



Knjiga
147

Izdavači:

Hrvatsko filozofsko društvo
Filozofski fakultet
I. Lučića 3, HR-10000 Zagreb
Tel.: +385-(0)1-6111-808
Fax: +385-(0)1-6117-012
e-mail: hrfd@hrfd.hr

Izdavački savjet:

Sulejman Bosto, Marita Brčić Kuljiš,
Thomas Sören Hoffmann, Željko Kaluđerović,
Valentina Kaneva, Tomislav Krznar,
Borut Ošljaj, Iva Rinčić, Marija Todorovska

Glavni i odg. urednik:

Ante Čović

Zamjenik gl. i odg. urednika:

Hrvoje Jurić

Izvršni urednik:

Luka Perušić

Mladi izvršni urednik:

Krešimir Babel

Za izdavača:

Zdravko Radman

Recenzenti:

Hrvoje Jurić, Ljudevit Fran Ježić

Redaktura i korektura:

Marko Kos, Nino Čengić

Administracija:

Mira Matijević

Design:

Zoran Pavlović

Kompjutorski slog i prijelom:

Stjepan Ocvirk

Naklada:

500 primjeraka

Tisak:

GRAFOMARK, Zagreb

MATKO
GLOBAČNIK



IZAZOV SKEP- TICIZMA

UTJECAJ HUMEOVE
METAFIZIKE I MORALNE
FILOZOFIJE U EUROPI
18. STOLJEĆA

Hrvatsko filozofsko društvo
Zagreb, 2016.



Ova je knjiga tiskana uz financijsku potporu
Ministarstva znanosti, obrazovanja i sporta
Republike Hrvatske.

Zagreb, rujan 2016.

CIP zapis dostupan u računalnom katalogu Nacionalne i sveučilišne knjižnice u Zagrebu pod brojem 000942266.

ISBN 978-953-164-180-7

SADRŽAJ

11 Predgovor

13 Uvod

I. DIO: HUMEOVA METAFIZIKA I MORALNA FILOZOFIJA U NJIHOVU POVIJESNOM KONTEKSTU

27 1. Iz empirizma u sentimentalizam

37 2. Skeptičko ograničenje metafizike

39 2.1. Osnove uma: impresije i ideje

45 2.2. O apstraktnim idejama prostora i vremena

54 2.3. Tri zakona asocijacije

65 2.4. Prirodno vjerovanje

71 2.5. Vjerojatnost

72 2.6. Između skepticizma i metafizičkog realizma

78 2.7. Osobni identitet

80 2.8. Skeptički idealizam zasnovan na empirizmu – sredstvo protiv racionalizma

85 3. Moralna filozofija

94 3.1. Moralna psihologija

107 3.2. Sloboda volje

115 3.3. Teorija motivacije

122 3.4. Moralna razlikovanja

132 3.5. Umjetne vrline

143 3.6. Humeova teorija moralnog osjećaja

159 Dodatak: Hume o religiji

II. DIO: UTJECAJ HUMEOVE METAFIZIKE I MORALNE FILOZOFIJE U EUROPI 18. STOLJEĆA

171 1. Kontekst recepcije Humeovih djela: prosvjetiteljstvo

174 1.1. *The Republic of Letters*

176 1.2. Rana recepcija *Treatise* i kasnija Humeova filozofska djela

187 2. Hume u francuskom prosvjetiteljstvu

187 2.1. Izostanak filozofskog odgovora

195 2.2. Najveća afera u životu: Rousseau

215	3. <i>Nemo propheta in patria</i>: škotsko prosvjetiteljstvo
217	3.1. Thomas Reid i škotska škola zdravog razuma
229	3.2. Drugi odgovori u škotskom i engleskom prosvjetiteljstvu
235	4. Humeov utjecaj u njemačkom prosvjetiteljstvu do pojave Kantove kritičke filozofije
239	4.1. U početku bijahu komentari Johanna Georga Sulzera
244	4.2. Moses Mendelssohn protiv Humeova skepticizma
252	4.3. Od prosvjetiteljske negacije do humeovske rehabilitacije religije: Johann Georg Hamann
279	4.4. Prema transcendentnoj filozofiji: Johann Nicolaus Tetens
291	5. Hume i Kant
293	5.1. Humeov utjecaj u Kantovu predkritičkom periodu
294	5.1.1. Preokret u Kantovoj filozofiji
296	5.1.2. Humeove teorije u Kantovim djelima
307	5.1.3. Utjecaj sentimentalizma
316	5.1.4. Oduševljenje Rousseauom
322	5.1.5. Vrhunac skeptičkih razmišljanja
324	5.1.6. Bliskost empirizmu
326	5.2. Buđenje iz dogmatičkog drijemeža
346	5.3. Postanak transcendentnog idealizma
356	5.4. Prva <i>Kritik</i> protiv Humeova skepticizma
369	5.5. Kantova moralna filozofija
383	6. »Humeova osveta« Kantu
384	6.1. Napad racionalista i empirista
385	6.1.1. Göttingenska recenzija prve <i>Kritik</i>
388	6.1.2. <i>Prolegomena</i>
393	6.1.3. Prvi zagovornici Kantove filozofije
396	6.1.4. Rasprave dobivaju zamah
401	6.1.5. <i>Grundlegung</i> i njeni kritičari
405	6.2. Filozofi »vjere i osjećaja«
407	6.2.1. Hamannova metakritika Kantova kriticizma
412	6.2.2. Spor oko panteizma
418	6.2.3. Jacobijev <i>David Hume</i> protiv Kanta
423	6.3. Kant odgovara protivnicima
426	6.4. Nastavak napada empirista i racionalista
427	6.4.1. Vrhunac empirističke kritike
429	6.4.2. Propast racionalizma
435	Epilog: Kraj prosvjetiteljstva
439	Zaključak
443	Bibliografija
467	Summary
471	Kazalo imena



Mojoj obitelji

Napomena o prijevodu

Kada citiram određeno djelo, pokraj stranice izvornika često donosim stranice hrvatskog prijevoda u zagradama (primjerice, Kant, *Kritik der praktischen Vernunft*, 32 [66]). O kojim se točno prijevodima radi može se vidjeti u bibliografiji na kraju knjige. Pri svakom citatu prijevoda posebno napominjem ukoliko sam određene dijelove ili termine sam preinačio. U slučaju kada ne citiram hrvatski prijevod, ja sam prevoditelj citata koji se javljaju u tekstu.

Popis ilustracija

Hume, jedan od najvećih istraživača ljudske prirode, njena glavna načela zasniva na prijenosu živosti koji provode vjeronjavanje i drugi osjećaji. Svrha je ilustracija upravo unijeti živost u često suhoparne metafizičke i etičke rasprave, te potaknuti rad mašte i pružiti dodatne informacije o sadržaju. Izvori slika i njihovih opisa mogu se naći u posebnom odjeljku unutar bibliografije na kraju djela.

1. *David Hume* 83
2. Naslovnica *Encyclopédie* 172
3. *The Savage Man* 210
4. *Kant und seine Tischgenossen* 322

Ova je knjiga nastala kao znatno prošireno i dopunjeno izdanje moga diplomskog rada *Utjecaj Humeove metafizike i moralne filozofije u Europi 18. stoljeća*, koji je obranjen 29. rujna 2014. na Odsjeku za filozofiju Filozofskog fakulteta Sveučilišta u Zagrebu. Zahvaljujem mentoru, profesoru Anti Čoviću koji mi je ponudio da objavim rad u Biblioteci »Filozofska istraživanja«. Dužan sam zahvaliti recenzentima i svima koji su na razne načine doprinijeli objavljivanju ove knjige, a time i podupirali u ogromnom poslu njena pisanja i dovršavanja, koji sam ipak s velikim užitkom radio. Od velike mi je pomoći u tome poslu bila izdašna stipendija Grada Zagreba za izvrsnost u studiju. Posebnu zahvalnost dugujem Ljudevitu Franu Ježiću i Josipu Brlekoviću koji su pročitali ranije verzije rukopisa i dali mi brojne savjete. Za moguće sam pogreške, dakako, sam odgovoran.

Zagreb, 20. svibnja 2016.

U ljeto 1776. godine, u lijepoj je novoizgrađenoj kući na Trgu sv. Andrije u novome dijelu Edinburgha svoje posljednje dane provodio jedan stariji čovjek. Većinu vremena sjedio bi nakrivljeno u stolici u salonu za primanje, čitajući knjige, pišući pisma, primajući posjetitelje i korigirajući svoja djela, tada poznata među većinom učenih ljudi u Europi, za novo izdanje.¹ Bio je to škotski filozof David Hume, ali mnogi njegovi prijatelji i poznanici još bi povezivali slavno ime s debeljuškastom osobom koja je većinu života svojim šalama i dobrim raspoloženjem znala razveseliti svako društvo. Sada je pred njima stajao sablasno blijed čovjek koji je naglo mršavio, jasno odajući znakove napredujuće bolesti koju ni šale ni lije-po sivo odijelo i perika na glavi nisu mogle prikriti.² »Starost nije ništa doli jad«, tužio se on u pismu prijatelju.³ Učeni svijet u njegovoj zemlji kao da mu nije htio olakšati teško stanje u posljednjim godinama i mjesecima života. Profesor u Aberdeenu James Beattie izgradio je svoju reputaciju neargumentirano blateći Humea, predstavljajući ga kao smiješnog skeptika koji je proturječio najjasnijim načelima zdravoga razuma. Njegovo je djelo *Essay on Truth* postalo iznimno popularno, donoseći mu 1773. godišnju novčanu potporu od britanskog vladara i doktorat Sveučilišta u Oxfordu. Niti škotskome knji-

¹ John Young Thomson Greig, ed., *The Letters of David Hume*, vol. II (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1932), 235; Ernest Campbell Mossner, *The Life of David Hume*, 2nd ed. (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1980), 561–563, 589.

² Ibid.; James Boswell, »An Account of my Last Interview with David Hume, Esq.«, in: James Fieser, ed., *Early Responses to Hume*, 2nd ed., vol. IX: *Early Responses to Hume's Life and Reputation* (Bristol: Thoemmes Press, 2005), 288.

³ Raymond Klibansky, Ernest Campbell Mossner, ed., *The New Letters of David Hume* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1969), 288.

ževniku Jamesu Boswellu Hume nije bio po volji. Strašno ga je mučila misao o ništavilu nakon smrti, a još i više činjenica da jedan tako oštrouman filozof i krepostan čovjek poput Humea zagovara »ateizam«. ⁴

Ipak, ostarjeli se mislilac do kraja života borio protiv krivih interpretacija svoje filozofije, iako je davno odlučio da neće ulaziti u javne polemike. ⁵ Krajem listopada 1775. svome je izdavaču poslao proglas protiv Reida i Beattieja, poručujući im da u svojoj zadrtoj gorljivosti nisu shvatili pravu bit njegove filozofije jer su se koncentrirali na mladenačko djelo nazvano *A Treatise of Human Nature*. ⁶ Proglas je bio tiskan na početku popularnijih ali površnijih prerada *Treatise*, koje je usprkos onemoćalom tijelu revno prepravljao, i dalje se nadajući da će čitateljska publika kroz njih napokon shvatiti njegovu filozofiju.

U kolovozu 1776., osjećajući da mu se bliži kraj, Hume je napisao oprostajna pisma. Jedno od njih bilo je poslano u Francusku, grofici Boufflers koja ga je desetak godina prije spojila s proslavljenim piscem Jean-Jacquesom Rousseauom. ⁷ Iako je Humeov odnos s potonjim završio najvećim mogućim skandalom, ipak je taj događaj dublje pronio njegovo ime po čitavoj Europi. Većina francuskih prosvjetitelja u tom je skandalu bezrezervno podržavala Humea, ali niti oni nisu imali razumijevanja za njegovu filozofiju, ako ona nije bila u skladu s njihovim idealima, iako su ga tijekom njegova boravka u Francuskoj tretirali poput božanstva. Činilo se da je Humeova misao u učenome svijetu Europe mogla pobuditi samo otpor i nerazumijevanje, ako već nije bila prešućivana.

⁴ Boswell, »An Account of my Last Interview with Hume«, 286–291; Mossner, *Life of David Hume*, 577–579, 587–588, 597–598.

⁵ David Hume, *My Own Life*, in: Greig, *Letters of David Hume* II, 3.

⁶ *Ibid.*, 301; David Hume, *An Enquiry concerning Human Understanding: A Critical Edition*, edited, and with a work »A History of the *Enquiry concerning Human Understanding*« by Tom L. Beauchamp (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2000), Advertisement.

⁷ Greig, *Letters of David Hume* II, 335. Puno ime i naslov grofice je Marie-Charlotte Hippolyte de Campet de Saujeon, grofica Boufflers-Rouverel.

Unatoč tomu, Humeov dobar prijatelj Adam Smith u jednome je pismu opisivao filozofove napore da pred smrt svojim veselim raspoloženjem uvjeri prijatelje kako se čvrsto drži skepticizma u pogledu zagrobnog života, povlačeći paralele s Lukijanom, jednim od brojnih autora koje je čitao posljednjih mjeseci. Hume je u šali priznao Smithu da među svim opravdanjima koje su Haronu davali oni koji su oklijevali ući u njegov brod nije mogao naći nijedno koje bi njemu odgovaralo. On bi odgađanje prelaska na drugu stranu rijeke opravdavao potrebom da dodatno usavrši svoja djela, nadajući se da će otvoriti oči javnosti i svjedočiti padu prevladavajućih »sustava praznovjerja«. ⁸ Međutim, to mu nije ispunjeno. Humea je groznica čvrsto prikovala za krevet nekoliko dana nakon pisma grofici Boufflers. Svome nećaku izdiktirao je posljednje pismo, upravljeno Smithu, napisano ponajprije zbog brige oko posthumnog objavljivanja djela *Dialogues concerning Natural Religion*, koje je trebalo biti njegova posljednja riječ u svim stvarima koje su prelazile granice iskustva. ⁹ Uvečer 24. kolovoza 1776. izgubio je moć govora, a sljedećeg je dana u četiri sata popodne prešao Aheront i ostavio ovaj svijet. Unatoč snažnoj kiši, povodom pogreba održanog 29. kolovoza okupila se gomila ljudi, gledajući kako se iz kuće u Ulici sv. Davida iznosi lijes s tijelom položenim na vječni počinak u grob koji je arhitekt Robert Adam kasnije oblikovao kao klasicistički mauzolej. Mišljenja okupljenih i dalje su bila podijeljena, pri čemu su neki rezignirano šaptom komentirali pokojnikov »ateizam«. ¹⁰

Jedine dvije zemlje od kojih je »sv. David« očekivao priznanje bile su Francuska i Velika Britanija. U ovoj posljednjoj nade je polagao poglavito u Škotsku jer je posljednja generacija Engleza posve utonula u »barbarstvo« te stoga od njih nije očekivao gotovo ništa. ¹¹ Međutim, u svojim posljednjima danima Hume nije ni slutio da upravo među Nijemcima ili »Go-

⁸ Ibid., 450–452.

⁹ Ibid., 335–336.

¹⁰ Mossner, *Life of David Hume*, 591, 603.

¹¹ Greig, *Letters of David Hume* II, 310.

tima«, kako ih nazivao, njegova filozofija počinje igrati veliku ulogu.¹² U dalekom je Königsbergu Johann Georg Hamann, duhovni otac pokreta *Sturm und Drang*, već desetljećima bio inspiriran Humeom te je brzo po izdavanju *Dialogues* (1779.) čitao to djelo, prevodio ga i hvalio njegovu ljepotu svojim prijateljima i poznanicima. Za Hamanna je Hume bio suprotno od ateista, prorok koji je u svojim ironičnim obratima i šalama nevoljko propovijedao istinu, a ta je istina bila potreba čistog vjerovanja. I tada tek izdano ogromno djelo sveučilišnog profesora iz Kiela, Johanna Nicolausa Tetensa, *Philosophische Versuche über die menschliche Natur und ihre Entwicklung* (1777.), dobrim se dijelom bavilo Humeovom filozofijom. Ono se zajedno s Hamannovim nedovršenim prijevodom *Dialogues* uskoro našlo na radnome stolu profesora sa Sveučilišta u Königsbergu, Immanuela Kanta.¹³ Iako mu je na zidu u sobi visjela Rousseauova slika, u Kantovoj se glavi stalno vrtjela misao o tome kako obraniti svoja uvjerenja od Humeove filozofije koja ga je zaintrigirala prije puno godina, pišući skice za djelo koje će 1781. objaviti pod naslovom *Kritik der reinen Vernunft*.¹⁴

Desetak godina kasnije, duhovna epoha 18. stoljeća, koju je obilježavalo prosvjetiteljstvo, dolazila je do svoga klimaksa u Francuskoj revoluciji. Izgledalo je da su prosvjetiteljski ideali koje su desetljećima zagovarali francuski *philosophes* napokon ispunjeni. Za njih je svako ljudsko biće po svome razumu sposobno za usavršavanje i na takvoj racionalističkoj osnovici odvija se vječno napredujuće kretanje povijesti.¹⁵ Kant, koji

¹² Mossner, *Life of David Hume*, 594.

