absolute, V, 3–4, 10, 77, 114, 123–	Anderson, R. L., 176, 189
124, 128–129, 137–138, 155, 233,	Andler, C., 232, 246, 264
306	anglo-analytic, 11
Abstammungslehre, 28, 32	anomalous, 102, 120
abstraction, 49	anomaly, 14, 113
absurd, 69, 122, 155, 164–167, 187	anthropocentric, 135
acquaintance, 23, 214, 231	anthropological, 1, 8
activity, 53, 65, 72, 130, 179, 198,	anthropomorphic, 285
223–225, 235, 286	anti-humanist, 16, 233, 255, 264
acts of intending, 95	Antike, 266
actuality, 3, 79, 158	anti-liberal, 16, 233, 255
adaptation, 13, 28, 68, 70–72	antiquarian, 16, 51, 213-226
adaptive-pragmatic, 9	antiquity, 16, 43, 44, 215–226, 233,
Adorno, Th. W., 238, 264	240, 243, 246–249, 252, 259
adualism, 113–146.	Antisemitismus, 269
adualistic-dialetheic, 2, 9, 10-11	antistoricismo, 227
Aeschylus, 215, 219t 286's copy with perm	Apollonian, 159, 234, 276–278
aesthetic, 160, 186, 199, 218, 232,	appearance, 3–4, 24, 45, 79, 85, 120,
234, 239, 256, 306	125, 151, 155, 164, 193, 201, 220,
aestheticism, 243	231, 276–280, 284
affect, 71–72, 84, 97, 129, 304	approximation, 41, 121
affirmation, 14–15, 113, 139, 149,	Ardinghello, 233-234, 239, 267
151–155, 161, 166–167, 180, 183–	argument from anxiety, 8
184, 195, 199–202	aristocratic, 16, 139, 251-256
afterlife, 25, 165	Aristophanes, 38
Afterphilosophie, 247	Aristotle, 40, 47, 222
agency, 10, 16, 85, 96, 102, 108, 125,	art, 45, 103, 122, 140, 159, 160, 177,
248	201–202, 220, 234, 237–238, 243,
aggressive, 99, 258	248, 253, 256, 257, 258, 261, 263,
agon, 203–209	283, 285, 286, 287, 292, 295
agonal law, 209	artist, 55, 77, 121, 159, 201, 234, 238,
agonistic, 15, 17, 151, 153–154, 161,	257
260	artistic, 15, 38, 55, 104, 159, 160, 201,
ahistorical, 241, 247	222, 234–235, 256–258, 278, 287,
Ajax, 39	291
alchemy, 140	artworks, 122
Alcibiades, 37	ascetic, 15, 54-56, 97, 100-101, 107,
alienation, 196	138, 152, 164–166, 169–175, 181–
alternatives, 9, 12, 136–137, 142	182, 260
Altertumswissenschaft, 16, 213, 214	asceticism, 15, 26-27, 154, 166
Alteuropa, 236	Aschheim, S. E., 262, 264
altruism, 68, 136	Asian, 30
ambiguity, 1, 196–199	asymmetrical, 132
amor fati, 150	atemporal, 2, 80

atheist, 257	Bewusstsein, 198
Athenians, 42, 44	Biedermeier, 238
atom, 127, 129	Bildungsbürger, 240
atomistic, 196, 202, 209	Bildungstrieb, 123
Aufklärung, 269	Bildungsvereine, 254
Auflösungsprozess, 116	binary thinking, 3, 151
Augustine, 26–27, 155	biology, 23, 67, 71–72
Aunger, R., 72–73	Bismarck, 239, 241, 270–271
autopoesis, 3	Bizet, 37, 292–294
auto-sensitization, 4	Blackmore, S., 70–73
awareness, 8, 79, 83, 106, 127, 133,	blame, 239
189	blasphemous, 239, 257
	Bluhm, H., 249, 265
B	body, 25, 32, 101, 125–126, 131, 160,
Röchtold H 254 264	167, 194, 209, 304
Bächtold, H., 254, 264	Boeckh, A., 213–217, 221, 223, 226
backwards-willing, 185–188	Boeschenstein, H., 255, 265
Baldwin, G., 233, 264	Bohley, R., 251, 265
Barbera, S., 198, 210, 280–281, 288	Borcherdt, H., 234, 265
Barkow, J., 64, 73	Borchmeyer, D., 242, 244, 249, 265
Barth, H., 232, 265	Borgia, C., 233, 236, 238–239, 250,
Bauer, S., 248, 254, 265, 270	=
Baumgarten, F. F., 263, 265 copy with perm Baumkultus, 25, 32	Boscovich, R., 82
beauty, 24, 199, 233, 262–263	both-and, 11
becoming, 3–8, 14, 75, 85, 91, 113–	bourgeois, 234–240, 254, 260
142, 151–155, 161, 164, 170, 191,	Bowie, A., 121, 143
198, 200, 217, 224–226, 231, 250	Boyd, R. 72, 74
Beeckman, T., IX, XI, 13, 18, 63–74	Brahmanistic, 28
Beethoven, 243, 244, 282	brain, 11, 13, 75–80, 282
being, 3–7, 14, 25, 42, 52, 54, 57, 64,	Brecht, 234, 265
67–68, 71, 75–76, 78, 81, 83, 85,	Brobjer, IX, XI, 13, 18, 51–60 , 219,
89, 91, 102–103, 106, 108–110,	227, 232, 241–242, 265, 288
113–142, 151, 154, 159, 161, 167,	Brose, K., 232, 265
169, 172, 173, 176–178, 182, 184,	Brown, G., 67, 73
186, 187, 191–194, 197–200, 203,	brutality, 237, 239
215, 217, 227, 243, 246, 261, 278,	Buddhism, 28, 127, 182
285, 288, 302, 305	Bullen, B., 235, 266
benevolence, 109	Burckhardt, J., X, 16, 19, 30–32, 52,
Benz, E., 251, 265	58, 200, 231–272
Berg, Ch., 254, 265	Bürgerhumanismus, 240
Bergk, Th., 215	burials, 25
Bergmann, P., 241, 244, 265	Bursian, C., 216, 227
Berkeley, 35, 49, 50, 82, 84, 264, 272	Byzantium, 91
Berkowitz, P., 181, 189	•
Berlin, I., 40	C
Bernhardy, G., 216, 229	cadence, 295, 296
Bertram, E., 249, 265	Calder III, W. M., 227–228
besinnen, 4–5	Callebaut, W., 71, 73
Bewegungen, 269	Campioni, G., 213, 227, 242–245, 266
	F,,, 2, 2, 2

