Dietmar W. Winkler (Hg.)

SYRISCHE STUDIEN

Beiträge zum 8. Deutschen Syrologie-Symposium in Salzburg 2014

LIT

Umschlagbild: Inschrift am Haupteingang der Kirche Notre Dame d'Ilige, Mayfouk/Libanon. Alter Sitz der maronitischen Patriarchen (Foto: Hans Hollerweger)

Gedruckt mit Unterstützung von:

UNIVERSITÄT SALZBURG

ZECO – Zentrum zur Erforschung des Christlichen Ostens / Center for the Study of the Christian East



Gedruckt auf alterungsbeständigem Werkdruckpapier entsprechend ANSI Z3948 DIN ISO 9706

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.d-nb.de abrufbar.

ISBN 978-3-643-50743-3

© LIT VERLAG GmbH & Co. KG

Wien 2016 Garnisongasse 1 A-1090 Wien Tel. +43 (0) 1-409 56 61 Fax +43 (0) 1-409 56 97 E-Mail: wien@lit-verlag.at http://www.lit-verlag.at

Auslieferung:

Deutschland: LIT Verlag Fresnostr. 2, D-48159 Münster Tel. +49 (0) 2 51-620 32 22, Fax +49 (0) 2 51-922 60 99, E-Mail: vertrieb@lit-verlag.de Österreich: Medienlogistik Pichler-ÖBZ, E-Mail: mlo@medien-logistik.at E-Books sind erhältlich unter www.litwebshop.de

INHALT

Dietmar W. Winkler Anstatt eines Vorwortes: Syrische Studien in Salzburg	9
KIRCHENVÄTER UND THEOLOGIE	
Pablo Argárate Der Heilige Geist in den Schriften des Joseph Hazzāyā	17
<i>Dmitrij Bumazhnov</i> Erkenntnis der Wahrheit als absolutes Ende religiöser Polemik. Irenische Theologie des Dionysios Areopagita bei Isaak von Ninive	33
<i>Winfried Büttner</i> "Aus dem heiligen Dionysius". Das syrische Corpus Dionysiacum und sein Einfluss auf Šemʿōn d-Ṭaibu <u>t</u> eh	41
<i>Theresia Hainthaler</i> Gott hat ihm den Namen gegeben, der größer ist als alle Namen (Phil 2,9). Zur Namenstheologie in Babais <i>De unione</i>	49
Said Hayati Knowledge and Belief in the Letter of Paul the Persian	63
Shijo Joseph Askese und Gelehrsamkeit: Das monastische Leben des Narsai von Nisibis, ein ostsyrisches Beispiel	73
Marijke Metselaar The Vision of God in the Mirror of One's Soul. The Influence	

of Evagrian Mysticism on Babai's Christology

6 I	nhalt
<i>Andrew N. Palmer</i> Editing a Syriac Hagiography: The Life of Barṣawmo the Northerner	89
<i>Catalin-Stefan Popa</i> Der Patriarch Giwargis und seine Rede von dem einen Gott. Die Frage nach den Termini	109
Gabriel Rabo	107
Dionysius Jakob Bar Şalibi: Die Desiderata in der Handschriftenforschung zu seinem Werk	119
Aho Shemunkasho	
Anmerkungen zu Iwannis von Dara (9. Jh.) und seinen Mimre zur Auferstehung des Leibes	129
GESCHICHTE UND KONTEXTE	
Jasmine Dum-Tragut	
Zwischen den Fronten: Die russisch-orthodoxen Assyrer von Dimitrov (Armenien)	143
Joachim Jakob	
Syrische Christen und ihre frühen Reaktionen auf die Herausforderung des Islam	157
Josef Rist	
"Die Geschichte von Karkā d-Bēt Slok und der Märtyrer darin" (BHO 705) Ein spätantiker hagiographischer Text und sein Sitz im Leben	181
Andreas Schmoller	
Orte der Zugehörigkeit: Kontexte und Forschungsansatz einer Diasporastudie zu den syrischen und koptischen Christen in Österreich	199
Martin Tamcke	
Schulfragen im Kreis um Pera Johannes (Yuhannon Pera) in der Urmia-Region	215