¹³ Johann Georg Hamann, *Briefwechsel*, herausgegeben von Walther Ziesemer und Arthur Henkel, Bd. III (Wiesbaden: Insel-Verlag, 1957), 376; Dieter-Jürgen Löwisch, »Kants *Kritik der reinen Vernunft* und Humes *Dialogues concerning Natural Religion*«, *Kant-Studien* 56 (1965), 171.

¹⁴ Reinhold Bernhard Jachmann, *Immanuel Kant geschildert in Briefen an einen Freund*, in: Alfons Hoffmann, Hg., *Immanuel Kant. Ein Lebensbild nach Darstellungen der Zeitgenossen Jachmann, Borowski, Wasianski* (Halle a. S.: Hugo Peter, 1902), 122; Karl Vorländer, *Immanuel Kant: Der Mann und das Werk*, Bd. I (Leipzig: Felix Meiner, 1924), 248–262.

¹⁵ Ulrich Im Hof, *Das Europa der Aufklärung*, 2. Auflage (München: C. H. Beck, 1995), 12–14, 145.

je postao najznačajniji njemački filozof toga doba, svojom je filozofijom zahvaljujući poticajima oštroumnog Škota Humea izvršio temeljitu kritiku razuma i jasno odredio njegove granice. Sva racionalna bića mogu matematičkom egzaktnošću spoznati prirodne zakone u svijetu iskustva, a u praktičkom rasuđivanju nadaje im se moralni zakon koji je razlog spoznavanja njihove autonomije od prirode, ali i postojanja Boga i besmrtnosti duše.

Ali Kant nije sudbinu svoje filozofije čvrsto vezao samo uz razum i prosvjetiteljstvo, nego i uz Humea,¹⁶ a brojni su njemački mislioci sa sve većom uvjerljivošću dokazivali da pruski filozof nije zadovoljavajuće nastavio putom kojim je isprva pošao škotski skeptik. Dok su Kantovi sljedbenici isticali da je Hume samo otvorio skeptičke dvojbe koje nije riješio, njemački filozofi koji su bili skloni empirizmu ili racionalizmu bili su nezadovoljni Kantovim rješenjem, a nastavljači Hamannove mistične filozofije tvrdili su da je Hume rješenje tih dvojbi vidio u odbacivanju čitavog racionalnog znanja i u priklanjanju čistom vjerovanju. Učeni svijet kasnoga njemačkog prosvjetiteljstva žestokim je raspravama sve više nalikovao košnici prepunoj bijesnih pčela. Glavni problem koji je dominirao tim raspravama ticao se moći razuma, stare prijeorne točke između racionalista i empirista, ali sada u novijem i radikalnijem obliku, dobrim dijelom zbog izazova koji je Hume postavio tadašnjim misliocima. Dvojbe da na razumu počiva opravdanje moralnosti i religije te da on može sam sebe kritizirati i postavljati granice ljudskog razumijevanja svijeta postajale su sve jače.¹⁷ Ti su problemi po sebi nužno povlačili pitanje metafizike i mogućnosti odgovora na pitanja koja je ona postavljala, ali i moralne filozofije koja je obuhvaćala pro-

¹⁶ O prijevodu termina poput razuma (*Vernunft*) s njemačkog jezika vidi poglavlje II.5.1.2. Immanuel Kant, *Prolegomena zu einer jeden künftigen Metaphysik, die als Wissenschaft wird auftreten können*, in: *Gesammelte Schriften*, herausgegeben von der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Bd. IV, (Berlin: Georg Reimer, 1911), 257, 260 [9, 11].

¹⁷ Frederick Charles Beiser, *The Fate of Reason: German Philosophy from Kant to Fichte* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1987), 1.

blem autonomije od svijeta nužnosti i uloge razuma i osjećaja u praktičkom rasuđivanju. U pozadini svega toga ključno je bilo pitanje sudbine prosvjetiteljstva jer je upravo ono počivalo na legitimitetu razuma.

Naizgled je paradoksalno da je Humeov skepticizam bio korišten od najrazličitijih strana u tim intelektualnim sukobima, a razlog tome je višestranost njegove filozofije. Za jedne je ona bila negativna rušilačka snaga, za druge korisno sredstvo u katarzi od racionalističkog dogmatizma, a za treće sigurna osnova za izgradnju novoga svjetonazora obilježenog vjerom i osjećajem. Hume, iako je fizički bio na vječnome počinku u Edinburghu, duhom je bio živ i duboko upleten u sve te rasprave koje su odlučivale budućnost filozofije. Jasno je da vraćanje tim temama u drugu polovicu 18. stoljeća nije čisto historijskog značenja, već znači zadiranje u korijen i srce problema koji su krucijalno važni za suvremenu filozofiju, a obuhvaćeni su u temama poput početaka egzistencijalizma i postmodernizma, odnosa epistemologije i etike, znanosti i filozofije, razuma i vjere.

Spomenuti su problemi otvoreni punih pola stoljeća prije početka Francuske revolucije. Na kraju prve knjige *Treatise*, tog »mladenačkog« i »nezrelog« djela, Hume ih je sazeo u pesimističnoj tvrdnji da se sve što se može sa sigurnošću znati svodi na impresije, ili slijed osjetilnih utisaka i osjećaja koji se u umu smjenjuju jedni za drugima.¹⁸ Time je on simbolički srušio prosvjetiteljski optimizam i stvorio izazov na koji će brojni mislioci toga doba pokušati odgovoriti, vjerojatno niti ne sluteći koliku će krizu kasnije prouzrokovati. Njegova je filozofija izložena u *Treatise* bila početna točka prave revolucije u povijesti filozofije, koja pamti malo takvih radikalnih promjena koje potpuno mijenjaju smjer filozofskoga mišljenja. Da bi se shvatio tijek te revolucije, potrebno je odgovoriti na dva velika pitanja. Prvo se tiče razjašnjavanja temelja Humeove teorijske i praktičke filozofije koje su svojim dobro argumentiranim idejama zahtijevale dubinsku promjenu u eu-

¹⁸ David Hume, *A Treatise of Human Nature: A Critical Edition*, ed. David Fate Norton and Mary J. Norton, vol. I (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2007), 1.4.7.3; Beiser, *Fate of Reason*, 3.

ropskoj misli, a drugo različitog utjecaja tih ideja na mnogobrojne europske mislioce 18. stoljeća, koji su svoju filozofiju oblikovali kao odgovor Humeu, i čija se djela ne mogu dubinski razumjeti bez shvaćanja njegove filozofije.

Stoga je prvi cilj ovoga djela dati izlaganje Humeove moralne filozofije i metafizike, ili bolje rečeno, ograničenja metafizike, na čijim temeljima stoji njegov cjelokupni sistem. Kako bi se izbjegla svaka dvojba oko termina i unijela jasnoća u sadržaj i opseg rada, potrebno je najprije kratko definirati što Hume misli pod terminima metafizike i moralne filozofije, te objasniti vezu između ta dva područja u njegovoj misli. U definiranju svog stava prema metafizici škotski filozof nužno zadire u epistemologiju i filozofiju uma, ne radi njih samih, već upravo radi rješavanja metafizičkih problema. Krajnji ishod, a to je Humeov antimetafizički stav kojim proglašava svaku metafiziku nemogućom, ipak ostaje fundamentalno važan i unutar granica opće metafizike ili ontologije jer odgovara na pitanje bića, tj. daje odgovor na pitanje što uopće jest, što postoji? Uostalom, treba se imati na umu da kolikogod Hume odbacuje tradicionalnu metafiziku, područje istraživanja koje ga je dovelo do tog rezultata i dalje zove upravo tim starim filozofskim terminom.¹⁹ I izrazi *morals* i *moral philosophy* u engleskom jeziku 18. stoljeća zahtijevaju objašnjenje jer oni obuhvaćaju šire područje nego današnja etika, pa u Humeovoj terminologiji čak označavaju sve što se tiče uma ili duha.²⁰ Ova će se knjiga ponajprije koncentrirati na moralnu filozofiju u njenu suvremenom značenju, dakle na etiku i moralnu psihologiju kao njen neizostavni dio, jer problematizira teme fundamentalno značajne za striktno etičku problematiku, poput slobode volje. Konačno, kakva je poveznica metafizike i moralne filozofije u Humeovu djelu, ali i drugim djelima koja su nastala pod njegovim utjecajem? Ona čini dva lica iste stvari, izložene u dvije različite knjige. Skeptičko ograničenje ljud-

¹⁹ Metafizika se upravo pod takvim »ontološkim« značenjem upotrebljavala u ranomodernom engleskom jeziku, kao spekulacija o prvim načelima stvari, o biću i bitku. *Oxford English Dictionary*, 2nd ed., s. v. »metaphysics«.

²⁰ Hume, *Enquiry concerning Human Understanding*, 1.1 [57]. Vidi komentar urednika uz citirano mjesto.

skih kognitivnih moći za Humea je apsolutni i nužni preduvjet kako bi se uklonile vjekovne zablude i sa sigurnošću izgradila praktička filozofija.²¹ I drugi će slijediti tim stopama, poput Kanta, koji je tek ograničenjem čistog spekulativnog razuma napravio prostor za izgradnju svoje praktičke filozofije. Tek nakon toga, ograničenjem tradicionalne metafizike i uklanjanjem paničnog straha od skepse koji proganja novovjekovnu filozofiju od Descartesa, na sigurnim temeljima izgrađena praktička filozofija može dobiti svoju punu snagu.

Želja da se prije razlaganja Humeova utjecaja u Europi 18. stoljeća prvo temeljito razjasni njegova filozofija leži u činjenici da su čak i najznačajniji radovi o toj temi na hrvatskome jeziku vrlo siromašni i parcijalni. Iako je u hrvatskoj akademskoj filozofiji škotski filozof prisutan najkasnije od početaka predavanja na prvom hrvatskom modernom sveučilištu (osnovanom 1874. godine u Zagrebu), o njemu je ipak pisano neobično malo. Štoviše, Humeovo je djelo bilo isključivo prepoznato kao iskra koja je pokrenula Kanta svojim skepticizmom i empirijskim istraživanjem na području metafizike i epistemologije. To je zapravo bio rezultat tadašnjeg istraživanja u Njemačkoj, pokrenut ponajprije novokantovskim interesima s kojima su hrvatski filozofi bili dobro upoznati. »Plodonosna supstanca« Humeove misli, po njemačkim novokantovcima, »leži jedino i samo u njegovoj skepsi« koja pobuđuje ostale na odgovor, a u svemu ostalom ta bi skepsa, ukoliko bi se dosljedno slijedila, doslovno vodila ravno u katastrofu.²² Jasno je da

²¹ Vidi Humeovo pismo Francisu Hutchesonu u kojem uspoređuje metafizičara s anatomom (moglo bi se slobodno reći anatomom ljudskoga uma) što daje savjet slikaru, koji predstavlja etičara: »An anatomist, however, can give very good advice to a painter or statuary. And, in a like manner, I am persuaded that a metaphysician may be very helpful to a moralist, though I cannot easily conceive these two characters in the same work.« Greig, *Letters of David Hume* I, 33.

²² Ernst Cassirer, *Das Erkenntnisproblem in der Philosophie und Wissenschaft der neueren Zeit*, Bd. II (Berlin: Bruno Cassirer, 1922), 359; Erich Adickes, »Die bewegenden Kräfte in Kants philosophischer Entwicklung und die beiden Pole seines Systems«, *Kant-Studien* 1 (1897), 19. Dakako, bilo je filozofa iz njemačkog govornog područja koji su u Humeu našli inspiraciju, poput Edmunda Husserla, Franza Brentana i Alexiusa Meinonga.

su oni znali malo ili ništa o vrijednosti Humeova naturalizma i moralnog osjećaja koji čini pozitivan dio njegove filozofije i kojom se on svrstava među najveće mislioce. Hrvatski su filozofi toga vremena dakle prenijeli rezultate takva manjkavog istraživanja u svoja djela, preko kojih se izvorni Hume gledao kroz vrlo uskogrudnu perspektivu, koju i danas često zauzima u sažetim pregledima filozofije, a to je kao skeptik koji je uzdrmao koncept kauzalnosti.²³ Takvo je mišljenje bilo uzrok dugo prevladavajuće pogrešne interpretacije njegove filozofije, koju kao da je predvidio prije svoje smrti. Naime, Hume je zamišljao da bi mu Haron na njegove molbe za produženjem života dok ne vidi uspjeh svoje filozofije bezobzirno odgovorio kako se to neće dogoditi još stotinama godina.²⁴

Otrprilike od polovice 20. stoljeća u svijetu je došlo do prave renesanse radova (ponajprije na engleskom jeziku) o Humeu, koja su maknula isključivu skeptičku stigmju s njegova imena i ponudila drugačije interpretacije njegove filozofije čime je on prepoznat kao inspiracija za brojne teme suvremene filozofije, poput epistemologije, etike ili filozofije religije. Ipak je i tada u nas o tome pisano vrlo malo pa se niti slika o njemu kao o pobijenom skeptiku nije promijenila. Međutim, u onovremenim se djelima na hrvatskom jeziku ispravno skrenula pozornost na druga važna područja Humeova djelovanja, s metafizike i epistemologije na etiku, estetiku i filozofiju religije, dok se upozorilo na važne pomake od pozicije njemačke filozofije krajem 19. i početkom 20. stoljeća, u istraživanju škotskoga filozofa u novijim radovima. Te studije ipak nisu slijedile put interpretacije Humeove filozofije iz njegovih izvornih djela, sagledane u okviru cjelovitosti njegove misli. Zbog toga se često dolazi-

²³ Naravno da je i prije 1874. Hume bio čitan u Hrvatskoj, ponajprije u višim društvenim slojevima. Tako knjižnica HAZU čuva francuski prijevod Humeovih *Essays* (izabrao i uredio Jean Auguste Jullien de Boulmiers ili Desboulmiers) iz 1767. godine, primjerak koji je bio u vlasništvu ilirca Dragojla (Dragutina) Kušlana. Što se tiče ocjena o Humeu u akademskim krugovima poslije 1874., vidi Franjo Marković, *Razvoj i sustav obćenite estetike* (Zagreb: Nakladom Kraljevske hrvatsko-slavonsko-dalmatinske zemaljske vlade, 1903), 160–161 i Albert Bazala, *Povjest filozofije*, sv. II (Zagreb: Matica hrvatska, 1909), 277–285.

²⁴ Greig, *Letters of David Hume* II, 451.

lo do manjkavih zaključaka poput nedosljednosti ili čak proturječnosti između njegova skepticizma i naturalizma.²⁵

Upravo u vidu upozorenja na takve manjkave zaključke može se konačno upozoriti na točku koja je krucijalna za ovo istraživanje, a to je imperativ da se Humeov filozofski sustav proučava u jedinstvu njegove misli. Jer otkidanje dijelova njegove filozofije, poput nekog slavnog problema (primjerice, indukcije ili kauzalnosti) i njihovo odvojeno proučavanje siguran je put u pogrešnu interpretaciju, budući da je Humeov sustav spojen vrsnoćom filozofske argumentacije koja nerazdvojivo spaja najrazličitije aspekte toga sustava, poput negativiteta njegova skepticizma (koji se i danas prečesto jedino uzima u obzir) i pozitivnosti osjećaja i prirodnih impulsa u čovjeku.