Index 313

Camus, A., 15, 163–168, 171, 183,	compassion, 139
186–189	competing powers, 207
Cancik, H., 241, 244, 254, 266	competition, 101, 205
Carmen, 292–295, 301	complementarity, 307
Cartesian, 8, 10, 48, 123	completeness, 204
Catholic, 231, 250–251	complexity, 14, 26, 29, 67, 114, 131,
causal, 8, 42, 46, 93, 97, 129, 132,	135, 217
152, 155, 185, 282	composition, 3, 243, 296
causality, 75–76, 84, 97, 125, 130, 287	Conant, J., 195, 210
Cavell, S., 195, 210	conceptual, V, 28, 114, 150, 160, 281,
centripetal, 202	287
Cesara Borgia aasthaticism 262	condottieri, 233, 237, 256
Cesare Borgia aestheticism, 262	confederation, 127
C-fibres, 11	conflict, 12, 35, 42, 48, 88, 111, 115,
chaos, 2–3, 140, 178, 276, 297, 302,	153, 196, 199, 202, 208, 209, 217,
304	307
chemical, 9, 125	conformity, 194, 201
Choephoren, 219	conscience, 92, 99, 136, 193
choral, 160, 244, 292	conscious, 4, 54, 69, 79, 92, 95, 99,
chorus, 222, 275	106, 129, 130–134, 142, 159, 165–
Christentum, 269–270, 272	167, 185, 187–188
christianisme, 25,333 hors copy with perm	consciousness, 4, 19, 11, 46, 72, 76,
Christianity, 12, 24–31, 47, 94, 239,	93, 123, 124, 128, 134, 165–166,
243, 250, 259–260	181, 185, 187, 280
chronophile, 7	conspirieren, 126
chronophobia, 4	contentious contentment, 140
Cicero, 44	contest, 151, 203-207, 227
circularity, 155, 185, 276	continental, 11, 135
civilization, 12, 24, 25-30, 170, 233-	continuum, 30, 125, 131–132
264	continuum-relations, 134
Clark, M., 33, 111, 124, 143, 144, 188,	contradiction, 5, 9, 15, 52, 65, 73, 91,
189	105–106, 166, 191, 207
classic, 47, 71, 258, 292, 301	Conway, D., 195, 204, 210
classical philology, 89, 213, 241, 244,	Corcyra, 44–45
252	Cosmides, L., 73
coercive, 207–208	cosmological, 14-15, 149, 154, 160,
coexistence, 12, 31–32	185
cognition, 10, 48, 78, 80, 81, 82, 93,	cosmos, 59, 82, 186
95, 96, 99, 134, 154, 156, 279	counter-force, 14, 113, 117–118, 135,
Cohen, J. R., X–XI, 17–18, 33, 291 –	141, 151, 209
307	counter-ideal, 15, 57, 169, 171–172,
Cohen, M. D., 215, 228	180, 182
cohesion, 94, 139	Craig, G., 234, 266
comedy, 260	creative, 17, 127, 156–159, 175–176,
commands, 99, 165, 185, 189	180, 192, 196, 201, 205, 208, 223–
	224, 247, 295
communism, 254	creativity, 73, 156, 206
Communist Manifesto, 235	
community, 16–17, 25–27, 97, 135–	creator, 85, 258
136, 139, 201, 209	creator-god, 48

crime, 198, 256, 261	destructive, 18, 40, 119, 170, 182, 196,
critical history, 51	198, 222, 304
crystallization, 16, 233, 292, 297	Detwiler, B., 256, 266
cultivation, 46	Deussen, P., 28, 32
cult, 25–26	diachronic, 127, 192, 247
culture, 16, 26, 31–32, 39, 45, 47, 51,	dialectical, 3
53, 58, 63–65, 70–72, 90, 104, 119,	dialetheic, 10
150, 158, 196, 199, 201, 203, 222,	Dialetheism, 9
226, 233, 235, 240–249, 252–256,	difference-preserving, 11
262–264, 286, 287	differential, 70, 138
custom, 94, 97, 99, 170, 191	dilemma, 103, 135–136
cycle, 188–189	Dionysian, 76, 124, 141, 159–160,
cyclic repetition, 154–157	219, 234, 262, 275–283
eyene repeation, 13 (13)	Dionysus, 38, 278, 289
D	directednesses, 95
de Pomeno E 226	diremption, 196
da Romano, E., 236	disembodied, 185, 264
dancing, 65, 159, 295, 304	disgregation, 129, 135, 196, 209
Danto, A. C., 123, 128, 143	disjunction, 3, 15, 120–121
Darwin, Ch., 13, 28, 64–73, 89, 93	dissatisfaction, 156, 164
Darwinism, 53, 66–72, 87, 92–93, 111	diversity, 15, 202–206
Darwinizing, 73 de Man, P., 17, 276, 288rs copy with perm	•
death, 38, 40, 45, 104, 138, 150–152,	dogmatic, 12, 48, 123
155, 164–170, 175, 181–188, 250,	Dombowsky, D., 258, 266
260	Donnellan, B., 247, 266
death of God, 104, 151–152, 175	doubleness, 138
death of Socrates, 38	doubt, 5, 56, 79, 122, 126, 170, 187,
decadence, 41, 85, 119, 172, 174, 241	241, 256, 260, 263, 280
decadente, 15, 58, 124, 138, 168–169,	Draper, J. W., 24, 32
172	dream, 177, 278, 281, 282
decay, 85, 209, 260, 292	Dries, IX, XI, 1–19 , 14, 18, 19, 113 –
deconstruction, 16, 173, 248, 276	145 , 114, 135, 143, 144, 189
deferral, 209	drives, 32, 54, 66, 92–110, 131, 152,
degenerating, 15, 119, 167, 169–171	194, 222
deity, 85	dualism, 14, 114, 120-123, 130
Deleuze, G., 69, 73, 125, 143	dualistic, 113, 137, 138
democratic, 208, 253	duality, 49, 137, 139
democratization, 254	Dühring, 56
Demokratie, 269	duration, 65, 114, 123, 133–134
Dennett, D., 68, 71–73	dwarf, 105, 182, 187
Derrida, J., 139, 143	dynamic, 120, 126, 129, 151, 191,
desire, 3, 5, 40, 54, 69, 73, 88, 94, 97,	195–196, 203, 205–206, 207
117, 124, 164, 170, 175, 179, 199,	
201–202, 209, 222, 236, 250, 254,	E
279, 292, 296	early modern, 231–236, 240, 244, 246,
despotic rulers, 236	253, 255, 257–259, 261, 263
destruction, 41, 44, 80, 85, 141, 156,	earth, 56, 89, 153, 170, 174–175, 186,
205, 222, 256	201, 295
•	ecstatic nihilism, 116, 119, 183
	• , ,