Inhalt	7
<i>Li Tang</i> The "Nestorian" Ongut King George in Medieval Chinese, Latin and Syriac Sources	227
<i>Dietmar W. Winkler</i> Pater Ludger Bernhard OSB und Syrische Studien in Salzburg im 20. Jahrhundert	245
LITURGIE UND FRÖMMIGKEIT	
Saliba Er	
Commemoration of the Departed in the Eucharist according to Jacob of Serugh (d. 522), Jacob of Edessa (d. 708) and Moses Bar Kepho (d. 903)	259
Thomas Kremer	
Bildverständnis, Bilderverbot und Bilderverehrung in den syrischen Kirchen	271
Martin Lang/Reinhard Meßner	
Altorientalisches und frühchristliches Beten: Traditions- und formgeschichtliche Überlegung zur syrischen Epiklese	307
Maros Nicak	
Bildersprache und Symbolik der Feinde der Kirche des Ostens im liturgischen Buch Wardā	323
Ulrike-Rebekka Nieten	
Das syrisch-aramäische Troparion: Eine poetische Gattung zwischen Psalm und Hymnus	341
Anton Pritula	
"O Ringdove! Where are you heading for?" A Syriac Dialogue Poem of the late 13 th Century	351
Harald Suermann	
Der Koran und christliche Hymnen	361

KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF IN THE LETTER OF PAUL THE PERSIAN

Said HAYATI

Salzburg

Before any scrutiny in Paul the Persian's letter, we should answer the question "who is he?" There is much discussion about the identity of Paul the Persian. Arthur Vööbus hints at the significance of this problem when he writes "the identity of this Paulos involves some complications."¹ In the view of Junillus Africanus he was associated with the Christian school of Nisibis.² Giovanni Mercati³, Anton Baumstark⁴, Jacques Larry⁵, A. D. Lee⁶ and Peter Bruns⁷ offer different points of view on this matter.⁸ Lee and Baumstark discuss him under the name of "Paul of Nisibis." Mercati names him "Paul the Persian" (Paolo il Persiano).⁹ Bruns describes him as an Anti-manichaean from Nisibis and a philosopher from Rew-Ardashir.¹⁰ Clearly, the information about his life is very scanty.¹¹

Who was he?

The pressing question "Who was Paul the Persian?" would need an appropriate answer. This is a fundamental difficulty that extends itself into a series of related matters, which express uncertainty about his very name. Was he the man who had a debate with a Manichaean in Constantinople in 527 AD, which is

I would like to express my thanks to Paul Stevenson for his assistance in revising this paper. Any remaining errors are, of course, my own.

¹ Vööbus, *History of the School of Nisibis*, 170; see the full discussion 170–172.

² Cf. Maas, *Exegesis and Empire in the Early Byzantine Mediterranean*,8.

³ Mercati, Note di letteratura biblica ecristiana antica.

⁴ Baumstark, Geschichte der syrischen Literatur, 120–21.

⁵ Jarry, "Les hérésies dualistes dans l'empire byzantin du Ve au VIIe siècle", 105.

⁶ Lee, "Evagrius, Paul of Nisibis and the problem of loyalties in the mid-sixth century", 569– 585.

 ⁷ Bruns, "Wer war Paul der Perser?", 263–68.
⁸ In his discussion of this issue Bienert includes the names of H. Kikn, G. Mercati and I. Forscher. Cf. Bienert, "Die Instituta regularia' des Junilius (Junillus) Africanus", 317.

⁹ Note di letteratura, 180.

¹⁰ Bruns, "Wer war Paul der Perser?", 263; also Peter Bruns, "Paul der Perser, Christ und Philosoph im spätantiken Sasanidenreich", 28.

¹¹ Goulet, Dictionnaire des Philosophes Antiques, 185.

preserved in Greek?¹² Or was he Paulos of Nisibis, because he was educated at the Syrian school in the city of Nisibis?¹³ Or perhaps he was Paulos the Philosopher.¹⁴ Was he a Persian teacher from the school of Nisibis, who was teaching in this very school?¹⁵ Peter Bruns tried to clarify and identify the truth about this person or these persons¹⁶, although he did not give a suitable answer to this issue. Javier Teixidor, however, was successful in his efforts, because he has been able to determine that this was the Paul who had anti-Manichaean debates and who was a disciple of Mar Aba.¹⁷

In spite of all the difficulties in determining Paul's identity, however, by using a contextual approach while reading the Syriac version of Paul's letter to the Sasanian king Khusrau I,¹⁸ and also the first and last paragraphs of his Peri Hermeneias¹⁹, it becomes clear that his name was Paul the Persian, not Paulos of Nisibis or Paulos the Philosopher. He was a East Syriac ("Nestorian") theologian²⁰ and philosopher who is said to have worked at the court of Khusrau I.²¹ He lived from 531–578/9 AD.²² Syriac scholars usually present him as the writer of an introduction to Aristotle's logic addressed to Khusrau Anoshirwan, because of Land's Latin translation of this text.²³ He is also presented as a convert to Zoroastrianism on the basis of a hint by Bar Hebraeus' chronicle.²⁴ Some believe he was instructed in Nisibis and wrote his works in Persian or Middle Perisan,²⁵ but Hugonnard-Roche has serious doubts about these matters.²⁶

Paul the Persian in his letter to the Sassanid king Khusrau Anushirvan (6th century) uses knowledge and belief in two manners: philosophical and theological. In his general approach he expounds his thoughts about knowledge and belief in linguistic, theological and logical modes.