²⁵ Možda i najbolji rad napisan o Humeu do sada na hrvatskome jeziku potječe od Gaje Petrovića, »Problem spoznaje u filozofiji D. Humea«, u: David Hume, *Istraživanje o ljudskom razumu*, preveo Ivo Vidan, 2. izdanje (Zagreb: Naprijed, 1988), 9–54. Petrović je upozorio na tada aktualnu stranu literaturu, oslanjajući se ponajprije na rad Normana Kemp Smitha, i na osnovi toga pružio je važne informacije o naturalističkom načinu interpretiranja Humeove filozofije. Ali on se nije osvrnuo, štoviše, odbacio je Humeovu pozitivnu filozofiju koja počiva na vjerovanju i osjećaju, te u nekim dijelovima, gdje sam pokušava dati doprinos, i gdje se odvaja od Kemp Smitha, nudi informacije koje lako mogu odvesti čitatelje na krivi trag. Tako naveliho raspravlja o tobožnjoj antropološkoj orijentaciji Humeove »znanosti o ljudskoj prirodi«, dok je ona zapravo vrlo slabo povezana s današnjom filozofskom antropologijom. Hume pod tim nazivom misli ponajprije na filozofiju uma i epistemologiju, te govori o konstantnosti moći ljudskog razumijevanja svijeta, što se lako vidi iz prvih par stranica »velike *Rasprave*«. Iako je Kemp Smithova knjiga i danas nezaobilazna studija o Humeu, u proteklo je vrijeme došlo do puno novih spoznaja o njegovoj filozofiji. Tako su revidirane brojne Kemp Smithove teze (primjerice, o vanjskom svijetu i principima ljudske prirode), a njegov se zaključak, da je Humeova filozofija opterećena proturječnostima, pokazuje pogrešnim. Noviji su interpretatori pokazali koliko je teško vidljivog, sofisticiranog zaključivanja Hume upotrijebio, te učinio svoj sustav konzistentnim, što je bilo izvan dohvata Kemp Smithova, a time i Petrovićeva, vremena. Humeova je filozofija religije, iz sasvim očiglednog razloga, zaintrigirala Branka Bošnjaka u njegovu pregledu *Povijest filozofije*, sv. III (Zagreb: Nakladni zavod Matice hrvatske, 1993), 284–297, no on se koncentrirao samo na taj aspekt njegove filozofije (pregled bi ipak trebao težiti cjelini filozofove misli), a i pogriješio je u onim elementima koji interpretiraju osnovne postavke njegova sustava.

Glavni je cilj ovoga rada izložiti Humeov utjecaj na određene europske mislioce 18. stoljeća, u doba zreloga i kasnoga prosvjetiteljstva do njegova simboličnog kraja 1789. godine, tj. početka Francuske revolucije, pri čemu glavni fokus ostaje na problemima koji se tiču metafizike i moralne filozofije. U razlaganju te teme ova knjiga slijedi suvremenu metodologiju proučavanja razvoja filozofskih teorija kroz njihov povijesni kontekst, a koja je u Njemačkoj nazvana istraživanjem konstelacija (*Konstellationsforschung*).²⁶ Jedno je od ključnih postignuća takva pristupa uviđanje da su objavljena filozofska djela tek fragmenti jedne veće slike, koji se teško mogu ispravno protumačiti bez poznavanja cjeline, a ona se može detaljnije rekonstruirati uz pomoć otkrivanja skrivenih ili otvorenih utjecaja jednih mislilaca na druge, njihove korespondencije, recenzija i drugih izvora. Isto kao što se iz stalno mijenjajućih konstelacija tj. položaja nebeskih tijela iščitava drugi horoskop, tako i međusobni odnos određenih filozofa proizvodi različite poticaje u »prostoru mišljenja« (*Denkraum*), tj. u onim temama koje su tada bile diskutirane. Upravo je uviđanje da je filozofski prostor rasprave dinamičan, a ne statičan, da su objavljena djela rasprave s drugim misliocima, a ne od historijskog konteksta izolirani monolozi, i da ona predstavljaju tek jedan fragment u stalno mijenjajućoj diskusiji još jedno ključno postignuće ovakva pristupa. Utoliko je zadatak ovoga rada još teži jer proučava dugotrajniji utjecaj mislioca iz jedne filozofske tradicije na mislioce iz drugih tradicija, sa svim jezičnim i terminološkim problemima koje takva tema nosi.²⁷

²⁶ Posebna je inspiracija u takvu pristupu bio Beiserov klasik *The Fate of Reason*. Termin *Konstellationsforschung* uveo je Dieter Henrich. Vidi njegov članak »Konstellationsforschung zur klassischen deutschen Philosophie. Motiv – Ergebnis – Probleme – Perspektiven – Begriffsbildung«, in: *Konstellationsforschung*, Hg. Martin Mulsow, Marcelo Stamm (Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 2005), 15–30.

²⁷ Ibid., 28; Marcelo R. Stamm, »Konstellationsforschung – Ein Methodenprofil: Motive und Perspektiven«, in: *Konstellationsforschung*, 36–39. Ovaj rad nadilazi tipično istraživanja konstelacija jer se ne zadržava u okvirima nacionalnih filozofskih tradicija, ali i ne smatra da konstelacije nužno obilježava vremenski rapidan razvoj filozofskih teorija. Vidi Henrich, »Konstellationsforschung«, 22–23.

U ovakvim metodološkim okvirima postavljaju se pitanja recepcije Humeovih djela i njegove filozofije u Europi 18. stoljeća, načina na koji su različiti mislioci posredovali njegove ideje među sobom i utjecaja koje su one imale u daljnjem razvoju filozofije. O svemu tome u radovima na hrvatskome jeziku nije se gotovo uopće pisalo. To nije iznenađujuće jer sinteza o tome do sada nije napisana ni na drugim jezicima, ali je svijest o problemu Humeova utjecaja itekako prisutna i fragmentarno obrađena u brojnim radovima. No taj je problem od krucijalnog značenja za problematiziranje te ispravnije i dublje shvaćanje razvoja zapadne filozofije u dugome trajanju, od 18. stoljeća do danas.

I. Izvori

1.1. Hume

Najsuvremenije i jedino kritičko izdanje Humeovih filozofskih djela, s opširnim uredničkim komentarima, iz Oxfordove je edicije *The Clarendon Edition of the Works of David Hume*. Nažalost, u trenutku pisanja ovog rada ta serija nije dovršena jer zasada je izdano pet od osam planiranih svezaka. Prva dva sveska obuhvaćaju *Treatise* i manje spise povezane s tim djelom, treći svezak sadrži *Enquiry concerning Human Understanding*, četvrti *Enquiry concerning the Principles of Morals*, a peti dvije rasprave, *A Dissertation on the Passions* i *The Natural History of Religion*. Zadnja tri sveska su u pripremi. Šesti i sedmi obuhvatiti će *Essays: Moral, Political, and Literary*, a zadnji, osmi, posthumno objavljeno djelo *Dialogues concerning Natural Religion*. Isti izdavač priprema Humeovu korespondenciju, koju bi trebao urediti David Raynor. Do tada se kao zamjena za ta neobjavljena djela koriste druga standardna izdanja Humeovih filozofskih radova i korespondencije.

Greig, John Young Thomson. *The Letters of David Hume*. Volume I–II. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1932.

Hume, David. *A Concise and Genuine Account of the Dispute between Mr. Hume and Mr. Rousseau . . . Translated from the French*. London: Printed for T. Becket and P. A. de Hondt, 1766.

———. *Essays: Moral, Political, and Literary*. Edited, and with a Foreword, Notes, and Glossary by Eugene F. Miller. Indianapolis: Liberty Fund, 1985.

———. *Istraživanje o ljudskom razumu*. Predgovor »Problem spoznaje u filozofiji D. Humea« Gajo Petrović. Preveo Ivo Vidan. Drugo izdanje. Zagreb: Naprijed, 1988.

———. *An Enquiry concerning the Principles of Morals: A Critical Edition*. Edited, and with a Work »A History of The Enquiry on Morals« by Tom L. Beauchamp. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1998.

———. *An Enquiry concerning Human Understanding: A Critical Edition*. Edited, and with a Work »A History of the *Enquiry concerning Human Understanding*« by Tom L. Beauchamp. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2000.

———. *Philosophische Versuche über die Menschliche Erkenntniß*. Edited by Johann Georg Sulzer. With an Introduction by Heiner F. Klemme. Bristol: Thoemes Press, 2000.

———. *Dialogues concerning Natural Religion and Other Writings*. Edited by Dorothy Coleman. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.

———. *A Treatise of Human Nature: A Critical Edition*. Edited by David Fate Norton & Mary J. Norton. Volume I: *Texts (A Treatise of Human Nature; An Abstract of a Book Lately Published, Entituled; A Treatise of Human Nature &c; A Letter from a Gentleman to his Friend in Edinburgh)*. Volume II: *Editorial Material (Work »Historical Account of a Treatise of Human Nature from Its Beginnings to the Time of Hume's Death« by David Fate Norton)*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2007.

———. *A Dissertation on the Passions; The Natural History of Religion: A Critical Edition*. Edited, and with a Work »A History of Two Dissertations« by Tom L. Beauchamp. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2007.

Klibansky, Raymond, Ernest Campbell Mossner. *The New Letters of David Hume*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1969.

1.2. Kant

Kant, Immanuel. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Band I–II: *Vorkritische Schriften I–II*. Berlin: Georg Reimer, 1910, 1912.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Band III: *Kritik der reinen Vernunft* (zweite Auflage). Berlin: Georg Reimer, 1911.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Band IV: *Kritik der reinen Vernunft* (erste Auflage); *Prolegomena; Grundlegung zur Metaphysik der Sitten; Metaphysische Anfangsgründe der Naturwissenschaft*. Berlin: Georg Reimer, 1911.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Band V: *Kritik der praktischen Vernunft; Kritik der Urteilskraft*. Berlin: Georg Reimer, 1913.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Band VI: *Die Religion innerhalb der Grenzen der bloßen Vernunft; Metaphysik der Sitten*. Berlin: Georg Reimer, 1914.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Band VII: *Der Streit der Fakultäten; Anthropologie in pragmatischer Hinsicht*. Berlin: Georg Reimer, 1917.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Band VIII: *Abhandlungen nach 1781*. Berlin: Georg Reimer, 1923.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Band X–XIII: *Briefwechsel*. Band I–IV. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co. 1922.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Band XVII–XVIII: *Kant's handschriftlicher Nachlaß*. Band IV–V: *Metaphysik*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co., 1926, 1928.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Band XIX: *Kant's handschriftlicher Nachlaß*. Band VI: *Moralphilosophie, Rechtsphilosophie und Religionsphilosophie*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co., 1934.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Band XX: *Kant's handschriftlicher Nachlaß*. Band VII. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co., 1942.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Deutschen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Band XXIV: *Kant's Vorlesungen*. Herausgegeben von der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen. Band I: *Vorlesungen über Logik*. Erste Hälfte. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co., 1966.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Akademie der Wissenschaften der DDR. Band XXVII: *Kant's Vorlesungen*. Herausgegeben von der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen. Band IV: *Vorlesungen über Moralphilosophie*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co., 1974–1975.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Deutschen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Band XXVIII: *Kant's Vorlesungen*. Band V: *Vorlesungen über Metaphysik und Rationaltheologie*. Herausgegeben von der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co., 1970.

———. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Herausgegeben von der Berlin-Brandenburgischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Band XXV: *Vorlesungen*

über Anthropologie. Herausgegeben von der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co., 1997.

———. *Dvije rasprave (Prolegomena; Osnov metafizike čudoređa)*. Preveo Viktor D. Sonnenfeld. Pogovor Vladimir Filipović. Zagreb: Matica hrvatska, 1953.

———. *Kritika čistog uma*. Prvo i drugo izdanje. Preveo Viktor D. Sonnenfeld. Pogovor Vladimir Filipović. Zagreb: Nakladni zavod Matice hrvatske, 1984.

———. *Kritika praktičkog uma*. Preveo Viktor D. Sonnenfeld. Predgovor Milan Kangrga. Treće izdanje. Zagreb: Naprijed, 1990.

———. *Metafizika čudoređa*. Preveo Dražen Karaman. Priredio Pavo Barišić. Zagreb: Matica hrvatska, 1999.

———. *Latinska djela (Nova dilucidatio; Monadologia physica; Dissertatio)*. Preveli Šimun Selak, Josip Talanga. Priredio Josip Talanga. Zagreb: Sveučilište u Zagrebu – Hrvatski studiji, 2000.

———. *Pravno-politički spisi*. Izbor, prijevod i predgovor Zvonko Posavec. Zagreb: Politička kultura, 2000.

———. *Snovi vidovnjaka, protumačeni snovima metafizike*. U: *Ogledi o vidovitosti*. Preveo Marijan Cipra. Zagreb: Hrvatska sveučilišna naklada, 2008.

Martin, Gottfried, Hg. *Allgemeiner Kantindex zu Kants gesammelten Schriften*. In Zusammenarbeit mit Ingeborg Heidemann, Hugo Moser, Gerold Ungeheuer, Heinz Unger, Leo Weisgerber. Band XX. Dritte Abteilung: *Personenindex*. Bearbeitet von Katharina Holger, Eduard Geresheim, Antje Lange und Jürgen Goetze. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co., 1969.

1.3. Ostali izvori

Anonim₁. »London«. *Neuer Zeitungen von gelehrten Sachen*, 28. 5. 1739.

Anonim₂. »Londen«. *Göttingische Zeitungen von gelehrten Sachen*, 7. 1. 1740.

Anonim₃. »Kritik der reinen Vernunft von Immanuel Kant, Professor in Königsberg«. *Frankfurter gelehrte Anzeigen*, 17. 7., 20. 7. 1781.

Anonim₄. »Critik der reinen Vernunft von Immanuel Kant, Professor in Königsberg«. *Neueste critische Nachrichten*, 25. 7. 1781.

Anonim₅. »Hume, Spinoza und Mendelssohn. Drey Manen«. *Neue Literatur und Völkerkunde* 1 (1787): 526–528.

Balfour, James. *A Delineation of the Nature and Obligation of Morality*. The Second Edition. Edinburgh: Hamilton & Balfour, 1763.

Baumgarten, Alexander Gottlieb. *Initia philosophiae practicae primae*. Halae Magdeburgicae: Impensis Carol. Herm. Hemmerde, 1760.

———. *Metaphysical/Metaphysik*. Historisch-kritische Ausgabe. Übersetzt, eingeleitet und herausgegeben von Günter Gawlick und Lothar Kreimendahl. Stuttgart: Frommann-Holzboog, 2011.

Bayle, Peter (Pierre). *The Dictionary Historical and Critical*. The Second Edition to which is Prefixed, the Life of the Author, Revised, Corrected, and Enlarged by Mr. Des Maizeaux. Volume V. London: D. Midwinter et al., 1738.

Beattie, James. *Essay on the Nature and Immutability of Truth*. The Second Edition, Corrected and Enlarged. Edinburgh: A. Kincaid & J. Bell, E. & C. Dilly, 1771.

———. *Versuch über die Natur und Unveränderlichkeit der Wahrheit*. With an Introduction by Heiner Klemme. Bristol: Thoemes Press, 2000.

Berkeley, George. *The Works of George Berkeley, Bishop of Cloyne*. Edited by Arthur Aston Luce and Thomas Edmund Jessop. Volume II: *The Principles of Human Knowledge; First Draft of the Introduction to the Principles; Three Dialogues between Hylas and Philonous; Philosophical Correspondence with Johnson*. Volume III: *Alciphron or the Minute Philosopher*. Edited by Thomas Edmund Jessop. London: Nelson, 1967.

Boswell, James. *The Life of Samuel Johnson*. New and Unabridged Edition. Edited with an Introduction by David Womersley. London: Penguin Books, 2008.

Burton, John Hill. *Letters of Eminent Persons addressed to David Hume*. Edinburgh: William Blackwood and Sons, 1849.

Descartes, René. *Razmišljanja o prvoj filozofiji*. Preveo Tomislav Ladan. Zagreb: Demetra, 1993.

Eberhard, Johann August. »Nachricht von dem Zweck und der Einrichtung dieses philosophischen Magazins, nebst einigen Betrachtungen über den gegenwärtigen Zustand der Philosophie in Deutschland«. *Philosophisches Magazin* 1 (1788): 1–8.

———. »Ueber die Schranken der menschlichen Erkenntniß«. *Philosophisches Magazin* 1 (1788): 9–29.