educators, 197	Europe, 7, 43, 56, 235–238, 244, 251,
efficacy, 94, 116, 123–124, 132, 222	253–255, 257, 260, 262, 267
egalitarian, 52, 203, 260	evolution, 28, 53, 63–67, 69–72, 92,
ego, 91	97, 253
egocentric, 203	evolutionary history, 133
egoism, 234, 283	evolutionary psychology, 64, 68, 73
Egypt, 91	exaptation, 68
Egypticism, 85	excellence, 4, 35, 193, 238, 246, 295
Einheit, 128, 132, 202	excess, 232, 261
einverleibt, 6, 133	excitation, 11, 56
either-or, 10, 135–140	exhaustion, 167, 170
elitism, 253, 255	existence, 2–3, 6, 48, 53, 67, 69, 70,
emancipation, 194, 200, 202, 240,	77–82, 88, 92, 98, 114, 126, 137,
244–245, 249	150–151, 153, 155, 165, 167, 169,
embodied, 25, 160, 167, 183, 239, 252	174–176, 178, 180–181, 184–186,
Emden, Ch. J., 115, 143	189, 194–195, 198–199, 237, 244,
Emerson, W., 15, 195, 197, 210	278–279, 281, 285, 287, 303, 306
emotional force, 159	existentialist, 165, 167, 183-184, 305
emotions, 42	exogenous, 115
empirical, 10, 47, 75, 78, 81–82, 150	experiential, 10-11, 124
empirically real, 81	experiment, 117, 149
empiricism, 252 authors copy with perm	externalism 95 G 2008
encounters, 117, 125	•
endless melody, 17, 291–292, 295–	F
297, 300–304, 307	factual, 42, 149, 157
Enlightenment, 196, 234, 246, 264	factuality, 58, 158
epiphenomenal, 9, 252	faculties, 281
epistemological, 53-54, 152, 157, 223	fallacy, 8, 68
equality, 208, 254–255	falsehood, 198, 218
Erasmus, 244, 271	falsification, 15, 77, 82, 121, 131, 178
Ernst, J., 239, 266	Farulli, L., 246, 253, 266
error, 4–10, 36, 95, 102, 121, 157, 300	fatalism, 137
error theory, 4–5	fate, 43, 46, 115, 175, 187, 188, 201,
essence, V, 3-4, 27, 54, 65, 114, 122,	241
125–126, 182, 186, 213, 249, 252,	fatigue, 117, 119, 169, 171
262, 281, 287	Faustian, 239
essentialism, 132	feeling, 1, 27, 29, 41, 90, 95, 98–99,
eternal novelty, 156	118, 136, 181, 195, 203, 225, 243,
eternal recurrence, 14-15, 56-57, 127,	261, 279, 281–282, 292, 295
141, 149–161, 174, 180–189	Ferguson, W. K., 233-235, 240, 266
eternal return, 87–90, 105, 110–111,	fiction, 8, 10, 127, 157, 160, 234, 239
183	fictionalist, 9
eternity, 105, 151, 186, 189, 204, 207	Figal, G., 125, 143
ethical, 6–7, 16, 37, 39, 42, 47–48, 66,	fighter, 201, 204
204, 206, 209, 234, 239, 246, 287	fighting, 238
ethics, 47–48, 191, 260	Figl, J., 128, 143
etiological, 93	figurative, 158, 284
Euclideans, 77	finitude, 152, 155, 193
Euripides, 38, 160, 286	first-person perspective, 9–10, 142

fitness, 70, 94	genealogy, 4-7, 14, 63-71, 88, 92, 95-
fixation, 87, 89	96, 98, 102–110, 119, 124, 193, 234
fixed, 1, 102, 118, 126, 151–152, 179,	genius, 26, 37, 135, 199–202, 204,
197, 282	239, 242, 246, 248, 264, 284
fixity, 158	genotype, 72
flourishing, 17, 171, 295	Gerechtigkeit, 202
flow, 83, 91, 123, 186, 225, 292	Gerhardt, V., 121, 143, 192, 195, 200,
flux, 119–120, 123, 128, 133, 138,	202, 204, 210, 213, 228, 243, 260,
141, 152, 158, 209	267
force, V, 15, 29, 64, 68–71, 77, 82, 93,	German culture, 204, 241, 250
107–109, 116–118, 121, 125, 127,	German Darwinism, 67
129–154, 156, 159, 161, 166, 178,	Germanen, 25, 32
183, 191, 197, 201–204, 207, 223,	Germanic, 241, 243, 264
232, 234, 237, 242, 246, 249, 256,	Germany, 28, 91, 218, 231–234, 252,
259, 282, 292	254, 262–264, 270
Ford, A., 41, 49	Geschichte, X, 16, 18, 24, 32, 213,
forgetfulness, 88	216, 226–228, 265–272
forgetting, 103, 179	Geuss, R., VII, IX, XI, 12, 18, 35–50 ,
formless, 244	63, 73, 142, 288, 289
Förster-Nietzsche, E., 245, 266	Gilbert, F., 235, 267
Foucault, M., 31, 32, 63, 69, 73	Gilbert, M., 232, 267
foundation, 51, 56, 124, 151, 233, 241th pern	Gleichheit, 136, 208, 255
255, 287	Gleichmachung, 208
Fowler, R., L., 220, 227	goal, V, 1, 13, 28, 52, 92, 119, 125,
fragility, 135	126, 129, 137, 174–178, 181, 185,
framework, 7, 9, 10, 11, 135, 177, 179,	188–189, 209, 215, 222, 225, 285,
191, 206, 241, 259	287
Frank, M., 121, 127, 143	godless, 155, 172, 239
free spirit, 199, 209, 245, 257	Goethe, J. W. von, 16, 136, 143, 214–
freedom, 87, 95, 105, 108–110, 156,	215, 226, 228, 234, 239, 243, 259,
165, 175, 184, 194, 199, 201–202, 208, 232, 251, 295	268, 284 Gombrich, E. H., 284, 288
Freeman, A., 10, 18, 19, 131, 143	Gomme, A. W., 43, 50
	Gossman, L., 232, 236, 241, 254, 258,
Frege, G., 124 Freigeist, 266	267
Freiheit, 144	Gottfried, P., 267
Freud, S., 37, 183, 288	Gould, S., 68, 73
Froben, J., 244	Greece, 41, 91, 158, 220, 243, 246,
Frühromantik, 143	248, 252, 286, 288
Fubini, R., 240, 263, 267	Greek, XIV, 9, 12, 16, 24–25, 28, 43–
fundamental-duality, 11	47, 59, 79, 155, 157–160, 174, 192,
·	203, 220–222, 226, 233, 243, 245,
G	247, 256, 270–271
Ganze, 115, 135	Gregor-Dellin, M., 249, 267, 271
Gay, P., 232, 238, 267	Grey, J., 18
Gegenkraft, 113, 116, 117, 141	Gründer, K., 220, 226, 228
Gegensatz, 10	guilt, 47, 99, 102, 165
Gelzer, H., 239, 267	
• • •	

H	85, 87, 96, 99, 101, 103, 157–158,
H 1 106 210	213, 216, 221–222, 226, 232–233,
Habermas, J., 196, 210	246, 248, 256–257, 259, 261, 264,
habituated, 8, 10	286, 288
Hale, J. R., 237, 267	historicist, 85
Hales, S., 127, 143	historicity, 5, 221, 224
Hampe, K., 257, 267	historiography, 55, 213, 220, 224–226
Hankins, J., 240, 267	history, V, 1–3, 7, 10–11, 13, 16, 23,
happiness, 5–6, 12, 39, 48, 88, 150,	28-31, 36, 40, 42-47, 49, 51-59,
173, 194, 261	63, 65–68, 72, 87, 89, 91, 94, 96–
Hardtwig, W., 235, 267	101, 121, 157–158, 161, 170, 174,
Harloe, X, XI, 17, 18, 275–289	184, 186, 191, 201, 213–215, 219,
harmonic, 292, 296, 302	223-224, 227, 231-234, 244, 247-
Harnack, A. von, 27, 31–32	248, 251–252, 262–263, 275, 287,
Hatab, L. J., IX, XI, 10, 14, 18, 65, 73,	See ahistorical, critical history,
149–162 , 168, 185, 190	evolutionary history, historian,
Haupt, M., 215–217, 220, 272	historicism, historicity,
health, 39, 54, 57, 88, 104–105, 172,	historiography, overhistorical,
174, 181, 183, 199, 223, 249, 259,	prehistoric, prehistory,
304	superhistorical, suprahistorical,
Hector, 39	time, unhistorical.
hedonist, 55	TT 0 TT 0.11 0.10
Hegel, G. W. F., 24, 18, 137, 64, 11 15, th perm	Hölderlin, F., 215
120, 124, 139, 143, 196, 247, 284	holistic, 161, 216, 225
Heidegger, M., 31, 32, 210	Homer, 42, 203
Heinse, (J. J.) W., 233–234, 239–240,	homogeneity, 29, 94
265, 267, 272	homogenization, 136
Hellene, 13, 48, 160	homogenous, 30, 255
Hellenic, 25, 38, 58, 203, 246, 278,	hope $(\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\pi i\varsigma)$, 40
287	Houlgate, S., 120, 143
Heller, E., 232, 267	human animal, 15, 164, 170–171, 174,
Heraclitean, 7, 10, 121, 137, 202	176, 178–183, 186–188
Heraclitus, 134, 137–138, 203	human existence, 151, 175–176, 179,
hereditary, 28	186
heredity, 70	humanism, 232, 240, 243, 251, 255
hermeneutics, 122	humanitas, 240, 259
heterogeneous, 23–25, 29	humanity, 64–66, 92, 94, 96, 116, 119,
heteronomy, 195, 204	201, 255, 260, 262
hierarchical, 255	humankind, 94, 170–175, 182, 184
hierarchy, 102, 276	Humboldt, A. von 214
Higgins, 306, 307	hypostases, 125
Hill, R. K., IX, XI, 13, 18, 75–85 , 269	
Hinz, M., 244, 268	I
Hippocrates, 42, 45	idealism, 76, 79–80, 82, 104
Hirsch, E., 249, 251, 268	idealization, 234, 237
historian, 25, 51, 54–58, 216, 221–	identity, 27, 102, 106, 114, 120, 126,
227, 231–234, 251, 263	127, 135, 233, 237, 257
historical, 12–13, 16–17, 23–24, 28–	illness, 138, 169, 174
32, 38, 43, 47–48, 51–59, 67–69,	illogical, 136
	mogical, 150

