THE FOUR PUZZLES

A. The Problem of Apparent Reference to Nonexistents

- 1. The sentence 'The present King of France is bald' is meaningful.
- 2. That sentence is a subject-predicate sentence.
- 3. A meaningful subject-predicate sentence is meaningful only in virtue of picking out some individual thing and ascribing it a property.
- 4. So by 1-3, the sentence 'The present King of France is bald' must pick out some individual thing and ascribe some property to it.
- 5. But 'the present King of France' does not pick out a thing that exists.
- 6. Thus, by 4 & 5 'the present King of France' must pick out a *nonexistent thing*.
- 7. But there are no "nonexistent" things.

4 follows from 1-3, 5 is obviously true, and 6 follows from 4 & 5. But 7 contradicts 6. So 1, 2, 3, or 7 is false; which one is it and why is it false?

B. The Problem of Negative Existentials

- 1. The negative existential sentence 'The present King of France does not exist' is true.
- 2. If a singular term such as a definite description fails to refer, then any sentence containing that singular term is not true (since there is nothing that the sentence talks about).
- 3. By 1 & 2 'the present King of France' refers.
- 4. But 'the present King of France' does not pick out a thing that exists.
- 5. Thus, by 3 & 4 'the present King of France' must pick out a *nonexistent thing*.
- 6. But there are no "nonexistent" things.

3 follows from 1 & 2; 5 follows from 3 & 4. But 6 contradicts 5. So 1, 2, or 6 is false; which one is it and why is it false?

C. Frege's Puzzle about Identity

- 1. The sentence 'Elizabeth Windsor is identical with the present Queen of England' is informative.
- 2. That sentence is only contingently true.
- 3. The sentence contains two singular terms that refer to the very same individual.
- 4. Thus, from 3 it seems that the sentence is saying that one thing is identical to itself.
- 5. But if 4 is right, then 1 & 2 are wrong.

4 seems to conflict with both 1 and 2, so there's some mistake somewhere in the 5-step argument; *where* is it and *why* is it mistaken?

D. The Problem of Substitutivity

- 1. If two singular terms have the same referent, then we can substitute them for each other without changing the truth-value of the sentences they are parts of.
- 2. The singular terms 'the author of *Huckleberry Finn*' and 'the offensive cynic in Hannibal, Missouri' have the same referent.
- 3. So from 1 & 2 we can substitute those singular terms for each other without changing the truth-value of the sentences they are parts of.
- 4. Thus, from 3 the two sentences
 - Jazmine believes that the author of *Huckleberry Finn* is a great wit Jazmine believes that the offensive cynic in Hannibal, Missouri is a great wit have the same truth-value.
- 5. But they don't.
- 3 & 4 follow from 1 & 2; but 5 contradicts 4. So 1, 2, or 5 is false; which one is it and why is it false?