———. »Ueber die logische Wahrheit oder die transcendente Gültigkeit der menschlichen Erkenntniß«. *Philosophisches Magazin* 1 (1788): 150–174.

———. »An die Herrn Herausgeber der Berlinischen Monatschrift«. *Philosophisches Magazin* 1 (1788): 235–241.

———. »Weitere Anwendung der Theorie von der logischen Wahrheit oder der transcendentalen Gültigkeit der menschlichen Erkenntniß«. *Philosophisches Magazin* 1 (1788): 243–262.

———. »Ueber das Gebiet des reinen Verstandes«. *Philosophisches Magazin* 1 (1789): 263–289.

———. »Ueber den wesentlichen Unterschied der Erkenntniß durch die Sinne und durch den Verstand«. *Philosophisches Magazin* 1 (1789): 290–306.

———. »Ueber die Unterscheidung der Urteile in analytischen und synthetischen«. *Philosophisches Magazin* 1 (1789): 307–332.

———. »Ausführliche Erklärung über die Absicht dieses philosophischen Magazins. Veranlasst durch eine Rezension des ersten Stückes desselben in der Allg. Litt. Zeitung«. *Philosophisches Magazin* 1 (1789): 333–339.

———. »Ueber den Ursprung der menschlichen Erkenntnis«. *Philosophisches Magazin* 1 (1789): 369–405.

Ewald, Schack Hermann. »Riga. Critik der reinen Vernunft, von Immanuel Kant, Professor in Königsberg«. *Gothaische gelehrte Zeitungen*, 24. 8. 1782.

Feder, Johann Georg Heinrich. »Grundlegung zur Metaphysik der Sitten. Von Immanuel Kant«. *Göttingische Zeitungen von gelehrten Sachen*, 29. 10. 1785.

———. *Ueber Raum und Caussalität zur Prüfung der Kantischen Philosophie*. Göttingen: Johann Christian Dieterich, 1787.

———. »Vorrede«. *Philosophische Bibliothek* 1 (1788): iii–vii.

———. »David Hume Ueber den Glauben oder Idealismus und Realismus. Ein Gespräch von Fr. Heinr. Jacobi«. *Philosophische Bibliothek* 1 (1788): 127–149.

———. »Critik der praktischen Vernunft. Von Immanuel Kant«. *Philosophische Bibliothek* 1 (1788): 182–218.

Fieser, James, ed. *Early Responses to Hume*. Second Edition, Revised. Volume I–II: *Early Responses to Hume's Moral, Political, and Literary Writings*. Volume III–IV: *Early Responses to Hume's Metaphysical and Epistemological Writings*. Volume IX–X: *Early Responses to Hume's Life and Reputation*. Bristol: Thoemmes Press, 2005.

Flatt, Johann Friedrich. »Grundlegung zur Metaphysik der Sitten von Immanuel Kant«. *Tübingsche gelehrte Anzeigen*, 16. 2. 1786.

———. *Fragmentarische Beyträge zur Bestimmung und Deduktion des Begriffs und Grundsatzes der Caussalität*. Leipzig: Siegfried Lebrecht Crusius, 1788.

Garve, Christian, Johann Georg Heinrich Feder. »Kritik der reinen Vernunft. Von Imman. Kant«. *Zugabe zu den Göttinger Gelehrten Anzeigen*, 19. 1. 1782.

———. »Göttingenska recenzija *Kritike čistog uma*. Recenzija časopisa *Göttingische Anzeigen von gelehrten Sachen*«. Preveo Ljudevit Fran Ježić. *Holon* 3 (2013): 70–76.

Garve, Christian. »Kritik der reinen Vernunft, von Immanuel Kant«. *Allgemeine deutsche Bibliothek*, Anhang zu dem 37. bis 52. Bande (1784): 838–862.

Hamann, Johann Georg. *Sämtliche Werke*. Historisch-kritische Ausgabe von Josef Nadler. Band I: *Tagebuch eines Christen*. Band II: *Schriften über Philosophie / Philologie / Kritik 1758–1763*. Band III: *Schriften über Sprache / Mysterien / Vernunft 1772–1788*. Band IV: *Kleine Schriften 1750–1788*. Band V: *Tagebuch eines Lesers 1753–1788*. Band VI: *Der Schlüssel 1750–1788*. Wien: Herder, 1949–1957.

———. *Briefwechsel*. Herausgegeben von Walther Ziesemer und Arthur Henkel. Band I–VII. Wiesbaden: Insel-Verlag, 1955–1975.

———. *Sokratische Denkwürdigkeiten; Aestetica in Nuce*. Mit einem Kommentar von Sven Jørgensen. Stuttgart: Phillip Reclam, 1986.

———. *Writings on Philosophy and Language*. Translated and Edited by Kenneth Haynes. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.

Heine, Heinrich. *Sämtliche Werke*. Band III: *Schriften zu Literatur und Politik I*. Vierte Auflage. Düsseldorf: Artemis & Winkler, 2006.

Herder, Johann Gottfried. *Sämtliche Werke*. Herausgegeben von Bernhard Suphan. Band IV: *Kritische Wälder*. Band V: *Auch eine Philosophie der Geschichte zur Bildung der Menschheit*. Band XVII–XVIII: *Briefe zu Beförderung der Humanität*. Berlin: Weidmannsche Buchhandlung, 1878–1891.

———. *Briefe*. Gesamtausgabe 1763–1803. Unter Leitung von Karl-Heinz Hahn. Herausgegeben von den Nationalen Forschungs- und Gedenkstätten der klassischen deutschen Literatur in Weimar (Goethe- und Schiller-Archiv). Band I–VI. Bearbeitet von Wilhelm Dobbek und Günter Arnoldt. Weimar: Hermann Böhlau Nachfolger, 1977–1979.

Hobbes, Thomas. *Leviathan, or the Matter, Form and Power of a Commonwealth Ecclesiastical and Civil*. Edited by Noel Malcolm. Volume I: *Introduction*. Volume II–III: *Texts*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2012.

Holland, Georg Jonathan. *Philosophische Anmerkungen über das System der Natur*. Aus dem Französischen übersetzt von J. L. Werzel. Theil I–II. Bern: Neue Buchhandlung, 1772.

Hoffmann, Alfons, Hg. *Immanuel Kant. Ein Lebensbild nach Darstellungen der Zeitgenossen Jachmann, Borowski, Wasianski*. Halle a. S.: Hugo Peter, 1902.

Jacobi, Friedrich Heinrich. *Werke*. Gesamtausgabe herausgegeben von Klaus Hammacher und Walter Jaeschke. Band II: *Schriften zum transzendentalen Idealismus*. Unter Mitarbeit von Catia Goretzki herausgegeben von Walter Jaeschke und Irmgard-Maria Piske. Hamburg: Felix Meiner, 2004.

Kames, Henry Home. *Essays on the Principles of Morality and Natural Religion*. Edinburgh: R. Fleming, 1751.

Locke, John. *An Essay concerning Human Understanding*. Edited with an Introduction by Peter H. Nidditch. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1975.

Mendelssohn, Moses. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Jubiläumsausgabe. Herausgegeben von Alexander Altmann, Eva J. Engel, Michael Brocke und Daniel Krochmalnik. Band I–III,2: *Schriften zur Philosophie und Ästhetik I–III,2*. Bearbeitet von Fritz Bamberger und Leo Strauss. Band V,1: *Rezensionartikel in 'Briefe, die neueste Literatur betreffend (1759–1765)'*. Bearbeitet von Eva J. Engel. Band XI: *Briefwechsel I (1754–1762)*. Bearbeitet von Bruno Strauss. Mit Nachträgen von Alexander Altmann. Stuttgart: Fromann Holzboog, 1971–1991.

———. *Opera selecta*. Svezak I: *O evidenciji u metafizičkim znanostima; O vjerojatnosti*. Prevela Vlasta Rotković. Svezak II: *Jutarnji sati ili predavanja o tubitku Boga*. Preveo Antun Slavko Kalenić. Priredio Dimitrije Savić. Zagreb: Demetra, 2000.

Monboddo, James Burnett, Lord. *Of the Origin and Progress of Language*. Volume I. Edinburgh: A. Kincaid & W. Creech, T. Cadell, 1773.

Pistorius, Hermann Andreas. »Prolegomena zu einer jeden künftigen Metaphysik, die als Wissenschaft wird auftreten können. Von Immanuel Kant«. *Allgemeine deutsche Bibliothek* 59 (1784): 322–356.

———. »Erläuterungen über des Herrn Professor Kant Critik der reinen Vernunft von Johann Schultze, Königl. Preußischen Hofprediger«. *Allgemeine deutsche Bibliothek* 66 (1786): 92–123.

———. »Grundlegung zur Metaphysik der Sitten von Immanuel Kant«. *Allgemeine deutsche Bibliothek* 66 (1786): 447–462.

———. »Critik der reinen Vernunft von Immanuel Kant, Prof. in Königsberg, der Königl. Akademie der Wissenschaften in Berlin Mitglied. Zweite hin und wieder verbesserte Auflage«. *Allgemeine deutsche Bibliothek* 81 (1788): 343–354.

———. »Kantische Denkformen, oder Kategorien von Gottlieb August Tittel«. *Allgemeine deutsche Bibliothek* 86 (1789): 143–144.

———. »Ueber Herrn Kant's Moralreform, von Gottlob August Tittel«. *Allgemeine deutsche Bibliothek* 86 (1789): 153–158.

Platner, Ernst. *Ueber den Atheismus*. Neue Ausgabe. Leipzig: Weygand'sche Buchhandlung, 1783.

———. *Philosophische Aphorismen*. Erster Theil. Neue durchaus umgearbeitete Ausgabe. Leipzig: Schwickertscher Verlage, 1784.

Ploucquet, Godofredo [Gottfried]. *Observationes ad commentationem Dni. Immanuelis Kant, de uno possibili fundamento demonstrationis existentiae Dei*. Tubingae: Tipis Ioh. Henr. Phil. Schramii, 1763.

Price, Richard. *A Review of the Principal Questions and Difficulties in Morals*. London: A. Millar, 1758.

Priestley, Joseph. *An Examination of Dr. Reid's Inquiry into the Human Mind on the Principles of Common Sense, Dr. Beattie's Essay on the Nature and Immutability of Truth, and Dr. Oswald's Appeal to Common Sense in Behalf of Religion*. Second Edition. London: J. Johnson, 1775.

———. *Letters to a Philosophical Unbeliever*. Part I. Bath: R. Crutwell, 1780.

Reid, Thomas. *The Works of Thomas Reid, D. D. (The Correspondence of Dr. Reid; Inquiry into the Human Mind; Essays on the Intellectual Powers of Man; Essays on the Active Powers of the Human Mind)*. Preface, Notes and Supplementary Dissertations by William Hamilton. Third Edition. Edinburgh: Maclachlan and Stewart; London: Longman, Brown, Green and Longmans, 1852.

Rose, William. »Art. 20. A Letter to the Honourable Mr. Horace Walpole, concerning the Dispute between Mr. Hume and Mr. Rousseau«. *The Monthly Review* 35 (1766): 469.

Rousseau, Jean-Jacques. *Emil ili ob uzgoju*. S franceskoga preveo Ivan Širola. Svezak I–III. Zagreb: Hrvatski pedagogijsko-književni odbor, 1887–1889.

———. *Rasprava o porijeklu i osnovama nejednakosti među ljudima; Društveni ugovor*. Preveo Dalibor Foretić. Zagreb: Školska knjiga, 1978.

———. *Ispovijesti*. Preveo Radovan Ivšić. Zagreb: Školska knjiga, 1999.

Schultz, Johann. »Immanuel Kant de mundi sensibilis atque intelligibilis forma et principii dissertatio«. *Königsbergische Gelehrte und politische Zeitungen*, 22. 11. 1771. In: *Beiträge zur Kritik der reinen Vernunft 1781–1981*, Hg. Ingeborg Heidemann, Wolfgang Ritzel, 59–68. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1981.

———. *Erläuterungen über des Herrn Professor Kant Kritik der reinen Vernunft*. Königsberg: Carl Gottlob Dengel, 1784.

Schütz, Christian Gottfried. »Grundlegung zur Metaphysik der Sitten von Immanuel Kant«. *Allgemeine Literatur-Zeitung*, 7. 4. 1785.

Selle, Christian Gottlieb. *Urbegriffe von der Beschaffenheit, dem Ursprunge und Endzwecke der Natur*. Berlin: Christian Friedrich Himgurg, 1776.

———. »Von der analogischen Schlußart«. *Berlinische Monatsschrift* 4 (1784): 185–187.

———. »Nähere Bestimmung der analogischen Schlußart«. *Berlinische Monatsschrift* 4 (1784): 334–337.

———. »Versuch ein Beweises, daß es keine reine von der Erfahrung unabhängige Vernunftbegriffe gebe«. *Berlinische Monatsschrift* 4 (1784): 565–575.

Shaftesbury, Anthony Ashley Cooper. *Characteristics of Men, Manners, Opinions, Times*. Edited by Lawrence E. Klein. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000.

Smith, Adam. *The Theory of Moral Sentiments*. Edited by David Daiches Raphael and Alec Lawrence Macfie. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1979.

Spinoza, Benedikt. *Etika dokazana geometrijskim redom*. Bilingvinalno izdanje. Preveo Ozren Žunec. Zagreb: Demetra, 2000.

Tetens, Johann Nicolas. *Über die Allgemeine speculativische Philosophie. Philosophische Versuche über die menschliche Natur und ihre Entwicklung*. Herausgegeben von der Kantgesellschaft. Besorgt von Wilhelm Uebele. Band I. Berlin: Reuther & Reichard, 1913.

———. *Philosophische Versuche über die menschliche Natur und ihre Entwicklung*. Kommentierte Ausgabe. Herausgegeben von Udo Roth und Gideon Stiening. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2014.

Tiedemann, Dieterich. *Untersuchungen über den Menschen*. Theil I–III. Leipzig: Weibmanns Erber und Reich, 1777–1778.

———. »Ueber die Natur der Metaphysik; Zur Prüfung Hrñ Professor Kants Grundsätze«. *Hessische Beyträge zur Gelehrsamkeit und Kunst* 1 (1785): 113–130, 233–248, 464–474.

Tittel, Gottlob August. *Ueber Herrn Kant's Moralreform*. Frankfurt: Leipzig: Gebrüder Pfähler, 1786.

———. *Kantische Denkformen oder Kategorien*. Frankfurt am Mayn: Gebhardische Buchhandlung, 1787.

Watkins, Eric, ed. *Kant's Critique of Pure Reason: Background Source Materials*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

II. Sekundarna literatura

Adickes, Erich. »Die bewegenden Kräfte in Kants philosophischer Entwicklung und die beiden Pole seines Systems«. *Kant-Studien* 1 (1897): 9–59, 162–196, 353–415.

Alanen, Lili. »The Powers and Mechanisms of the Passions«. In: *The Blackwell Guide to Hume's Treatise*, ed. Saul Traiger, 179–198. Oxford: Blackwell, 2006.

Allison, Henry E. *The Kant-Eberhard Controversy*. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press, 1973.

———. *Custom and Reason in Hume: A Kantian Reading of the First Book of the Treatise*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2008.

Andre, Shane. »Was Hume an Atheist?« *Hume Studies* 19 (1983): 141–166.

Arnoldt, Emil. *Gesammelte Schriften*. Band IV: *Kritische Exkurse im Gebiete der Kantforschung*. Teil I. Berlin: Bruno Cassirer, 1908.

Aschenbrenner, Karl. »Psychologism in Hume«. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 11 (1961): 28–38.

Bauch, Bruno. »Zwei Parallelstellen bei Hume und Kant«. *Kant-Studien* 19 (1914): 521–523.

Bayer, Oswald. *A Contemporary in Dissent: Johann Georg Hamann as a Radical Enlightener*. Translated by Roy A. Harrisville and Mark C. Matters. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2012.

Bazala, Albert. *Povjest filozofije*. Svezak II–III. Zagreb: Matica hrvatska, 1909, 1912.

Baxter, Donald L. M. »A Defense of Hume on Identity through Time«. *Hume Studies* 13 (1987): 323–342.

———. »Identity, Continued Existence and the External World«. In: *The Blackwell Guide to Hume's Treatise*, ed. Saul Traiger, 114–132. Oxford: Blackwell, 2006.