illusion, V, 1, 5, 43, 51, 102–103, 119,	Janz, C. P., 244, 268
199–200, 223, 281–283, 286	Jeismann, KE., 254, 268
illusory, 3, 7, 121, 124, 199, 278, 285	Jelavich, P., 263, 268
imagination, 47, 233, 260	Jensen, A. K., X, XI, 16, 18, 213–229
immanence, V, 1, 155, 175, 198, 201, 280	Jewish, 27, 31, 174, 245 Joël, K., 248, 268
immoral, 85, 238, 261	Judeo-Christian, 7, 85
immoralism, 172, 232–236	judgement, 37, 44, 46, 96, 132, 195,
impermanence, 3, 113	198–199, 220, 223, 225, 236, 239,
impulse, 49, 65, 195, 201, 213, 223,	257–258, 261
226, 244, 281	Jung, M., 248, 268
incoherent, 36, 81, 82	justice, 15, 29, 83, 115, 173, 202, 223,
inconsistency, 8, 118, 119-122, 141	236, 254, 296
incorporated, 6, 10, 109–110, 131,	
133, 140	K
indeterminacy, 3, 123	Kaegi, W., 231–239, 244–248, 251,
indetermination, 120, 128	256, 258, 261, 268, 272
indifferent, 29, 39, 136	Kahan, A., 236, 268
individualism, 17, 193, 233, 238–240,	Kant, I., 13, 47–48, 75–85, 89, 110,
253, 255, 261, 263	135, 143, 160, 275, 280, 284–287,
inheritance, 67, 70–71, 77, 233, 237	305–306
innocence of becoming 115 119 with perm	iKantianism\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
inorganic, 131–134	Katsafanas, P., 127, 143
instinct, 93–94, 97, 104, 140, 164,	Kitcher, P., 64, 73
167, 171, 175, 222, 224, 257, 283	Knobe, J., 10, 18, 132, 143
instinctive, 58	knowability, 8
intellectual, 53, 172, 231-232, 239-	knowable, 81
240, 244–245, 263, 281	knowledge, 11, 35, 39–40, 48–49, 52,
intelligence, 36	75, 79, 83, 92, 100–101, 106, 150,
intentionality, 92-93, 105, 122, 130-	209, 215, 242, 275, 279–284, 287
131	Körner, E., 233, 269
interconnected, 35, 276	11011101, 2.1, 200, 200
interdetermination, 128	L
interpretationism, 132	La Rochefoucauld, F. de, 247, 266
intersubjectivity, 306	Lachmann, K., 213, 216–218, 221–
interweavings, 23, 30	222
intoxication, 124	Lacoue-Labarthe, P., 276, 289
intuition, 76-77, 134, 224, 286	Ladwig, P., 240, 269
involuntary, 47, 161	Laland, K., 67, 73
irony, 276	Lamarck, JP. de, 13, 64–71
irrational, 247	Lange, F. A., 285
irreducibility, 160–161	Langer, S., 304, 307
isomorphic, 129	language, 11, 14, 26, 44, 65, 93, 114,
7	120–123, 125, 128–130, 133, 142,
J	157–159, 201, 209, 214, 226, 262–
Jacobs, A., 234, 268	263, 275–277, 282, 284, 288, 292,
Jahn, O., 213–228	295, 306
Janssen, E. M., 232, 234, 239, 251–	Large, D., 145, 241, 269
252, 255, 257, 261, 268	2
. , , ,	

laughter, 185	Mannhardt, W., 25, 32
law, 10–11, 15, 25, 67, 98, 127, 191–	Marti, U., 254, 269
209, 256	Martin, A. von, 232, 237, 239, 245,
law-givers, 198, 204-206	248, 258–259, 263
Lecky, W. E. H., 25, 27, 32, 66, 74	martyrdom, 27
legislator, 192, 199, 203-204	Marx, K., 235
legislators, 192, 204, 209	mask, 54, 135
legislator-types, 192	mass, 171, 255, 262
Leiter, B., 10, 18–19, 33, 132, 143,	massification, 254
144, 166, 169, 183–184, 190	master, 125, 130-131, 170, 224, 226,
Leo, H., 234, 236, 269	259
leveller, 206	materialism, 236
levelling synthesis, 138	mathematical symmetry, 292
liberalism, 260	Mattioli, A., 245, 269
liberty, 172, 234, 236, 238, 239, 240	Maurer, R., 232, 269
Lichtenberger, H., 266	McGinn, C., 10, 18
life as lived, 15, 156	meaning, 3, 13–14, 28, 41–44, 48, 57,
life-affirmation, 15, 26, 150–156, 161,	59, 63, 65, 68, 83, 91, 94–95, 117–
168–169, 171–172, 180–181, 184,	118, 120–121, 130, 149–157, 160–
188, 199, 200, 203	161, 164–166, 169, 171–188, 192,
life-as-becoming, 15, 191	194, 197–198, 200, 207, 221, 233,
life-denying, 152-154, 166, 169, 171 ith perm	
260	measures, 17, 151, 292–301
listen, 295, 297, 304	mechanism, 66, 78, 91, 94
listener, 17, 160, 244, 292, 296–297,	medievalism, 244
301–303, 307	Melodie, 292
literal, 5, 149, 154, 156–162	melody, 17, 292, 295–296, 301
literality, 14, 149, 158	meme, 70, 72
Loeb, P. S., IX, XI, 15, 18, 163–190	memetics, 64, 72–73
logic, 7, 9–10, 117, 127, 134, 137–	
	memory, 87–90, 97–102, 105, 179–
142, 165, 167–168, 188, 287	180, 188, 197
logic of alternatives, 137	meta-belief, 7
logocentric, 276	metabolism, 304
Lothar, R., 262–263, 269	metaphor, 27, 157, 283, 302–305
love, 27, 116, 135, 139, 142, 154, 164,	metaphysician, 123, 219, 287
172–173, 177, 197, 201, 207–209,	metaphysics, 7, 17, 55, 77, 84–85,
260, 296	120, 123–124, 127–129, 139, 142,
Löwith, K., 256, 269	197, 200, 247, 275–285, 303
Luther, M., 16, 241, 243–244, 248–	Methodenstreit, 228
251, 265, 268	methodological, 13, 16, 68, 216, 225,
M	252
111	Meyer, E., 30, 32, 272
Machiavelli, N., 237, 239, 242–243,	Michelangelo, B., 257–258
256–258, 271	Michelet, J., 238, 269
Macht, 142–144, 200, 210, 241	micrologists, 222
macro-teleological, 3-4	micro-teleological, 3
Magee, B., 296, 307	Middle Ages, 235, 242, 251–252, 255,
maladaptive, 68	259, 264
Mann, Th., 244, 262, 266, 269–270	Mill, J. S., 53
•	