¹² See the three articles by Lucas Van Rompay, titled "Pawlos of Nisibis," "Pawlos the Persian" and "Pawlos the Philosopher", 324–325.

¹³ Javier Teixidor is dubious about whether he studied in Nisibis. See Teixidor, *Aristote en syriaque: Paul le Perse*, 27.

¹⁴ Van Rompay, "Pawlos the Philosopher," 324–325.

¹⁵ Bienert, "Die Instituta regularia," 308, 316.

¹⁶ Bruns, "Wer war Paul der Perser?", 263.

¹⁷ Cf. Teixidor, "L'introduction au *De interpretatione* chez Proba et Paul le Perse", 293–294.

¹⁸ Land, Otia Syriaca, 1–32 (Syriac section).

¹⁹ Said Hayati with Paul S. Stevenson, *Peri Hermeneias*, for English translation see pp. 21 & 45 and for Syriac version see pp, 47 & 66.

²⁰ Jarry, "Les hérésies dualistes dans l'empire byzantin", 105.

²¹ Cf. Gutas, "Paul the Persian on the classification of the parts of Aristotle's philosophy", 238.

 ²² Hugonnard-Roche, "Du commentaire à la reconstruction: Paul le Perse interprète d'Aristote",
207.

²³ Land, *Otia Syriaca*, 1–30 (Latin section).

²⁴ Cf. e.g. Duval, *La littérature syriaque*, 250.

²⁵ Vööbus, *History of the School of Nisibis*, 171.

²⁶ Cf. Hugonnard-Roche, "Sur la lecture tardo-antique du Peri Hermenias d'Aristote", 38.

Philosophy in the letter

In logic, he presents his idea about the three syllogistic figures of Aristotle's logic,²⁷ each in sixteen forms.²⁸ Paul bases his letter on the syllogism in Aristotle's logic, since all of Aristotle's logic revolves around syllogism.²⁹ Aristotle says: "A syllogism is discourse in which, certain things being stated, something other than what is stated follows of necessity from their being so."³⁰

Why does Paul start his letter with a direct reference to logic but then turn quickly to philosophy?³¹ Logic is a division of philosophy in general in the letter of Paul.³² Of course, finding an accurate answer to this question depends on our understanding of Paul's notion of knowledge and belief.

Knowledge and belief

Paul uses the term $d_{1,2}$ *ida 'tā* for knowledge. This is variously rendered *'scientia, cognitio'* by R. Payne Smith,³³ *'information, knowledge, doctrine'* by J. Payne Smith,³⁴ *'science, knowledge'* by Costaz,³⁵ and *'knowledge'* by Sokoloff.³⁶

For 'belief' Paul uses the term محتمد *haymānutā*. This is glossed '*firmitas*, veritas, fidelitas, fides' by R. Payne Smith,³⁷ 'faith, religion, religious doctrine' by Costaz,³⁸ 'firmness, truth, faithfulness, faith, religion, doctrine' by J. Payne Smith,³⁹ 'faith, religion' by Sokoloff,⁴⁰ and الإيمان al-iman by Hassan Bar Bahlul.⁴¹

³⁷ Payne Smith, ibid., col. 238, sub جمح.

³⁹ Payne Smith, ibid., p. 103.

²⁷ For an explanatory summary of the three syllogistic figures in Aristotle's thought, cf. King/Shapiro, *The Oxford Companion to Philosophy*, 496.

²⁸ An explanation of the treatment of these figures by Paul the Persian and by Aristotle, including similarities and differences in examples and explanations, will be found in another article that I'll complete later.

²⁹ http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/aristotle-logic/.

³⁰ *Prior Analytics*, trans. A. J. Jenkinson, 82.

³¹ Land, Otia Syriaca, 1, ll. 3–5 (Syriac section): "To the fortunate Khosrow, king of kings, the most excellent of men, from your servant Paul, greetings. Philosophy, which is the true knowledge of everything, is in you, and from the philosophy that is in you, I send offerings to you."