———. »Hume's Theory of Space and Time in its Sceptical Context«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to Hume*, ed. David Fate Norton, Jacqueline Taylor, 105–146. Second Edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

Beauchamp, Tom L. »An Analysis of Hume's Essay 'On Suicide'«. *The Review of Metaphysics* 30 (1976): 73–95.

Beck, Lewis White. *Early German Philosophy: Kant and his Predecessors*. Cambridge, Mass.: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 1969.

———. *Essays on Kant and Hume*. New Haven: London: Yale University Press, 1978.

Behler, Ernst. *Frühromantik*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1992.

Beiser, Frederick Charles. *The Fate of Reason: German Philosophy from Kant to Fichte*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1987.

———. »Kant's Intellectual Development: 1746–1781«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to Kant*, ed. Paul Guyer, 26–61. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992.

———. *German Idealism: The Struggle against Subjectivism, 1781–1801*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 2002.

———. »Berlin and the German Counter-Enlightenment«. In: *Isaiah Berlin's Counter-Enlightenment*, ed. Joseph Mali and Robert Wokler, 105–116. Philadelphia: American Philosophical Society, 2003.

———. *The Romantic Imperative: The Concept of Early German Romanticism*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 2003.

———. *Hegel*. New York: Routledge, 2005.

Bell, Martin. »Hume on Causation«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to Hume*, ed. David Fate Norton, Jacqueline Taylor, 147–176. Second Edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

Betz, John R. *After Enlightenment: The Post-Secular Vision of J. G. Hamann*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2009.

Berlin, Isaiah. »Hume and the Sources of German Anti-Rationalism«. In: *Against the Current: Essays in the History of Ideas*. Edited and with a Bibliography by Henry Hardy. With an Introduction by Roger Hausser, 162–187. New York: The Viking Press, 1980.

Bertram, Georg W. *Philosophie des Sturm und Drang: Eine Konstitution der Moderne*. München: Wilhelm Fink, 2000.

Biro, John. »Hume's New Science of the Mind«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to Hume*, ed. David Fate Norton, Jacqueline Taylor, 40–69. Second Edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

Bongie, Laurence L. »Hume, 'Philosophe' and Philosopher in Eighteenth-Century France«. *French Studies* 15 (1961): 213–227.

———. »Hume and Scepticism in Late Eighteenth-Century France«. In: *The Sceptical Tradition Around 1800: Scepticism in Philosophy, Science, and Society*, ed. Johann Van der Zande, Richard Henry Popkin, 15–29. S.l.: Springer, 1998.

———. *David Hume: Prophet of the Counter-Revolution*. With a Foreword by Donald W. Livingston. Second Edition. Indianapolis: Liberty Fund, 2000.

Bošnjak, Branko. *Povijest filozofije*. Svezak III. Zagreb: Nakladni zavod Matice hrvatske, 1993.

Brandt, Reinhard. »Feder und Kant«. *Kant-Studien* 80 (1989): 249–264.

Bricke, John. »Hume on Liberty and Necessity«. In: *A Companion to Hume*, ed. Elizabeth S. Radcliffe, 201–216. Oxford: Blackwell, 2008.

Broadie, Alexander. »Introduction«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to the Scottish Enlightenment*, ed. Alexander Broadie, 1–7. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.

———. »The Human Mind and its Powers«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to the Scottish Enlightenment*, ed. Alexander Broadie, 60–78. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.

Buras, Todd J. »The Problem with Reid's Direct Realism«. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 52, (2002): 457–477.

Bücking, Jürgen. »Prosvjetiteljstvo«. U: *Povijest svijeta*, ur. Jürgen Bücking, Günther Böing. Preveli Miroslav Brandt, Alka Škiljan et al. Druugo izdanje. Zagreb: Naprijed, 1990.

Capaldi, Nicholas. *Hume's Place in Moral Philosophy*. New York: Peter Lang, 1992.

———. »The Dogmatic Slumber of Hume Scholarship«. *Hume Studies* 18 (1992): 117–135.

Cassirer, Ernst. *Das Erkenntnisproblem in der Philosophie und Wissenschaft der neueren Zeit*. Band II. Berlin: Bruno Cassirer, 1922.

———. *Rousseau, Kant, Goethe: Two Essays*. Translated by James Gutmann, Paul Oskar Kristeller and John Hermann Randall, Jr. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1970.

———. *Problem Jean-Jacques Rousseau*. Preveo Damjan Lalović. Zagreb: Disput, 2012.

Chance, Brian A. »Causal Powers, Hume's Early German Critics, and Kant's Response to Hume«. *Kant-Studien* 104 (2013): 213–236.

Cohon, Rachel. *Hume's Morality: Feeling and Fabrication*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.

Copleston, Frederick. *A History of Philosophy*. Volume V. New York: Image Books, 1994.

Dancy, Jonathan. *Introduction to Contemporary Epistemology*. Oxford: Wiley–Blackwell, 1997.

Ebbinghaus, Julius. »Interpretation and Misinterpretation of the Categorical Imperative«. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 14 (1954): 97–108.

Emerson, Roger. »The Contexts of the Scottish Enlightenment«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to the Scottish Enlightenment*, ed. Alexander Broadie, 9–30. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.

Engel, Eva J. »Mendelssohn contra Kant: Ein frühes Zeugnis der Auseinandersetzung mit Kants Lehre von Zeit und Raum in der Dissertation von 1770«. *Kant-Studien* 95 (2004): 269–282.

Engelhard, Kristina. *Das Einfache und die Materie: Untersuchungen zu Kants Antinomie der Teilung*. Kant-Studien Ergänzungshefte No. 148. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2005.

Erdmann, Benno. *Kant's Kritikismus in der ersten und in der zweiten Auflage der Kritik der reinen Vernunft*. Leipzig: Leopold Voss, 1878.

———. »Kant und Hume um 1762.« *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 1 (1888): 6–77, 216–230.

———. *Historische Untersuchungen über Kants Prolegomena*. Halle: Niemeyer, 1904.

Falkenstein, Lorne. »The Great Light of 1769 – A Humean Awakening? Comments on Lothar Kreimendahl's Account of Hume's Influence on Kant«. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 77 (1995): 63–79.

———. »Hume's Answer to Kant«. *Nous* 32 (1998): 331–360.

Fieser, James. »Hume's Classification of the Passions and Its Precursors«. *Hume Studies* 18, (1992): 1–17.

Fischer, Klaus P. »Locke in the German Enlightenment: An Interpretation«. *Journal of the History of Ideas* 36 (1975): 431 – 446.

Fischer, Kuno. *Geschichte der neueren Philosophie*. Band IV: *Immanuel Kant und seine Lehre*. Theil I. Vierte neu bearbeitete Auflage. Heidelberg: Carl Winter's Universitätsbuchhandlung, 1898.

Flew, Antony. »Three Questions about Justice in Hume's *Treatise*«. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 26 (1976): 1–13.

Forster, Michael N. *Kant and Scepticism*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2008.

Gay, Peter. *The Enlightenment: An Interpretation*. Volume II: *The Science of Freedom*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1969.

Garrett, Aaron. »Anthropology: the 'Original' of Human Nature«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to the Scottish Enlightenment*, ed. Alexander Broadie, 79–93. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.

Garrett, Don. *Cognition and Commitment in Hume's Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1997.

Gaskin, J. C. A. »Hume on Religion«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to Hume*, ed. David Fate Norton, Jacqueline Taylor, 480–514. Second Edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

Gaukroger, Stephen. *The Collapse of Mechanism and the Rise of Sensibility*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2010.

Gawlick, Günter, Lothar Kreimendahl. *Hume in der deutschen Aufklärung: Umrisse einer Rezeptionsgeschichte*. Stuttgart: Frommann-Holzboog, 1987.

Gawlina, Manfred. *Das Medusenhaupt der Kritik: Die Kontroverse zwischen Immanuel Kant und Johann August Eberhard*. Kant-Studien Ergänzungshefte No. 128. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1996.

Gill, Michael B. »Humean Sentimentalism and Non-Consequentialist Moral Thinking«. *Hume Studies* 37 (2011): 165–168.

Giovanni, George di. »Hume, Jacobi, and Common Sense. An Episode in the Reception of Hume in Germany at the Time of Kant«. *Kant-Studien* 89 (1998): 44–58.

Glossop, Ronald J. »Is Hume a 'Classical Utilitarian'?«. *Hume Studies* 2 (1976): 1–16.

Goodman, Dena. »The Hume–Rousseau Affair: From Private *Querelle* to Public *Procès*«. *Eighteenth-Century Studies* 25 (1991/1992): 171–201.

Gotterbarn, Donald. »Kant, Hume and Analyticity«. *Kant-Studien* 65 (1974): 274–283.

Gotz, Gerhard. »Kant's 'Großes Licht' des Jahres 69«. In: *Kant und die Berliner Aufklärung: Akten des IX. Internationalen Kant-Kongress*, Hg. Volker Gerhardt, Rolf-Peter Horstmann, Ralph Schumacher, 19–26. Band II. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2001.

Graubner, Hans. »Theological Empiricism: Aspects of Johann Georg Hamann's Reception of Hume«. *Hume Studies* 15 (1989): 377–386.

———. »'Gott selbst sagt: Ich schaffe das Böse.' Der Theodizee-Entwurf des jungen Hamann in der Auseinandersetzung mit Hume, Sulzer, Shuckford und Hervey«. In: *Johann Georg Hamann: Religion und Gesellschaft*, Hg. Manfred Beetz, Andre Rudolph, 255–291. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2012.

Grave, Selwyn Alfred. *The Scottish Philosophy of Common Sense*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1960.

Groos, Karl. »Hat Kant Hume's *Treatise* gelesen?«. *Kant-Studien* 5 (1901): 177–181.

Grunert, Franz, Gideon Stiening. »Einleitung«. In: *Johann Georg Sulzer – Aufklärung zwischen Christian Wolff und David Hume*, Hg. Franz Grunert, Gideon Stiening, 11–18. Berlin: Akademie Verlag, 2011.

Guyer, Paul. *Kant and the Claims of Knowledge*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987.

———. *Knowledge, Reason and Taste: Kant's Response to Hume*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2008.

———. »The Deduction of the Categories: The Metaphysical and Transcendental Deductions«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to Kant's Critique of Pure Reason*, ed. Paul Guyer, 118–150. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.

Hakkarainen, Jani. »Hume's Scepticism and Realism: His Two Profound Arguments against the Senses in *An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding*«. Ph.D. diss, University of Tampere, 2007.

Hardin, Russell. *David Hume: Moral and Political Theorist*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.

Harris, James A. *Of Liberty and Necessity: The Free Will Debate in Eighteenth-Century British Philosophy*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2005.

Haym, Rudolf. *Herder: Nach seinem Leben und seinen Werken*. Herausgegeben von Wolfgang Harich. Band I–II. Berlin: Aufbau, 1954.

Heimsoeth, Heinz. »Vernunftantinomie und transzendente Dialektik in der geschichtlichen Situation des Kantischen Lebenswerks«. *Kant-Studien* 51 (1960): 131–141.

———. »Zum kosmotheologischen Ursprung der Kantischen Freiheitsantinomie«. *Kant-Studien* 57 (1966): 206–229.

Henrich, Dieter. »Hutcheson und Kant«. *Kant-Studien* 49 (1958): 49–69.

- . »Über Kants früheste Ethik«. *Kant-Studien* 54 (1963): 404–431.
- . *Grundlegung aus dem Ich: Untersuchungen zur Vorgeschichte des Idealismus Tübingen – Jena (1790–1794)*. Band I–II. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 2004.
- . »Konstellationsforschung zur klassischen deutschen Philosophie. Motiv – Ergebnis – Probleme – Perspektiven – Begriffsbildung«. In: *Konstellationsforschung*, Hg. Martin Mulsow, Marcelo Stamm, 15–30. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 2005.
- Hepfer, Karl. »Die Konstruktion der Erkenntnis: 'Imagination' im *Treatise of Human Nature*«. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 93 (2011): 349–365.
- Heymans, Gerard. »Einige Bemerkungen über die sogenannte empiristische Periode Kant's«. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 2 (1889): 572–591.
- Hinske, Norbert. »Kants Begriff der Antinomie und die Etappen seiner Ausarbeitung«. *Kant-Studien* 56 (1965): 485–496.
- Höffding, Harald. »Rousseaus Einfluss auf die definitive Form der Kantischen Ethik«. *Kant-Studien* 2 (1898): 11–21.
- Im Hof, Ulrich. *Das Europa der Aufklärung*. Zweite durchgesehene Auflage. München: C. H. Beck, 1995.
- Ježić, Ljudevit Fran. »Suočenje zdravoga razuma i kritičkoga uma po Göttingenskoj recenziji *Kritike čistoga uma*«. *Filozofska istraživanja* 33 (2013): 299–316.
- . »Razvoj Fichteova jenskog sustava transcendentalne filozofije u kontekstu pokantovskih rasprava«. Dok. dis., Sveučilište u Zagrebu, 2015.
- Kail, Peter J. E. »Understanding Hume's Natural History of Religion«. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 57 (2007): 190–211.
- Kanzian, Christian. »Kant und Crusius 1763«. *Kant-Studien* 84 (1993): 399–407.
- Kemp Smith, Norman. *The Philosophy of David Hume: A Critical Study of its Origins and Central Ideas*. London: Macmillan, 1949.
- . *A Commentary to Kant's Critique of Pure Reason*. With a New Introduction by Sebastian Gardner. S. l.: Palgrave Macmillan, 2003.
- Kenny, Anthony. *A New History of Western Philosophy*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2010.
- Kinkel, Walter. »Moses Mendelssohn und Immanuel Kant«. *Kant-Studien* 34 (1929): 391–409.

Klemme, Heiner. »Scepticism and Common Sense«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to the Scottish Enlightenment*, ed. Alexander Broadie. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003: 117–135.

Koriako, Darius. »Was sind und wozu dienen reine Anschauungen? Kritische Fragen und Anmerkungen zu Kants Raumtheorie«. *Kant-Studien* 96 (2004): 20–40.

Kreimendahl, Lothar. »Bayles Bedeutung für den jungen Hume. Die Quelle der Reflexionen zur Philosophie in Humes 'Early Memoranda'«. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 84 (2002): 64–83.

Kuehn, Manfred. *Scottish Common Sense in Germany, 1768–1800*. Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 1983.

———. »Kant's Conception of Hume's Problem«. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 21 (1983): 175–193.

———. »Hume's Antinomies«. *Hume Studies* 9 (1983): 25–45.

———. »Hume in the *Göttingische Anzeigen*: 1739–1800«. *Hume Studies* 13 (1987): 46–73.

———. »Hume and Tetens«. *Hume Studies* 15 (1989): 365–376.

———. »David Hume and Moses Mendelssohn«. *Hume Studies* 21 (1995): 197–220.

———. *Kant: A Biography*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.

———. »The Reception of Hume in Germany«. In: *The Reception of David Hume in Europe*, ed. Peter Jones, 98–137. London: Continuum, 2005.

———. »Kant's Critical Philosophy and Its Reception – the First Five Years (1781–1786)«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to Kant and Modern Philosophy*, ed. Paul Guyer, 630–663. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006.

Laursen, John Christian, Richard Henry Popkin. »Hume in the Prussian Academy: Jean Bernard Merian's 'On the Phenomenalism of David Hume'«. *Hume Studies* 23 (1997): 153–191.

Lovejoy, Arthur Oncken. »Kant's Antithesis of Dogmatism and Criticism«. *Mind* 15 (1906): 191–214.

———. »On Kant's reply to Hume«. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 19 (1906): 380–407.

Löwisch, Dieter-Jürgen. »Kants *Kritik der reinen Vernunft* und Humes *Dialogues concerning Natural Religion*«. *Kant-Studien* 56 (1965): 170–207.

Lütgert, Wilhelm. »Hamann und Kant«. *Kant-Studien* 11 (1906): 118–125.