mimetic, 158–161 mind, 10–13, 43, 66, 75, 78, 80, 82– 84, 92, 98, 100, 115, 127, 131–132, 137, 154, 163, 165, 184, 199, 226, 250, 262, 281, 284, 286 mind-brain-world state, 11 Mithras, 26 mixing, 12, 29, 31, 32 mixture, 108, 256, 276 mnemonic, 179, 182, 185 mnemotechniques, 89, 179 modern, 29, 32, 40, 45, 47, 52–55, 58, 70, 87, 160, 171, 174, 192, 196, 199, 213, 233, 235–238, 240, 243, 246, 250, 254–255, 257–261, 287, 291 modernity, 31, 52, 196, 201–202, 209, 236–237 modesty, 96, 260 Molecus D, 247, 260	mutually exclusive, 5–6, 9, 12, 136–137, 140, 142 mysterious, 201, 256, 283, 287, 306 mystery, 26 mystical, 285 myth, 39, 140, 160, 186–188, 285–288 mythopoetic, 157 N Naake, E., 254, 269 Nägeli, K. W., 28, 32 Nancy, JL., 10, 18 narrative, 17, 41, 43–44, 64, 131, 176, 185, 260, 277, 286, 292 nationalism, 233 nations, 11, 91, 283 natural science, 4, 52–53, 55, 65, 72, 76, 78, 81, 115, 117–118 naturalisation, 194
Molner, D., 247, 269	naturalism, 13, 63–65, 73, 75, 78–79,
moment, V, 3, 69, 71, 91, 102, 109, 126, 132, 136, 176, 179–189, 185, ith perm 187–189, 244, 262, 281, 284 momentum, 107	151, 282 Nehamas, AA/15, 17, 176–180, 190, 233, 262, 269, 275–276, 289 neither space nor time, 77
Mommsen, W. J., 51, 256, 269	neither-nor, 11, 138
Mongolian, 24	neo-Romantic, 232
monism, 11, 113, 134, 137	neurobiological, 11
monstrosity, 258	neuroscience, 11
Montaigne, M. E. de, 247, 269, 271	Newtonian physics, 76
monument, 104, 257	Niebuhr, B. G., 51
monumental, 16, 51, 213, 221, 224-	Nietzscheanism, 262
226, 252, 257	nihilism, 7, 9, 11, 14, 52, 57–58, 104,
Moore, G., 115, 123, 143	107, 113–119, 127–129, 135, 137,
morality, 7, 15, 36, 53, 59, 65–68, 72–	140–142, 170, 172, 181, 196, 204,
73, 95, 98–99, 102–103, 108, 114,	307
165, 191, 194–196, 204–206, 209,	nobility, 29, 225
231–232, 241, 259, 260, 262, 295	noble, 196, 223, 225, 234, 248, 250,
moralization, 39	255, 258–260, 264
mosquito, 136	nomadic, 91
motion, 1, 130, 247	non-Christian, 3
Müller, C. W., 213, 217, 228, 268	non-circularity, 39
Müller-Lauter, W., XIII, 125, 144	non-contradiction, 10
multiplicity, 32, 73, 120, 135, 139,	nondualist, 11
194, 202–203	non-literary, 12, 42
mummification, 7, 121, 224	non-mythic, 12, 42
music, 17, 27, 37, 159, 178, 201, 218,	non-permanent, 7
226, 241–244, 249, 253, 287, 291–	non-reductive, 13–14, 114, 132
292, 295–296, 297, 301–304, 306	non-sensory, 5–6
	non-static, 7

non-theological, 12, 42	Ottmann, H., 31–32
non-traditional, 12	Overbeck, F., 27, 31–33, 184
Norbrook, D., 251, 261, 269	overcoming, 6, 29, 109-110, 114-115,
Nordic, 244	141, 151, 155, 173–174, 182, 192–
norm, 170	193, 196, 207, 222, 241, 261, 287
normative, 152	overhistorical, 51
nothingness, 155–156, 164, 172, 176,	
181–182, 187	P
noumenal, 81, 85, 124	pagan, 25, 27, 30, 257, 259
novelistic model, 156	pain, 6, 11, 89, 99, 117, 207, 256
	painful, 6, 118, 197, 221
0	painter, 57
objectivity, 4, 52-54, 58, 104, 117,	painting, 238
239, 305	Panizza, O., 262–263, 270
observable facts, 42	panlogicist, 3
observation, 38, 157, 256, 261, 275,	panpsychism, 83, 131
284	papacy, 250–251, 257
observer, 138, 263	paradigm of becoming, 116, 128, 130,
Odysseus, 24	133, 138–140
Oehler, M., 279–280, 289	paradigm of being, 4, 114, 116, 129,
Oldenberg, H., 28, 32	134, 137
Olympus, 160 authors copy with perm	
omnipotence, 203	paradoxical, 76, 129, 166, 281
omnipresent, 179	Parmenides, 4, 79, 85, 137
oneness, 115, 135–139	parody, 276
ontological, 3, 5-7, 121, 123-124,	particle, 215, 221
127, 276	particularism, 15, 194-195, 206, 209
ontology, 7, 14, 75, 113–114, 117,	partisanship, 45
122, 128, 134, 142, 191, 194	passion, 101, 202, 222, 246
oppositional, 136, 151	passive, 72, 205, 207
optimism, 12, 17, 39, 41, 47, 49, 225,	Pastor, L., 231–232, 251, 263, 270
287	paternalism, 236
optimistic, 3, 39–40, 246–247, 282	pathological, 45, 236
orality, 158	pathos, 118, 126, 244, 259
orderliness, 24	Patroclus, 39
orders, 251, 254	peace, 202, 231
organic, 3, 29, 91, 93, 95, 117, 131-	peacefulness, 261
134	Peloponnesians, 42, 44
organism, 10, 68, 71, 94–95, 132, 134,	penalty, 40
142	perception, 71, 84, 131, 203, 209, 247,
organs, 92–93, 134	280, 283–284, 302, 306
originality, 36, 89	perfection, 64, 66, 155, 185, 195–197,
origins, 23–25, 31, 48, 65, 68, 90, 233,	215
237, 280, 282	perfectionism, 15, 195
Orsucci, A., IX, XI, 12, 19, 23–33 ,	performative sense, 158–159
249, 251, 270	permanent, 3–7, 113, 118, 261, 279
oscillation, 3	Pernet, M., 251, 270
Osiris, 26	perspectival, 10, 130–132, 142, 154,
otherness, 139, 153	306