- Mackie, John Leslie. *Hume's Moral Theory*. London: Routledge, 1980.
- Majetschak, Stefan. »Metakritik und Sprache. Zu Johann Georg Hamanns Kant-Verständnis und seinen metakritischen Implikationen«. *Kant-Studien* 80 (1989): 447–471.
- Malherbe, Michel. »The Impact on Europe«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to the Scottish Enlightenment*, ed. Alexander Broadie, 298–315. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- . »Hume's Reception in France«. In: *The Reception of David Hume in Europe*, ed. Peter Jones, 43–97. London: Continuum, 2005.
- Marković, Franjo. *Razvoj i sustav obćenite estetike*. Zagreb: Nakladom Kraljevske hrvatsko-slavonsko-dalmatinske zemaljske vlade, 1903.
- Martin, Marie M. »Utility and Morality: Adam Smith's Critique of Hume«. *Hume Studies* 16, (1990): 107–120.
- McCosh, James. *The Scottish Philosophy: Biographical, Expository, Critical, from Hutcheson to Hamilton*. New York: Robert Carter and Brothers, 1875.
- McIntyre, Jane L. »Hume's 'New and Extraordinary' Account of the Passions«. In: *The Blackwell Guide to Hume's Treatise*, ed. Saul Traiger, 199–215. Oxford: Blackwell, 2006.
- . »Hume and the Problem of Personal Identity«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to Hume*, ed. David Fate Norton, Jacqueline Taylor, 177–208. Second Edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- Menzer, Paul. »Die Entwicklungsgang der Kantischen Ethik in den Jahren 1760 bis 1785 I«. *Kant-Studien* 2 (1898): 290–322.
- Milz, Bernhard. *Der gesuchte Widerstreit: Die Antinomie in Kants Kritik der praktischen Vernunft*. Kant-Studien Ergänzungshefte No. 139. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2002.
- Mirkin, Isaak. »Hat Kant Hume widerlegt?«. *Kant-Studien* 7 (1902): 230–299.
- Morris, William Edward. »Belief, Probability, Normativity«. In: *The Blackwell Guide to Hume's Treatise*, ed. Saul Traiger, 77–94. Oxford: Blackwell, 2006.
- Mossner, Ernest Campbell. »The Continental Reception of Hume's *Treatise*, 1739–1741«. *Mind* 56 (1947): 31–43.
- . »Beattie's 'The Castle of Scepticism': an Unpublished Allegory against Hume, Voltaire and Hobbes«. *Studies in English* 27 (1948): 108–145.

———. *The Life of David Hume*. Second Edition. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1980.

Nadler, Josef. *Johann Georg Hamann 1730–1788: Der Zeuge des Corpus mysticum*. Salzburg: Otto Müller Verlag, 1949.

Newman, Rosemary. »Hume on Space and Geometry«. *Hume Studies* 7 (1981): 1–31.

Nuyen, A. T. »David Hume on Reason, Passions and Morals«. *Hume Studies* 10 (1984): 26–45.

Owen, David. »Hume and the Mechanics of Mind: Impressions, Ideas, and Association«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to Hume*, David Fate Norton, Jacqueline Taylor, 70–104. Second Edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

Pakaluk, Michael. »A Defence of Scottish Common Sense«. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 52 (2002): 564–581.

Penelhum, Terence. »Hume and Butler«. *Hume Studies* 14 (1988): 251–276.

———. »Hume's Moral Psychology«. In: *The Cambridge Companion to Hume*, David Fate Norton, Jacqueline Taylor, 238–269. Second Edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

Peters, Wilhelm S. »I. Kants Verhältnis zu J. H. Lambert«. *Kant-Studien* 59 (1968): 448–453.

Pietsch, Lutz-Henning. *Topik der Kritik: Die Auseinandersetzung um die Kantische Philosophie (1781–1788) und ihre Metaphern*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2010.

Popkin, Richard Henry. »Joseph Priestley's Criticisms of David Hume's Philosophy«. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 15 (1977): 437–447.

———. »New Views on the Role of Scepticism in the Enlightenment«. In: *Scepticism in the Enlightenment*, ed. Richard Henry Popkin, Ezequiel de Olaso and Giorgio Tonelli, 157–172. Dordrecht: Springer, 1997.

Radcliffe, Elisabeth. »Hume on the Generation of Motives: Why Beliefs Alone Never Motivate«. *Hume Studies* 25 (1999): 101–122.

———. »Love and Benevolence in Hutcheson's and Hume's Theory of the Passions«. *British Journal for the History of Philosophy* 12 (2004): 631–653.

Rauschenberger, Walter. »Die Antinomien Kants«. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 36 (1924): 21–32.

Röttgers, Kurt. »J. G. H. Feder – Beitrag zu einer Verhinderungsgeschichte eines deutschen Empirismus«. *Kant-Studien* 75 (1984): 420–441.

Rysiew, Patrick. »Reid and Epistemic Naturalism«. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 52 (2002): 437–456.

Savigny, Eike von. »Bemerkungen zur Rolle der inneren Wahrnehmung im englischen Empirismus«. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 55 (1973): 36–46.

Sassen, Brigitte. »Critical Idealism in the Eyes of Kant's Contemporaries«. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 35 (1997): 421–455.

Schmid, Friedrich Albert. *Friedrich Heinrich Jacobi: Eine Darstellung seiner Persönlichkeit und Philosophie als Beitrag zu einer Geschichte des modernen Wertproblems*. Heidelberg: Carl Winter's Universitätsbuchhandlung, 1908.

Schnepf, Robert. »Metaphysik oder Metaphysikkritik? Das Kausalitätsproblem in Kants Abhandlung *Über die negativen Größen*«. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 83 (2001): 130–159.

Scott, William Robert. *Francis Hutcheson: His Life, Teaching, and Position in the History of Philosophy*. Cambridge: University Press, 1900.

Schwaiger, Clemens. »Die Vorlesungsnachschriften zu Kants praktischer Philosophie in der Akademie-Ausgabe«. *Kant-Studien* 91 (2000): 178–188.

Serjeantson, Richard W. »Hume's General Rules and the 'Chief Business of Philosophers'«. In: *Impressions of Hume*, ed. Marina Frasca-Spada, Peter J. A. Kail, 187–212. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2005.

Shaw, Daniel. »Hume's Theory of Motivation«. *Hume Studies* 15 (1989): 163–183.

Simpson Ross, Ian. »Hutcheson on Hume's *Treatise*: An Unnoticed Letter«. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 4 (1966): 69–72.

Stamm, Marcelo R. »Konstellationsforschung – Ein Methodenprofil: Motive und Perspektiven«. In: *Konstellationsforschung*, Hg. Martin Mulsow, Marcelo Stamm, 31–73. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 2005.

Steiner, James. »Kant's Misrepresentations of Hume's Philosophy of Mathematics in the *Prolegomena*«. *Hume Studies* 13 (1987): 400–410.

Stephen, Leslie. *History of English Thought in Eighteenth Century*. Volume I–II. London: Smith, Elder&Co, 1876.

Stewart, M. A. »Hume's Intellectual Development, 1711–1752«. In: *Impressions of Hume*, ed. Marina Frasca-Spada, Peter J. A. Kail, 11–58. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2005.

Streminger, Gerhard. »Hume's Theory of Imagination«. *Hume Studies* 6 (1980): 91–118.

Sutherland, Steward R. »Hume on Morality and the Emotions«. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 26 (1976): 14–23.

Swain, Charles W. »Hamann and the Philosophy of David Hume«. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 5 (1967): 343–351.

Tetens, Holm. »Johann Nikolaus Tetens und die Humesche Herausforderung«. In: *Johann Nikolaus Tetens (1736–1807): Philosophie in der Tradition des europäischen Empirismus*, Hg. Gideon Stiening, Udo Thiel, 79–88. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2014.

Tonelli, Giorgio. »Die Umwälzung von 1769 bei Kant«. *Kant-Studien* 54 (1963): 369–375.

———. »Die Anfänge von Kants Kritik der Kausalbeziehungen und ihre Voraussetzungen im 18. Jahrhundert«. *Kant-Studien* 57 (1966): 417–456.

———. »Leibniz on Innate Ideas and the Early Reactions to the Publication of the *Nouveaux Essais* (1765)«. *Journal of the History of Philosophy* 12 (1974): 438–454.

———. »The ‘Weakness’ of Reason in the Age of Enlightenment«. In: *Scepticism in the Enlightenment*, ed. Richard Henry Popkin, Ezequiel de Olaso and Giorgio Tonelli, 35–50. Dordrecht: Springer, 1997

Tsugawa, Albert. »David Hume and Lord Kames on Personal Identity«. *Journal of the History of Ideas* 22 (1961): 398–403.

Uebele, Wilhelm. *Johann Nicolaus Tetens nach seiner Gesamtentwicklung betrachtet, mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des Verhältnisses zu Kant*. Kant-Studien Ergänzungshefte No. 24. Berlin: Reuther & Reichard, 1911.

Vaihinger, Hans. *Kommentar zu Kants Kritik der reinen Vernunft*. Zweite Auflage. Band I–II. Stuttgart: Berlin: Union Deutsche Verlagsgesellschaft, 1922.

Van Cleve, James. »Thomas Reid’s Geometry of Visibles«. *The Philosophical Review* 111, (2002): 373–416.

Vorländer, Karl. *Immanuel Kant: Der Mann und das Werk*. Band I–II. Leipzig: Felix Meiner, 1924.

Wand, Bernard. »Hume’s Account of Obligation«. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 6 (1956): 155–168.

Warda, Arthur. *Immanuel Kants Bücher*. Berlin: Martin Breslauer, 1922.

Weber, Heinrich. *Hamann und Kant: Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der Philosophie im Zeitalter der Aufklärung*. München: C. H. Beck, 1904.

Weidemann, Hermann. »Kants Kritik am Eudämonismus und die Platonische Ethik«. *Kant-Studien* 92 (2001): 19–37.

Winter, Hans-Gerd. »Gesellschaft und Kultur von der Jahrhundertmitte bis zur Französischen Revolution: Wandlungen der Aufklärung«. In: *Geschichte der deutschen Literatur vom 18. Jahrhundert bis zur Gegenwart*, Hg. Viktor Žmegač, 175–193. Band I/1. Vierte unveränderte Auflage. Beltz: Athäneum, 1996.

———. »Antiklassizismus: Sturm und Drang«. In: *Geschichte der deutschen Literatur vom 18. Jahrhundert bis zur Gegenwart*, Hg. Viktor Žmegač, 194–256. Vierte unveränderte Auflage. Band I/1. Beltz: Athäneum, 1996.

Wood, Paul B. »David Hume on Thomas Reid's *An Inquiry into the Human Mind, On the Principles of Common Sense*: A New Letter to Hugh Blair from July 1762«. *Mind* 95 (1986): 411–416.

Wright, Ernest Hunter. *The Meaning of Rousseau*. London: Oxford University Press, 1929.

Wundt, Max. *Die deutsche Schulphilosophie im Zeitalter der Aufklärung*. Hildesheim: Georg Olms Verlag, 1992.

Zagorac, Ivana. »Rehabilitacija senzibiliteta: Filozofska konstrukcija senzibilnog čovjeka«. *Filozofska istraživanja* 30 (2009): 123–140.

Zart, Gustav. *Einfluss der englischen Philosophen seit Bacon auf die deutsche Philosophie des 18. Jahrhunderts*. Berlin: Ferd. Dümmlers Verlagsbuchhandlung, 1881.

Zinkin, Melissa. »Respect for the Law and the Use of Dynamical Terms in Kant's Theory of Moral Motivation«. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie* 88 (2006): 31–53.

Žmegač, Viktor. *Od Bacha do Bauhauasa: povijest njemačke kulture*. Zagreb: Matica hrvatska, 2006.

III. Enciklopedije i rječnici

Odabrane natuknice iz:

Edwards, Paul, ed. *Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Volume I–VIII. New York: Macmillan, 1967.

Klemme, Heiner F., Manfred Kuehn, ed. *The Dictionary of Eighteenth-Century German Philosophers*. Volume I–III. London: Continuum, 2009.

Ritter, Joachim, Hg. *Historisches Wörterbuch der Philosophie*. Band I–XIII. Basel: Schwabe, 1971–2007.

Simpson, John A., Edmund S. C. Weiner, ed. *Oxford English Dictionary*. Second Edition. Volume I–XX. Oxford: Oxford University Press, Clarendon Press, 1989.

Zalta, Edward N., ed. *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* [online]. (<http://plato.stanford.edu/>. Pristupljeno 2. 9. 2014.).

IV. Izvori ilustracija

1. *David Hume*. Naslikao Allan Ramsay (1766.) [online]. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David_Hume#mediaviewer/File:Allan_Ramsay_-_David_Hume,_1711_-_1776._Historian_and_philosopher_-_Google_Art_Project.jpg Pristupljeno 7. 9. 2014.). Izvor opisa: Douglas Fordham, »Art and Allusion: Allan Ramsay's Portraits of David Hume and Jean Jacques Rousseau« [online] (http://virtualphilosopher.com/2006/10/on_allan_ramsay.html. Pristupljeno 7. 9. 2014.).

2. Naslovnica *Encyclopédie*. Kreirali Charles-Nicolas Cochin i Bonaventure- Louis Prévost (1772.) [online] (http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Encyclop%C3%A9die_ou_Dictionnaire_raisonn%C3%A9_des_sciences,_des_arts_et_des_m%C3%A9tiers#mediaviewer/File:Encyclopedie_frontispice_full.jpg. Pristupljeno 7. 9. 2014.). Izvor opisa: *The Encyclopedia of Diderot and d'Alembert: Collaborative translation project*. (<http://quod.lib.umich.edu/d/did/frontispiece.html>. Pristupljeno 7. 9. 2014.).

3. *The Savage Man*. Nacrtao James Boswell (o. 1767.) [online] (<http://www.americanantiquarian.org/Inventories/Europeanprints/b1f2.htm>. Pristupljeno 7. 9. 2014.). Izvor opisa: Greig, *Letters of David Hume* II, 120 i Hume, *A Concise and Genuine Account*, 53–54.

4. *Kant und seine Tischgenossen*. Naslikao Emil Dörstling (1892/93.) [online] (http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Kant_und_seine_Tischgenossen._Kolorierter_Holzstich_von_Klose_%26_Wollmerstaedt.jpg#mediaviewer/File:Kant_doerstling2.jpg. Citirano 7. 9. 2014.). Izvor opisa: »Kant und seine Tischgenossen«, *Kant-Studien* 6 (1901): 112–113; Kuehn, *Kant: A Biography*, xi–xiii; Nadler, *Hamann*, 400; *Kant Ikonographie: Gemälde* [online] (<http://www.kant.uni-mainz.de/ikonographie/gemaelde.html>. Pristupljeno 7. 9. 2014.).

Summary

This book is concerned with the influence of Hume's metaphysics and moral philosophy in 18th century Europe and it is divided into two main parts. The first part is focused on the exposition of Hume's metaphysics and moral philosophy in their historical context, because this topic is still mostly unknown in Croatia. The second part deals with the influence of Hume's metaphysics and moral philosophy on selected European thinkers of the Age of Enlightenment until the beginning of the French Revolution in 1789. The book's methodology is strongly influenced by historical approach of American philosopher Frederick Charles Beiser and German philosopher Dieter Henrich. Unlike them, however, this author isn't confined to one (German) philosophical tradition, but investigates the influence of one thinker from British philosophical tradition on thinkers from other European philosophical traditions, mainly German and French, as well as Scottish. Since the most important European thinkers of the second half of the 18th century were influenced by Hume's thought, only in this way is it possible to properly understand the development of Western philosophy. It is also argued in the book that it is possible to translate and determine crucial philosophical terms in Croatian language only by careful analysis of historical context, comparative interpretation of various philosophical texts, and comparison of various terms in other European languages.

The first part of the work begins by elucidating historical context in which Hume's philosophy was developing, namely, interconnectedness of empiricism, sensibility, and sentimentalism, medicine and philosophy. Afterwards, the book is explaining Hume's metaphysics: his theory of perceptions, space and time, association, natural belief, probability, personal identity,

and his skeptical idealism. The most important achievement of this part is recognition that causal inference relies chiefly on belief which is actually a feeling, and therefore, the whole philosophy and reasoning concerning matters of fact for Hume relies on sentiment. It is also recognized that Hume had to rely on pure belief, unaided by custom or experience, in explaining the existence of the external world which would be important for the further development of Western philosophy.

Hume's moral philosophy is explained by investigating his moral psychology, theory of moral freedom, theory of motivation, moral distinctions, artificial virtues, and the relation of his sentimentalism towards utilitarianism and virtue ethics. The most important achievement in this investigation is the conclusion, contrary to most Anglophone scholars, that *belief* or feeling is the *only* motivational force for Hume. It is also argued that Hume resolves his doubts concerning personal identity with his theory of the passions, and that he is a determinist whose sentimentalism is non-consequentialist. Supplement to the first part of the book contains brief discussion of Hume's philosophy of religion.