perspective, 10–11, 17, 32, 71, 104, 127, 130–133, 137, 142, 158, 167, 168, 194, 199, 206, 221, 224, 244,	Porter, J. I., 17, 125, 144, 220, 228, 276–285, 289 Pöschl, V., 224, 228
246, 261, 275, 302	positivism, 14, 42, 46, 55–58, 104,
perspectivism, 75, 83, 131, 150, 275	154, 215, 222, 303
pessimism, 3, 12, 39, 47, 124, 155,	power, 13–14, 25, 27, 29, 40–41, 66–
183, 225, 241, 256, 283	71, 84, 92–94, 98–110, 117, 123,
pessimist, 12, 48, 57, 168	125–131, 151, 153, 160, 170, 179,
Petersen, E., 217, 228	184, 186, 189, 191–192, 196, 200–
petrifaction, 292, 297	208, 225, 234–243, 256, 261, 264,
phantasmal, 1, 126	278
phantasms, 137	powerless, 187
phantom, 278, 281	pragmatic, 237
phenomena, 12, 23–24, 28–31, 63, 65,	prayer, 27
72, 75, 123, 138, 278, 284, 286	predictability, 6, 49
phenomenal, 76–81, 85, 125, 128	prediction, 222
phenomenalism, 82	prehistory, 25, 89
phenomenological, 11, 123–124, 126–	prehuman, 179
127, 135, 139, 141	presence in us, 104
phenomenology, 123–125, 135, 139	pre-Socratic, 41, 46, 191
phenotypic, 71	pride, 88, 103, 107, 109
philhellenist, 233a 263 nors copy with pern	priest, 58, 90, 91, 171, 174, 250, 253
Philistius, 44	Priest, G., 10, 19, 90, 97, 140, 144,
philologist, 23, 57, 59, 219, 222, 224,	171, 250, 253
252	primeval training to remember 100
philology, 16, 29, 214–227	prime val training to remember, 100
physical, 81, 126, 128, 132, 138, 174,	primitive, 12, 24–28, 35, 47, 88, 97
260, 279, 282 physicalism, 131	primordial, 13, 76–77, 99–100, 120, 249, 283
physiological, 18, 70, 123–124, 166,	primordial unity, 76, 283
170, 304	principium individuationis, 16, 75, 248
physis, 198, 200	prison, 40
plants, 25, 91	prisoner, 175
Plato, 12, 35–49, 58, 89, 96, 137, 159,	processes, 11, 28, 75, 80–82, 92–96,
164, 198, 247	103, 108, 126, 128–131, 142, 205
Platonic-Aristotelean-Kantian	productivity, 16, 136, 238, 255
tradition, 48	profanity, 239
Platonism, 26	progress, 52–53, 57, 64, 66, 70, 155,
pleasure, 287	171, 247, 260
Pletsch, C., 232, 270	progressus, 28
pluralism, 15, 191, 194-195, 203-207	proletarian revolution, 236
Poellner, P., 123–124, 126, 144	promise, 53, 88, 97–98, 106, 108, 259,
poetry, 12, 41, 128, 159, 257, 278	262
polemic reversal, 8, 10	properties, 2, 9, 81, 84
political, 43, 45–46, 49, 52, 151, 202,	propositional, 40, 67, 128, 150, 177
204, 217, 232, 234, 236–240, 246,	protension, 7
254–258, 263, 281, 283, 291	proto-human, 102
Politycki, M., 215, 228	proto-intentional, 14
	protoplasm, 131

40.4	
protozoan, 126	reductionism, 65, 73, 222
providence, 155, 177–178, 180	reductive, 10, 63–64, 132, 137, 151
psyche, 37	Reformation, 91, 244, 249, 250–251,
psychoanalysis, 73	259–260, 265, 268
psychological, 16, 37, 78, 89, 94, 129,	Reformator des Lebens, 199
149, 159, 173, 221, 223, 225–226,	Reginster, B., 10, 19, 181, 190
231, 234, 247, 252–253, 291	regulative fiction, 8, 10
psychologist, 66	regulative rule, 10
psychology, 10, 39, 47, 66, 80, 95,	Rehm, W., 233–234, 239, 262, 270
149, 159, 167, 278	Reibnitz, B. von, 245, 270
punishment, 25, 53, 89, 92, 95, 171,	Reinhardt, V., 263, 270
186–187	relational, 126, 209
purposeless, 76	relations, 8, 13–14, 30, 81, 84, 113–
purposive, 47	114, 122–130, 138, 142, 154, 157,
purposiveness, 93, 104	191–192, 202, 209, 280
purposiveness, 53, 101	relativism, 135–136
Q	
	religion, 92, 98–99, 102–103, 151,
quale, 11	238, 240, 251, 261, 279, 281, 283
qualitative, 11	religious, 25–27, 30, 40, 46, 52, 104,
quality, 222, 224–226, 256	150, 155–156, 196, 234–236, 260,
quanta, 126–130	279, 281–282
quantum, 117, 130uthors copy with perm	religious ceremonies, 27
quarks, 81	remedy, 6, 117–119
quasi-staticism, 9	remember, 87–88, 96–102, 106, 182,
•	197
quattrocento, 16, 235, 243–244, 248,	Renaissance, X, 16, 19, 30–32, 53, 91,
254, 257–258	117, 174, 231–271, 286
Quine, W. V., 10, 135, 138, 144	
R	Renan, E., 25–27, 33, 56
N.	repetition, 149, 154–156, 180, 187,
radical becoming, 120, 128, 151	189
radicalism, 256	replicative, 93–94
radicalization, 116–117	replicator, 70
Ranke, L. von, 51, 261, 267, 270	republican, 17, 234, 236, 238, 240,
	255
ratiocination, 41	republics, 240
rational, 3–4, 6, 39, 41, 113, 151, 153,	resentful, 90, 92
159, 305	resentment, 57
rationalism, 38, 40, 49, 247	*
Rausch, 124	resistance, 47, 64, 151, 207–209, 261
reactive, 13, 52, 72–73, 95	responsibility, 48, 95, 103, 108–109,
realism, 36, 45, 78, 122, 127, 132, 258	194, 234
reality, 2, 4–5, 10–11, 36, 46, 54, 58,	Ressing, G., 241, 270
81–82, 84–85, 113, 118, 120, 123,	restlessness, 221
127, 132, 138, 140, 159, 160, 179,	retension, 7
189, 223, 278–279, 305–306	retension, /
107, 443, 410-417, 303-300	retrospective stance, 14, 98–110
racitativa 202	retrospective stance, 14, 98-110
recitative, 292	retrospective stance, 14, 98–110 revaluation, 52, 56–57, 59, 140, 150–
recurring, 119, 181	retrospective stance, 14, 98–110 revaluation, 52, 56–57, 59, 140, 150– 151, 225
recurring, 119, 181 redemption, 14, 26, 28, 152, 175, 177–	retrospective stance, 14, 98–110 revaluation, 52, 56–57, 59, 140, 150– 151, 225 revenge, 27, 88, 98, 153, 181
recurring, 119, 181	retrospective stance, 14, 98–110 revaluation, 52, 56–57, 59, 140, 150– 151, 225