Second part of the book begins by explaining historical context in which Hume's philosophy was received in the "Republic of Letters". It is argued that Hume's radical doubt in the powers of reason even in the field of practical philosophy and experience was unsuitable for the general public of the "Age of Reason". However, Hume never abandoned his philosophy laid out in *A Treatise of Human Nature*; he only rewrote it in the more popular essayistic style in *An Enquiry concerning Human Understanding* and *An Enquiry concerning the Principles of Morals*.

Hume's metaphysics and moral philosophy were not well received in the French Enlightenment which was dominated by *philosophes* and *salonnières*. His fame rested chiefly on his historical writings. The most distinctive moment when his philosophy showed its true face was in his correspondence with Turgot in 1768 and in a debate between d'Holbach, N. S. Bergier, and G. J. Holland concerning d'Holbach's book *Système de la Nature* during the early 1770s. The chapter on the French Enlightenment concludes with comparison of Rous-

seau's thought and Hume's philosophy, as well as the history of their famed quarrel which spread Hume's fame even more throughout Europe.

Third chapter of the second part of this book deals with the reaction of Thomas Reid and Scottish School of Common Sense to Hume's philosophy. It is argued that their naive realism and dogmatic appeal to common sense didn't offer a strong argument against Hume's scepticism, which was also a powerful tool for vindication of sentimentalism in philosophy, a fact that they didn't mention. This chapter concludes with a brief discussion of relationship between Hume and other British thinkers like Kames, A. Smith, R. Price and J. Priestley.

Largest part of the book focuses on the influence of Hume's metaphysics and moral philosophy in the German Enlightenment. It argues that the most important philosophers who took effort to respond to Hume before Kant, like J. G. Sulzer, M. Mendelssohn, and J. N. Tetens, mostly didn't take into account Hume's moral philosophy, and that they didn't offer a convincing response to Hume's scepticism. The only exception was J. G. Hamann who embraced Hume's theory of belief and his arguments against rationalism. Main achievement of this part of the book is recognition that Hamann didn't distort Hume's theory of belief by translating it with the word *Glaube*, because Hume himself didn't make difference between faith and belief, as well as the fact that Hume influenced the beginnings of the German *Sturm und Drang* through Hamann's *Socrates in Sokratische Denkwürdigkeiten*, who was guided by Humean belief or feeling.

The exposition of Hume's influence on Kant begins by recounting Hamann's role in mediating knowledge of Hume's skepticism to young Kant in 1759. This book tries to prove that Hamann already at this time had knowledge of the *Treatise* and that Kant awoke from his dogmatic slumbers (with Hamann's help) in the early 1760s, which is explained in detail by careful analysis of Kant's writings of that period. It is also argued that Hume's radical skepticism concerning the possibility of metaphysics was crucial for Kant's recognition of the antinomies of pure reason, and that Hume formulated purest antinomy of freedom (in strict Kantian sense of the word) already in

the first *Enquiry*. Kant's refutation of Hume's skepticism concerning the power of reason in the *Kritik der reinen Vernunft* is explained in the context of his transcendental deduction of the categories. Kant showed that Hume begged the question in explanation of space and time, personal identity, and could therefore easily prove the necessary connection between cause and effect in the pure concept of the understanding.

The last part of the book deals with "Hume's revenge" to Kant by explaining Humean critiques of Kant through thinkers like C. Garve, J. G. H. Feder, H. A. Pistorius, Hamann, and F. H. Jacobi. It was particularly through the sharp critiques of those thinkers, who accused Kant of inconsistencies, that even Kant's adherents began to try to reform his philosophy. The book concludes in year 1789 with a short epilogue and a conclusion. It brings much more new findings and insights that cannot be mentioned here due to the character of this short summary.

- Adam, Robert (1728–1792.), 15, 216
Adickes, Erich (1866–1928.), 20, 265,
292, 310, 324–326
Alanen, Lili, 95, 98–99, 101, 103
Alembert, Jean le Rond d' (1717–
1783.), 174–175, 194, 208–209
Allison, Henry E., 186, 357, 363,
431, 434
Andre, Shane, 159, 163–165
Anselmo Canterburyjski (1033–
1109.), 72
Aristotel (384–322. pr. Kr.), 31, 34,
49, 91, 218–219, 285, 291–292,
338, 340, 352, 373, 410
Arnoldt, Emil (1828–1905.), 388–
389, 392
Aschenbrenner, Karl (1911–1988.),
63
Augustin, Aurelije (354–430.), 242
- Bacon**, Francis (1561–1626.), 38,
92, 94, 235
Balfour, James (1705–1795.), 184,
233
Basedow, Johann Bernhard (1724–
1790.), 238, 335
Bauch, Bruno (1877–1942.), 350
Baumgarten, Alexander Gottlieb
(1714–1762.), 264, 296, 301,
306, 309–310, 431–432,
Baxter, Donald L. M., 45, 49, 51–
52, 72–76
Bayer, Oswald, 253, 409
Bayle, Pierre (1647–1706.), 36, 52–
53, 77, 177, 203, 237, 245–246,
264, 341–342
- Bazala, Albert (1877–1947.), 21,
438
Beattie, James (1735–1803.), 13–14,
64, 185, 228–229, 233, 251, 281,
350, 353–354, 388–389, 392
Beauchamp, Tom L., 14, 91, 95,
156–157, 184, 186, 231, 233, 240
Beck, Lewis White (1913–1997.),
16, 188, 202, 235–237, 240,
251, 253, 278, 280, 283–284,
287, 289, 291, 295, 304, 328,
331–332, 335, 337, 341, 346,
348, 351–353, 358, 362, 367
Behler, Ernst (1928–1997.), 436
Beiser, Frederick Charles, 17–18,
23, 81, 168, 240, 254–255, 259,
263–264, 267–271, 274–276,
278–279, 292–294, 303, 340,
348, 362, 368, 381, 384–385,
387, 390, 392, 397–399, 402–
404, 406, 408, 412, 414–418,
421–425, 427, 429–431, 434,
436–437
Bell, Martin, 59, 62
Berens, Christine, 255
Berens, Johann Christoph (1729–
1792.), 253–256, 268–271, 276,
433
Bergier, Nicolas-Sylvestre (1715–
1790.), 192
Bering, Johann (1748–1825.), 396
Berkeley, George (1685–1753.),
35–36, 40, 42, 45, 47–48, 58,
81, 85, 155, 171, 179, 181, 189,
218–220, 222, 280–281, 293,

- 329, 331–333, 343, 386–387,
390, 392, 395, 409, 429, 432
- Berlin, Isaiah (1909–1997.), 278
- Bernoulli, Johann III (1744–1807.),
356,
- Bertram, Georg W., 275–276, 407
- Betz, John R., 253–256, 260, 268–
269, 270, 272, 277, 408, 410–
412
- Biro, John, 38
- Blair, Hugh (1718–1800.), 166, 206,
229
- Blomberg, Heinrich Ulrich (1745–
1813.), 355
- Bongie, Laurence L., 188, 190–195,
213
- Born, Friedrich Gottlob (1743–
1807.), 396
- Borowski, Ludwig Ernst (1740–
1831.), 258, 267, 312, 320, 322
- Boswell, James (1740–1795.), 13–
14, 152, 164–165, 167, 204, 210,
216
- Bošnjak, Branko (1923–1996.), 22
- Boufflers-Rouverel, Marie-Charlot-
te Hippolyte de Campet de Sau-
jeon (1724–1800.), 14–15, 193,
200–202, 206, 208–209, 211
- Boulmiers, Jean Auguste Jullien
(1731–1771.), 21
- Bourbon, Louis-François (1717–
1776.), 200–201
- Brandt, Reinhard, 390–392, 429
- Brentano, Franz (1838–1917.), 75
- Bricke, John, 108–110, 112–114
- Brissot de Warville, Jacques-Pierre
(1754–1793.), 213
- Brleković, Josip, 11
- Broadie, Alexander, 122, 216, 221
- Buck, Friedrich Johann (1722–
1786.), 347
- Bücking, Jürgen, 173, 175, 215
- Buffon, Jean-Louis Leclerc (1707–
1788.), 194
- Buras, Todd J., 222–223, 227
- Burke, Edmund (1729–1797.), 244,
249–250, 391
- Burton, John Hill (1809–1881.),
191, 213, 236
- Butler, Joseph (1692–1752.), 38,
89–92, 106, 142, 149–150, 159,
181, 197, 265, 331, 375
- Campbell, George (1719–1796.),
228
- Capaldi, Nicholas, 82, 94, 96, 98–
100, 102–103, 105, 123, 125,
127–130, 132, 135, 141, 144, 156
- Cassirer, Ernst (1874–1945.), 20,
39–41, 43, 45, 47, 51–52, 54,
58–59, 63, 65, 67–68, 72, 74,
75, 78–79, 165, 188, 198, 215,
228, 239, 301, 307, 319–320,
342, 361
- Celzo (2. st.), 272
- Chance, Brian A., 247, 289
- Ciceron (106–43. pr. Kr.), 35, 85,
401
- Clarke, Samuel (1675–1729.), 36,
78, 88–89, 92, 110, 123, 125,
128, 129, 135, 140, 178, 181,
233, 249, 301, 362, 399
- Clermont, Helena Elisabeth (Betty)
(1743–1784.), 413
- Cohon, Rachel, 94, 109, 117–118,
120–121, 125–126, 129, 130,
131, 133, 135, 136–141, 143,
153, 156
- Copleston, Frederick (1907–1994.),
29, 32, 87, 90
- Crusius, Christian August (1715–
1775.), 174, 263, 289, 293, 296,
298, 301, 303–306, 313, 315–
316, 319, 323–324, 329, 331–
333, 340–342, 362, 370, 403

- Cudwort, Ralph (1617–1618.), 36
- Čović, Ante 11
- Dancy, Jonathan, 41
- Des Maizeaux, Pierre (1666/1673–1745.), 53, 177–178
- Descartes, René (1596–1650.), 20, 27–28, 30, 34–36, 39, 63, 80–81, 85, 94, 121, 127, 162, 196, 217–221, 223–224, 235, 253, 285, 293, 300, 308, 340, 390, 419, 423
- Diderot, Denis (1713–1784.), 33, 173, 175, 189, 194, 196, 275
- Dubos, Jean-Baptiste (1670–1742.), 36
- Ebbinghaus, Julius (1885–1981.), 377
- Eberhard, Johann August (1739–1809.), 328, 427, 430–434, 441
- Emerson, Roger, 216
- Engel, Eva J., 277, 351, 431
- Erdmann, Benno (1851–1921.), 245, 258–260, 297, 300–301, 303–304, 309, 312, 323, 328–329, 335, 339, 340, 349–350, 353, 383, 385, 388–392, 394, 401, 403, 407, 429–430, 438
- Euler, Leonhard (1707–1783.), 245, 362
- Ewald, Schack Hermann (1745–1822.), 393
- Falkenstein, Lorne, 353–354, 368
- Feder, Johann Georg Heinrich (1740–1821.), 386, 390–394, 401–402, 405, 424, 427–429, 441
- Fénelon, François (1651–1715.), 36
- Fichte, Johann Gottlieb (1762–1814.), 17, 292, 347, 390, 399, 417, 437
- Fieser, James, 13, 97, 99, 179–180, 184, 212, 229, 231
- Fischer, Klaus P., 279
- Fischer, Kuno (1824–1907.), 323, 325–326, 328, 353
- Flatt, Johann Friedrich (1759–1821.), 402, 430
- Flew, Antony (1923–2010.), 133–134, 136–137
- Fontenelle, Bernard le Bovier (1657–1757.), 269
- Forster, Georg (1754–1794.), 413
- Forster, Michael N., 335, 337, 341, 343–344, 368, 390
- Friedrich II Veliki (1712–1786.), 187–189, 202–203, 210, 228, 237–239, 277, 396, 435
- Garrett, Aaron, 122
- Garrett, Don, 39–40, 48, 50–51, 57, 58, 62–63, 74, 76, 81, 109, 112, 114–115, 168
- Garve, Christian (1742–1798.), 336–337, 344, 354, 386, 391–394, 401, 424, 441
- Gaskin, J. C. A., 65, 161, 164, 166
- Gaukroger, Stephen, 27, 31–33
- Gawlick, Günter, 180, 184, 238, 240, 245, 259, 261, 306, 312, 321, 336, 344, 349–350, 353–354, 369, 398–399
- Gawlina, Manfred, 431, 434
- Gay, Peter (1923–2015.), 33, 175–176, 178, 196
- Geulincx, Arnold (1624–1669.), 335
- Gill, Michael B., 151, 154, 346
- Glossop, Ronald J., 144, 153
- Goethe, Johann Wolfgang (1749–1832.), 275–276, 347, 406–407, 413, 420
- Goodman, Dena, 204, 207, 209, 211–212

- Gotterbarn, Donald, 44, 358
 Graubner, Hans, 259–260, 268, 274, 362
 Grave, Selwyn Alfred (1916–2002.), 218–219, 225–226
 Green, Joseph (1727–1786.), 320–321, 350
 Green, Thomas Hill (1836–1882.), 129
 Greene, Edward Burnaby (1735–1788), 212
 Grimm, Friedrich Melchior (1723–1807.), 189, 202
 Groos, Karl (1861–1946.), 301, 335, 350
 Grunert, Franz, 239
 Guyer, Paul, 293, 348, 365, 376, 380, 384

Hagedorn, Friedrich (1708–1754.), 238
 Hagen, Karl Gottfried (1749–1829.), 322
 Hakkarainen, Jani, 62, 72, 74, 76, 78
 Hamann, Johann Georg (1730–1788.), 16–17, 252–279, 289, 293, 297–298, 300–301, 303, 306, 312, 317, 321–323, 325, 327, 335–336, 348–350, 353–354, 359, 362, 368–369, 385, 388, 392, 400, 406–413, 417–421, 423, 435–436, 441
 Hamilton, William (1788–1856.), 217–218, 221, 225
 Hardin, Russell, 144, 146, 152–153
 Harris, James A., 107–108, 111–112
 Hartknoch, Johann Friedrich (1740–1789.), 407
 Hartley, David (1705–1757.), 234
 Haym, Rudolf (1821–1901.), 275, 296, 317, 320, 406, 413

 Hegel, Georg Wilhelm Friedrich (1770–1831.), 276, 292, 337, 402, 412, 421, 436–439
 Heimsoeth, Heinz (1886–1975.), 337–340, 342–346
 Heine, Henrich (1797–1856.), 320, 416
 Helvétius, Claude-Adrien (1715–1771.), 194, 245
 Henrich, Dieter, 23, 307, 310, 312–314, 316, 318, 372, 378, 402
 Hepfer, Karl, 39, 57, 62, 68
 Herder, Johann Gottfried (1744–1803.), 171, 275–276, 289, 296–297, 299, 306, 309, 316–317, 320–321, 323–325, 327, 345, 347, 354, 385, 393, 405–406, 408, 412–413, 417, 420, 435
 Herz, Markus (1747–1803.), 351–352, 356, 385, 388, 394, 415
 Heymans, Gerard (1857–1930.), 325–326
 Hinske, Norbert, 338–339, 341, 345
 Hippel, Theodor Gottlieb (1741–1796.), 320, 322
 Hobbes, Thomas (1588–1679.), 27–29, 32, 36, 38, 63, 81, 101, 107–108, 110, 112, 114, 137, 141, 155, 179, 181, 192, 228, 235, 293, 304, 307, 317, 340
 Höffding, Harald (1843–1931.), 319–320
 Hoffman, Alfons, 16, 258
 Holbach, Paul Henri Thiry d' (1723–1789.), 189, 192–194, 203, 212, 436
 Holland, Georg Jonathan (1742–1784.), 192–193
 Home, John (1722–1808.), 166
 Huet, Pierre-Daniel (1630–1721.), 36
 Hufeland, Gottlieb (1760–1817.), 396

- Hutcheson, Francis (1694–1746.), 20, 31–34, 36, 38, 41, 51, 86–93, 104–106, 123, 129, 131–132, 135–136, 138, 141–142, 149, 178, 181, 216, 230, 232, 244, 250, 258, 293, 307–309, 311–316, 319, 372–373, 376, 403
- Im Hof, Ulrich (1917–2001.), 16, 171, 174–176, 178, 188–189, 237, 321, 435–436
- Isus Krist, 66, 149, 254, 267, 269, 271–272, 417
- Jachmann, Reinhold Bernhard (1767–1843.), 16, 317, 349
- Jacobi, Friedrich Heinrich (1743–1819.), 264, 273–276, 278, 321–322, 412–423, 427–430, 434–435, 441–442
- Jacobi, Johann Conrad (1718–1774.), 245, 321–322
- Jakob, Ludwig Heinrich (1759–1827.), 396, 433
- Jardine, John (1715–1766.), 166
- Jenisch, Daniel (1762–1804?), 405
- Ježić, Ljudevit Fran, 11, 292, 336, 388, 390, 393, 417
- Johnson, Samuel (1709–1784.), 152, 164–165, 167
- Jørgensen, Sven, 270, 274
- Josip II (1741–1790.), 435
- Kail, Peter J. E., 34, 80, 161, 167
- Kames, Henry Home (1696–1782.), 79, 93, 132, 216, 228, 230, 232, 250, 281, 391
- Kant, Immanuel (1724–1804.), 16–17, 20, 173–174, 186, 213, 218, 226, 235, 238, 241, 244–245, 249, 252–253, 255–271, 274–281, 283–284, 289–438, 441
- Kanter, Johann Jakob (1738–1786.), 321, 347
- Kanzian, Christian, 306
- Keith, George (1692?–1778.), 202, 236
- Kemp Smith, Norman (1872–1958.), 22, 39–40–44, 48–53, 55–57, 60, 62–74, 76–78, 82, 85–87, 92, 94, 96–98, 102–103, 109, 118, 129, 146, 180, 182–183, 185, 342, 356, 363
- Kenny, Anthony, 28, 228
- Kierkegaard, Søren (1813–1855.), 276
- King, William (1650–1729.), 36, 264
- Kinkel, Walter, 245, 250, 324
- Klemme, Heiner, 218, 240, 354
- Klibansky, Raymond (1905–2005.), 13, 79, 93, 150, 159, 178, 209, 264
- Klinger, Friedrich Maximilian (1752–1831.), 407
- Knobloch, Charlotte Amalie (1740–1804.), 322
- Koriako, Darius, 362
- Kreimendahl, Lothar, 52, 180, 184, 238, 240, 245, 259, 261, 264, 306, 312, 321, 336, 344, 349–350, 353–354, 369, 398–399
- Kuehn, Manfred, 179, 184, 237–238, 241, 244–245, 247, 249–253, 255, 258–260, 263–264, 274, 284, 286, 288–289, 293–295, 297, 309, 319–321, 323, 325–326, 329, 344, 346–351, 353–354, 356, 372, 384, 386, 388, 391–394, 401, 431, 436–437
- Kvintilijan (36–100.), 338
- La Mettrie, Julien Offray (1709–1751.), 121

- Lambert, Johann Heinrich (1728–1777.), 245, 290, 350–352, 361–362, 385
- Laursen, John Christian, 188
- Le Vasseur, Marie-Thérèse (1721–1801.), 204
- Leibniz, Gottfried Wilhelm (1646–1716.), 225, 235–237, 239, 241–242, 244, 246–247, 263–266, 268, 279–280, 282–285, 293–294, 296, 299, 302, 304, 306–307, 313, 324, 327–329, 331, 336, 338, 340–341, 346–347, 351, 353, 356, 362, 396, 398, 401, 415, 423–424, 428–434, 439, 441
- Lenz, Jakob Michael Reinhold (1751–1792.), 407
- Lessing, Gotthold Ephraim (1729–1781.), 175, 245, 263, 277, 312, 413–414, 430
- Locke, John (1632–1704.), 29–31, 33–36, 38–39, 41, 43, 45, 63, 78, 81–82, 86, 123, 171, 174, 178, 180–181, 190, 192, 218, 220–222, 244, 249–250, 279, 282–284, 293, 304, 325, 327, 329, 331–333, 336, 340–341, 346, 387, 390, 392, 429, 432, 439, 441
- Lovejoy, Arthur Oncken (1873–1962.), 328, 368, 432
- Löwisch, Dieter-Jürgen, 16, 343–344, 354, 359, 369, 370
- Luj XIV (1638–1715.), 171, 194
- Luj XVI (1754–1793.), 194, 436
- Luj XVIII (1755–1824.), 194
- Lütgert, Wilhelm (1867–1938.), 253, 277–278
- Luther, Martin (1483–1546.), 268, 270, 278
- Luxembourg, Madeleine Angélique Neufville de Villeroy (1707–1787.), 208
- Maass, Johann Gebhard Ehrenreich (1766–1823.), 430
- Mably, Gabriel Bonnot (1709–1785), 213
- Mackenzie, Henry (1745–1831.), 175
- Mackie, John Leslie (1917–1981.), 29, 89, 91, 118, 121, 125, 127, 135, 137–139
- Maimon, Salomon (1753–1800.), 292, 437
- Majetschak, Stefan, 410–412
- Malebranche, Nicolas (1638–1715.), 36, 38, 299
- Malherbe, Michel, 187–188, 190, 195–196, 228, 250
- Mandeville, Bernard (1670–1733.), 29, 38, 137, 141
- Marija Terezija (1717–1780.), 236
- Marion, sluškinja, 211
- Marković, Franjo (1845–1914.), 21
- Martin, Gottfried (1901–1972.), 293
- Martin, Marie M., 231
- Maupertius, Pierre-Louis (1698–1759.), 188–189, 239, 279, 331–332, 335
- McCosh, James (1811–1894.), 217–219, 222, 224, 226–227
- McIntyre, Jane L., 78–79, 92
- Meiners, Christoph (1747–1810.), 427
- Meinong, Alexius (1853–1920.), 20, 47
- Mendelssohn, Felix (1809–1847.), 244
- Mendelssohn, Moses (1729–1786.), 237, 244–252, 258, 263, 272, 277, 294, 324–325, 330, 335, 347, 351, 359, 370, 385, 388, 393–394, 397, 413–417, 431, 441
- Menzer, Paul (1873–1960.), 296, 303, 307, 314, 318

- Mérian, Jean-Bernard (1723–1807.), 188–189, 252
- Miller, Eugene F. (1935–2010.), 115, 182–183
- Milz, Bernhard, 338
- Mirabeau, Honoré Gabriel Riqueti (1749–1791.), 213
- Mirkin, Isaak, 292
- Monboddo, James Burnett (1714–1799.), 233
- Montaigne, Michel (1533–1592.), 203, 235, 297
- Montesquieu, Charles de Secondat (1689–1755.), 36, 187, 312
- Morris, William Edward, 66, 69–70
- Mossner, Ernest Campbell (1907–1986.), 13–16, 34–36, 53–54, 79, 93, 150, 157–159, 163, 165–166, 177–180, 184, 188–189, 192–195, 201–204, 206, 208–209, 211, 216, 228–229, 236, 264, 321
- Motherby, Robert (1736–1801.), 321–322
- Nadler, Josef (1884–1963.), 252–254, 256, 270, 349–350, 407, 413
- Newman, Rosemary, 54
- Newton, Isaac (1642–1727.), 34, 38–39, 41, 54–55, 94, 174, 220–221, 268, 270, 279, 293–296, 303, 331–333, 340, 362, 371
- Nicolai, Friedrich (1733–1811.), 245, 277, 391, 397, 418, 430
- Nietzsche, Friedrich (1844–1900.), 198
- Novalis, Georg Philipp Friedrich von Hardenberg (1772–1801.), 435
- Oswald, James (1703–1793.), 228, 233, 251, 281, 389
- Owen, David, 39, 44, 55, 76
- Pakaluk, Michael (1904–1980.), 227
- Parmenid (6/5. st. pr. Kr.), 302
- Penelhum, Terence, 94, 103, 106, 121, 142, 149–150, 159
- Periklo (495–429. pr. Kr.), 190
- Pestalozzi, Johann Heinrich (1746–1827.), 175
- Petrović, Gajo (1927–1993.), 22
- Philippi, Wilhelm Albert Ferdinand (1752–1828.), 355
- Pietsch, Lutz-Henning, 292, 384, 388, 393–394, 396, 399, 401–402, 405, 408, 417, 420, 427, 437
- Pistorius, Hermann Andreas (1730–1798.), 240, 374, 395–396, 403–405, 424–429, 441
- Platner, Ernst (1744–1818.), 399–401, 430, 441
- Platon (428/427. ili 424/423–348/347. pr. Kr.), 30, 34, 36, 110, 219, 340, 346, 348, 353, 373, 410
- Ploucquet, Gottfried (1716–1790.), 325
- Pompadour, Jeanne-Antoinette Poisson (1721–1764.), 194
- Pompej (106–48. pr. Kr.), 272
- Pope, Alexander (1688–1744.), 171, 175, 177, 263, 265
- Popkin, Richard Henry (1923–2005.), 76, 174, 188, 190, 233–234
- Powalski, Gottlieb Bernhard (1759–1829.), 372
- Price, Richard (1723–1791.), 232–233
- Priestley, Joseph (1733–1804.), 225, 233–234, 389

- Radcliffe, Elisabeth, 105, 108, 117
- Ramsay, Allan (1713–1784.), 35, 83, 211, 216
- Ramsay, Michael, 35–36, 53
- Ramsay, Michael Andrew (1686–1743.), 35
- Rapin, René (1621–1687.), 253
- Rappolt, Carl Heinrich (1702–1753.), 259
- Rauschenberger, Walter, 337
- Reid, Thomas (1710–1796.), 14, 185, 216–230, 233, 242, 245, 250–251, 281, 375, 389, 392, 420
- Reimarus, Elise (1735–1805.), 413
- Reinhold, Karl Leonhard (1757–1823.), 399, 417, 433, 437
- Richardson, Samuel (1689–1761.), 175, 297
- Riehl, Alois (1844–1924.), 323, 333, 353
- Robertson, William (1721–1793.), 166
- Rose, William (1719–1786.), 212
- Röttgers, Kurt, 392
- Rousseau, Jean-Jacques (1712–1778.), 14, 16, 34, 101, 175, 192, 195–213, 228, 263, 267, 269, 272, 275–276, 296, 303, 307, 309, 311, 316–321, 370, 393, 400, 407, 413, 435–436
- Rysiew, Patrick, 224
- Sassen, Brigitte, 384, 390
- Savigny, Eike, 41, 75
- Scheffner, Johann Georg (1736–1820.), 321–322
- Schelling, Friedrich Wilhelm Joseph (1775–1854.), 276, 292, 412, 437
- Schlegel, Karl Wilhelm Friedrich (1772–1829.), 276
- Schmid, Carl Christian Erhard (1761–1812.), 396
- Schmid, Friedrich Albert, 413
- Schnepf, Robert, 303, 305–306, 331
- Schultz, Franz Albert (1692–1763.), 262–263
- Schultz, Johann (1739–1805.), 351, 394–395
- Schulze, Gottlob Ernst (1761–1833.), 437
- Schütz, Christian Gottfried (1747–1832.), 396, 401
- Scott, William Robert (1868–1940.), 87–88, 91, 93
- Selle, Christian Gottlieb (1748–1800.), 396–399, 427
- Seneka (4. pr. Kr. – 65. po. Kr.), 35, 85
- Serjeantson, Richard W., 80
- Sertorijs Kvint (123–72. pr. Kr.), 272
- Shaftesbury, Anthony Ashley Cooper (1671–1713.), 31–35, 38, 68, 86–89, 93, 104, 106, 122, 131, 136, 141, 155, 196, 219, 228, 242, 244, 250, 253, 270–271, 293, 309, 311, 313–314, 319, 370
- Shaw, Daniel, 117, 121
- Siger iz Brabanta (1240–1280.), 340
- Simpson Ross, Ian (1930–2015.), 93
- Smith, Adam (1723–1790.), 15, 132, 163, 216, 230–232, 244, 250, 263, 391
- Sonnenfeld, Viktor (1902–1969.), 328, 426
- Spinoza, Benedikt (1632–1677.), 36, 77–78, 115, 156–157, 171, 299, 302, 308, 340, 414–417, 421, 423, 437

- Stahl, Georg Ernst (1660–1734.), 27
 Stamm, Marcelo R., 23
 Steiner, James, 358
 Stephen, Leslie (1832–1904.), 29, 31–32, 68, 87–89, 91, 94, 229
 Sterne, Laurence (1713–1768.), 216, 317
 Stewart, John (?–1759.), 181
 Stewart, M. A., 34–36, 185
 Stiening, Gideon, 239, 280, 283, 288
 Streminger, Gerhard, 57
 Strozzi, Filippo (1489–1538.), 157
 Sulzer, Johann Georg (1720–1779.), 238–247, 252, 258–260, 335, 359, 385, 395, 441
 Sutherland, Steward R., 120, 123, 132
 Swain, Charles W., 259–262, 274, 350
 Swedenborg, Emanuel (1688–1772.), 322–323

 Tetens, Holm, 288, 290
 Tetens, Johann Nicolaus (1736–1807.), 16, 279–290, 312, 359, 385, 393–394, 398, 429, 441
 Thomasius, Christian (1655–1728.), 235, 237, 279, 340
 Tiedemann, Dieterich (1748–1803.), 398–399
 Tillotson, John (1630–1694.), 36
 Tittel, Gottlob August (1739–1816.), 402–403, 405, 425, 427–428
 Toma Akvinski (1225–1274.), 156–157, 285, 340
 Tonelli, Giorgio (1928–1978.), 174, 215, 236, 330–335, 338, 346–348, 353
 Tsugawa, Albert (1927–2010.), 79

 Turgot, Anne Robert Jacques (1727–1781.), 171, 190–191, 212–213
 Turnbull, George (1698–1748.), 219

 Uebele, Wilhelm, 279–280, 290, 312
 Ulrich, Johann August Heinrich (1751–1798.), 396, 430
 Unzer, Johann August (1727–1799.), 238

 Vaihinger, Hans (1852–1933.), 325–326, 328, 353, 356, 384, 403, 438
 Van Cleve, James, 223
 Verdelin, Marie-Madeleine de Brémond d’Ars (1728–1810.), 202
 Voltaire, François-Marie Arouet (1694–1778.), 189, 204, 210, 212, 228, 237, 263, 436
 Vorländer, Karl (1860–1928.), 16, 241, 245, 252, 255–256, 263–264, 268–269, 278, 290, 294–295, 297, 300, 302, 306, 316–321, 323–325, 347, 349, 355, 370, 383, 384, 392, 396, 399, 412, 414–417, 429–430, 436

 Wagner, Heinrich Leopold (1747–1779.), 407
 Walpole, Horace (1717–1797.), 203–204, 206, 210
 Wand, Bernard, 138, 149
 Warburton, William (1698–1779.), 180
 Warda, Arthur (1871–1929.), 258, 312, 369
 Watt, James (1736–1819.), 216
 Weber, Heinrich, 253–256, 260, 263, 267–269, 276, 388, 407–409
 Weidemann, Hermann, 373

- Weishaupt, Adam (1748–1830.), 427
- Weymann, Daniel (1732–1795.), 266
- Winter, Hans-Gerd, 235–236, 238, 407
- Wizenmann, Thomas (1759–1787.), 418
- Wolff, Christian (1679–1754.), 235–237, 239, 241, 244, 247, 250, 262, 264, 268, 279, 283, 293–294, 296, 298–299, 301, 304, 306, 308–309, 313–316, 319, 323–324, 328–329, 331, 340, 346, 362, 370, 384, 396, 398, 403, 408, 415, 429–432, 434, 441
- Wollaston, William (1659–1724.), 36
- Womerseley, David, 152, 165
- Wood, Paul B., 229
- Wright, Ernest Hunter (1892–1960.), 196, 198–199
- Wundt, Max (1879–1963.), 235, 237, 314–315, 340
- Young, Edward (1683–1765.), 348
- Zagorac, Ivana, 33
- Zart, Gustav, 239, 242, 244, 247–250, 257, 274, 280, 283, 286–289, 391, 399
- Zedler, Johann Heinrich (1706–1751.), 175, 338
- Zinkin, Melissa, 378
- Žmegač, Viktor, 173, 196, 235, 244, 276