rhetorical, 36, 44, 139, 158	Schiller, F. von, 135, 143, 196, 215,
rhetorician, 45	234, 240, 259, 266, 284, 288
rhyme, 295	Schlechta, K., 232, 271
rhythm, 17, 292, 295–297, 301–307	Schlegel, F. von, 121, 214
Ribbeck, O., 217, 228	Schlegel, A. W. von, 214
	Schnerzbringerin, 117–118
Richardson, IX, XI, 13, 19, 78, 85,	
87–111 , 125, 130–133, 143–144, 176, 190	Schmidt, M., 254, 268, 271 scholastic factions, 16, 220
Richerson, P., 72, 74	Schopenhauer, A., 2–3, 13–19, 40, 75,
	-
rigidity, 137, 205, 207 Ritschl, F., 58, 213, 216–218, 220,	77, 79, 82, 89, 115, 121, 124, 155, 160, 167–168, 171, 190, 192–204,
226, 228 Ritter-Santini, L., 262, 270	209–210, 225, 233, 241, 247, 248, 267–268, 271, 275–289
rituals, 25	science, 4, 7, 10, 13, 17, 36, 42–43,
Rockwell, W. T., 10, 11, 19	46–48, 52, 54, 59, 65, 72, 76, 78,
Roeck, B., 263, 266, 270	81, 91, 96, 99, 103, 106, 110, 117–
Rohde, E., 33, 214, 220, 228, 232	118, 220, 238, 246, 264, 275, 282–
Roman, 221, 234, 244, 265	283, 286, 287
Romantic, 121, 128, 219, 234, 239,	scientism, 236, 260, 287
244	scriptural, 155
Romanticism, 104	secularization, 240, 250–251, 264
Ross, W., 241, 244 245, 247, 249, with perm	secularized world-views, 114
264, 270 P	secularizers, 235
Rossi, R., 232, 270	security, 236, 240, 261
Ruehl, X, XI, 16, 19, 231–272 , 288	sedimented layers of the past, 91
Ruhstaller, P., 232, 270	Sein, 4, 6, 28, 32, 122, 137, 197, 267
ruling caste, 257	Sekurität, 236, 260
Rumohr, C. F. von, 234–236, 238, 270	Selbstbewusstsein, 133
Rumsfeld, D., 41	Selbstmord, 37
Russian, 297	selected-designed, 95, 97
S	selection, 64, 67, 69–71, 93–94, 96–
~	99, 108, 131, 133, 141, 183, 213
Sach-Philologie, 16, 215, 227	selective, 16, 72, 77, 93, 97, 107, 109,
sacred, 158	139, 153, 162, 184, 225, 284
sacrifice, 137, 165	self, 8, 17, 54, 99, 102, 106, 127, 131,
sacrilegious, 300	133, 137–138, 176, 180, 185, 189,
Sadie, S., 292, 296, 307	191, 197, 202, 235, 255, 260, 264
Salaquarda, J., 213–214, 228, 242,	self-knowledge, 91, 197
244, 249, 265	self-legislation, 15, 192–197, 200,
Salin, E., 232, 245, 271	204, 207–209
salvational, 14, 154–155	self-reflection, 4, 100
sameness, 136, 208	semantic, 5, 129
Sandys, J. E., 215–218, 228	semblance, 137, 278
Sautet, M., 256, 271	sensation, 92, 95, 201, 295
sceptic, 40, 78, 122	senses, 4–6, 26, 79, 85, 98, 117, 119,
scepticism, 48, 77, 246, 264	121, 124, 137, 157–158, 164
Scheidekunst, 140	sensorium, 115
Schein, 3, 39, 137	sexual, 133
Schieder, Th., 241, 271	shame, 47, 201

	26.124
shamelessness, 219	spectrum, 36, 134
sick, 89, 95, 108, 167, 170–171, 174,	Spencer, H., 13, 67–68, 72
259	Spengler, O., 30, 33
sickness, 170, 177	Spir, A., 79–80
Siemens, H. W., IX, XI, 15, 19, 191 –	spirit, 14, 29, 37, 44, 59, 149, 151,
210 , 215, 228	215–216, 222, 226, 236, 240, 244,
Simmel, G., 181, 190, 195, 210	250, 252, 263, 287
Simonde de Sismondi, JCh. L., 235,	spiritual, 25, 30, 101, 104, 151–152,
271	173, 178, 244, 256
simplicity, 24, 195	spirituality, 295
simplification, 131	Sprache, 229
simulation, 159	Staat, 268, 281, 289
simultaneity, 10, 12, 114, 130, 133,	stability, 1, 29, 115, 126, 133–134
140, 142	stable, 3, 7, 15, 135, 151, 283, 302
simultaneity-thinking, 113, 134, 140,	Stack, G. J., 115, 144
142	Stadelmann, R., 239, 271
Sisyphus, 15, 163, 186–189	Stahl, HP., 40, 43, 50
Sittengeschichte, 32	Stambaugh, J., 123, 144, 213, 228
Sittengesetz, 195, 256	Staten, H., 126, 144, 276, 289
Sittlichkeit der Sitte, 97	static, 1, 6, 8, 15, 127, 137
Skinner, Q., 35, 45, 50, 277, 289	staticism, 1–11
slave, 95, 108, 232, 251, 260, 261 with pern	staticist worldview, 2,76,11
slavish, 92, 206	Stierle, K., 233, 271
Smith, J. H., 125, 144	Stilkunst, 265
sociability, 39	stimulant, 207–208
social, 14, 26, 43, 49, 64, 67, 73, 88,	stimulus, 207, 237
93–109, 174, 208, 232, 235–237,	stoic, 117, 247
255	stomach, 304
socialism, 260	Storr, A., 297, 307
socialists, 174	Stravinsky, I. F., 297, 300
socialization, 89, 97	Strawson, G., 10–11, 18–19, 131–132,
sociobiology, 68	143
sociology, 49	strength, 7, 18, 65, 94, 141, 160, 164,
sociopolitical, 232, 236, 241, 253–254	208, 224, 260, 295, 304
Socrates, 13, 36, 38–42, 49, 79–80, 89,	structuration, 38
135, 150, 163, 167, 286–287	structureless, 128
Socrates who makes music, 49	struggle, 42, 69–70, 73, 98, 154, 170,
Socratic, 17, 38, 42, 45, 49, 243, 248,	191, 199–200, 204, 208, 214, 220,
282–283, 287	225, 235, 238, 264, 281
Soll, I., 181, 188, 190	Sturm und Drang, 233
Sommer, A. U., 250, 261–262, 271	style, 1, 44–45, 259, 261, 285
Sophocles, 41–42, 45, 219, 286	subconscious, 54, 185
sorrow, 88, 90, 187	subjection, 205–207
soul, 8, 27, 35, 104, 165, 171, 173,	subjective, 77, 84, 127
197, 223, 225, 246, 264, 291, 302	subjectives, 77, 64, 127
197, 223, 223, 240, 204, 291, 302 soulless, 236	subjectivism, 137 subjectivity, 84, 127, 233, 240, 262
	sublime, 172, 256, 282–283
sovereign, 97, 202, 237	
sovereignty, 103, 109, 253	substance, 3, 8, 35, 84, 123, 127, 129
spatiotemporal, 80	substantiality, 125

substratum, 77, 84, 135	theological, 48, 65, 156
subterranean, 26	theology, 84
subtext, 261	theoretical, 10, 36–37, 81–82, 99–101,
suffering, 5–6, 70, 87–88, 95, 108,	103, 109, 177–179, 188, 192, 199,
118, 137, 150, 159, 164–175, 180–	213–214, 226, 237, 243, 246, 287
183, 247, 256, 279, 282	theory, 9, 14, 26, 28, 39, 43, 63, 66,
suicidal instincts, 15, 169, 182	70–79, 82, 90, 100–102, 109, 111,
suicidal nihilism, 15, 153, 166, 169	123, 127, 131–134, 142, 158, 182,
suicide, 15, 25, 27, 153, 163–172, 181,	220, 235, 306
184, 187	therapy, 117
superhistorical, 31	thing, 1, 3, 8, 28, 40, 44, 49, 53, 56,
superhuman, 15, 181, 183–186, 189,	67, 77, 83–87, 115, 126, 127, 168,
257–258, 278	172, 197, 254, 284
superman, 233, 262–263	thing-in-itself, 3–4, 17, 54, 75, 77, 78,
superstitious, 25–26	81–84, 280, 283–284, 303–304
suprahistorical, 103	Thompson, E., 10, 19
suspicion, 27, 99, 208, 232	Thucydidean, 12, 37, 41, 44-47
swimming and floating, 291–292	Thucydides, IX, 12, 18, 35–50, 58–59
symbol, 26, 151, 173, 182, 187, 262	tightrope, 173
symbolic, 150, 160, 288	time. See absolute time, adaptation
symmetrical, 208	over time, aporia of time,
symphonic, 202 authors copy with pern	atemporal, becoming circular time,
symptom, 85, 164	conscious time, cyclic repetition,
system, 4, 7, 67, 71, 121, 133, 237,	cyclical, diegetic time, eternal
254, 282–283, 285	recurrence, eternal return, flux of
systematic, 3, 12, 48, 113, 121, 192,	time, historical time, ill-will
276	towards time, infinite time, linear,
T	non-circularity, novelistic model,
	permanent, pessimistic model,
taming, 7, 89, 170	positivistic, power over time, reality
tautology, 152	of time, revenge against time and
teleological, 14, 115, 130, 152, 154,	becoming, salvational, teleological,
155	time for us, time itself, time
teleology, 55, 64, 92, 115, 121, 130	signatures, time-atom theory.
telos, 197	time-atom theory, 7
temperate zone, 53	time-in-itself, 18, 303–306
temporal, 8, 12, 14, 80, 95, 114, 131–	timeless, 7, 23, 142, 248
132, 142, 152–156, 191–193, 200,	Todte, M., 240, 271
304, 306	togetherness, 139
temporal movement, 152–155	tonic, 18, 292, 304, 307
temporality, V, 3, 17, 114, 130–133,	Tooby, J., 73
140, 142, 201	torture, 171, 187
tension, 9–13, 29, 91, 126, 138, 166,	totality, 125, 133, 177
199, 209, 244	towardness, 93
testimony, 157	Tracy, J. D., 244, 271
theatre, 38, 131	Traeger, J., 238, 271 tragedians, 243
theatrical, 159	
Theognideian, 215	tragedy, 12, 37, 45–47, 160, 219, 222, 226, 244, 247, 275–276, 286, 288
theologian, 27, 31	440, 444, 441, 413-410, 480, 488

tragic, 150, 152, 155–156, 159–160,	unhealthy, 221, 305
187, 219, 243, 250, 286, 287	unhistorical, 56, 103
tragic finitude, 152, 155	unidirectional, 186
transcendence, 150-152, 155, 196,	unification, 15
197	unified, 136, 186
transcendent, 85, 115, 125, 175, 198,	unifying, 196, 202, 209
275, 280	union, 139
transcendental, 78-81, 110	uniqueness, 194
transcendentally ideal, 77, 80-81, 85	unitary, 26, 49
transconsistent, 140	unity, 28, 76, 114-115, 121, 135, 136,
transformation, 6, 70, 155, 159, 199,	139, 142, 193, 202, 207, 287
219, 262	universal, 48, 76, 92, 127, 191, 195,
transgressive, 239, 256, 258, 263–264	204, 207–208, 254–255, 283, 287
transient, 137	universe, 48, 114, 131, 238
transvaluation, 191, 209, 241–242,	univocal, 157
250, 257, 259	unobservable, 81–82
transvaluative, 250, 259, 260, 262	unperceived posits, 82
trauma, 153, 155	Unschuld, 115
Tristan, 292–301, 307	Unsinn, 6
Troeltsch, E., 29–30, 33	unsinnlich, 6
trope, 157	unveiling, 174, 284
	:Usener 1H. 123-39 -33.00
tropical, 259 authors copy with perm truth, 4–6, 9, 11, 36, 46, 48, 59, 77,	utilitarian, 239, 260
100–102, 104, 106–107, 110–111,	utility, 64, 66–68, 108
114, 116, 119, 122, 124, 131, 142,	utility, 04, 00 00, 100
151, 155, 159, 165–167, 174, 178,	V
185, 194, 199, 221, 223, 247, 251,	Vacano D. A. von. 258, 271
275, 279, 281	Vacano, D. A. von, 258, 271 vague, 9, 305
truthfulness, 39, 41, 48, 199, 249	9 , ,
Tugend, 242, 261	value, 3–10, 13, 29, 32, 36, 42, 45, 53, 55, 63–68, 78, 96, 108, 110–115,
two-world metaphysics, 7, 121, 135	119, 121, 132, 139, 150, 154, 163–
tyrannical, 203, 208, 237, 239–240,	165, 168, 198, 199, 208, 214, 222–
255–256, 264	227
tyranny, 16, 78, 203, 207, 233, 255–	value of life, 163–164, 198
256, 295	
	Vattimo, G., 122, 144
\ddot{U}	vector, 129
iibarfiissis 120	veil, 84, 117, 238, 280, 283–284
überflüssig, 138 Übermensch, 135, 190	veiled, 197, 254
	vengeful, 250
Uekermann, G., 262–263, 271	Versteinerung, 300
Ulfers, F., 215, 228	vice, 97, 129, 195, 223, 257, 301
ultimates, 9	violence, 16, 208, 223, 233, 241, 261,
uncertainty, 6–7, 222, 256	263, 281
unconscious, 11, 93, 129, 130–131,	violent, 139, 141, 175, 231, 238, 240,
133	246, 255
undecidability, 158	virtue, 3, 41–42, 78, 93, 96, 168, 173,
undefinable, 28	242, 261, 286, 295
unfreedom, 178, 208, 237	visual, 305
unhappiness, 281	vital, 116, 139, 259–260

vitality, 235 Vivarelli, V., 247, 271 Vogt, E., 217, 228 Voigt, G., 240, 271 volition, 47, 129 Volpi, F., 242, 271 voluntary, 2, 15, 25, 27, 47 vornehm, 250 Vrba, E., 68, 73 W	willing, 14–15, 79–80, 88, 90, 95, 100, 101–111, 118, 137, 154, 164, 176–187 wills, 14, 91–94, 132, 167, 186, 284, 305 Winckelmann, J. J., 246 Wirklichkeit, 18 Wolf, F. A., 51, 213–216, 223, 226, 229 Wölfflin, H., 258, 272 world-disclosive, 14, 149, 160
Wagner, R., XIII, XIV, 15–17, 37, 144, 192–193, 199, 200–204, 218, 220, 226, 228, 233, 241–254, 263–271, 278–307 Wahn, 281–287 Waldenfels, B., 10, 19, 138, 144 war, 16, 41–46, 196, 202, 233, 245, 259, 261, 283 warmth, 27 water, 9, 100 weak, 36, 41, 80, 108h 119, 169, 171, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 175, 175, 175, 175, 175, 175, 175	world-negating, 3 worldview, 2, 118 worthlessness, 199, 225 Wort-Philologie, 16, 215 Wotan, 226 Y Young, J., 123, 144, 168, 177–180, 187, 190, 269 Z Sision by WdG 2008 Zeeden, E. W., 239, 241, 272 Zeit, 199–200, 260, 264, 269, 272, 288 Zeitalter, 255 Zeitdauer, 300 Zuckert, C., 213, 229 Zugleich-Denken, 113, 134 Zukunft, 192 Zukunftsmusik, 296 Zukunftsphilologie, 214, 218