³² Gutas, "Paul the Persian on the Classification of the parts of Aristotle's Philosophy", 240.

³³ Payne Smith, *Thesaurus Syriacus*, col. 1559.

³⁴ Payne Smith, A Compendious Syriac Dictionary, p.188.

³⁵ Costaz, Dictionnaire Syriaque-Français/Syriac-English Dictionary, 137.

³⁶ Sokoloff, A Syriac Lexicon, 564.

³⁸ Costaz, ibid., p. 77.

⁴⁰ Sokoloff, ibid., p. 342.

⁴¹ Bar Bahlul, *Lexicon Syriacum*, vol. 1, col. 625.

After reflecting on these two words one can form some idea about the meaning of the two together: knowledge of belief, knowledge of religion, science of belief, science of religion, and other such combinations, but Paul uses "belief and knowledge".⁴² When explaining the difference between knowledge and belief, Paul says:

ארשה אין של הדות גפוע ב אלא מער ממשטא של של ש בי היא גדועים מאא האנוא מאא השליגש בעולטאמא אמיאיי. מאי, בי בעמלאי מגיא גין גאי במלאי גע בעליאי. בא במאייי. שלי מכיל מגמא הבל. אין במלצא גין. שמימואיי. געאיא איז הי הי משבומאיי. מלמגא להצביאי. מאיי האיי

For knowledge is about that which is near and apparent and known, while belief is about everything that is distant and invisible and not accurately known. The latter is subject to doubt, while the former is without doubt. Thus every doubt brings division, but non-doubt (brings) agreement. Knowledge, therefore, is greater than belief, and it is necessary to choose the former rather than the latter.

Thus, he is saying it is proved that knowledge comes from belief. Paul's belief in the importance and effectiveness of logic and philosophy is an opportunity for him to show that belief and knowledge generally, and about logic and philosophy in particular, have an important role in the effectiveness and stability of Khusrau Anushirvan's government. It seems that Paul wanted to write a practical and useful letter to Khusrau I, for he says, immediately after the opening of his letter:

פעשמהפהוא האנאיה עראא שויואא גבלי. כבה איאיהי היביי הפעשמהאא גבה אישרי המוביא ערה ביאי איאי האא המא גאגיבהיאאיי איש איי בי פיגעא גבימארה גרייא בה איי ביא געשא גבימאריה אישיי אייי איי איי איי גביבא

Philosophy, which is the true knowledge of everything, is in you, and from the philosophy that is in you, I send offerings to you. And this is not extraordinary; for likewise from the paradise of your domain offerings are brought to you.

Therefore he is in the group of those who believe logic is an instrument for influencing minds and souls by giving justification and strength to actions and behaviors.⁴⁵ It seems these are his aims in writing this letter to the king of Persia. He not only presents his knowledge and belief about theology, linguistics and logic, but he also guides the king Khusrau with scientific approaches in logic; because most of this letter deals with Aristotle's logic.

⁴² Land, *Otia Syriaca*, Syriac section, 2.

⁴³ Ibid, p. 2, l. 26 – p. 3, l. 3.

⁴⁴ Ibid., p. 1. ll. 4–7.

⁴⁵ Makovelski, *Histoire de la logique*, 8.

Language as a means of communicating philosophy

Concerning his general approach to linguistic matters he writes: "Logic (حليله الله) results from the composition (حليله) of a proposition (حليله). And of a proposition its primary (حديده) parts (حدينه) are two: one is the noun (حديده), for example 'man,' and the other is the verb (حلامه), for example, 'he walks.' In general, though, the verb too is called a noun. Also its conjunctions (حيزيه) are, for example, 'for, but, then, however, that is, therefore,' etc., which bring about, so to speak, composition and linkage and coherence and measure and order, and are called *adverbs*."⁴⁶

Paul goes on to enumerate the most necessary terms for logic, which he calls: genera (حديد), species (حديد), differences (حديد), properties (حديد) and incidental characteristics (حديد).⁴⁷ He gives examples for each term: for genus, "animal"; for species, "human"; for differences, "rational" and "irrationality"; for properties, "aptness to neigh" and "power of laughing"; for incidental characteristics, "whiteness" and "blackness."⁴⁸ Over the next several pages, Paul procedes to discuss and illustrate these terms in considerable detail.⁴⁹

He adds that there are six relationships among nouns that are "very necessary": equivalence of name (i.e., homonymy) (معده محمد), equivalence of name and definition (معده محمد), commonality of name (معده محمد) (apparently identical to the preceding; it is not included in the following list with explanations and examples), multiplicity of names (i.e., synonymy) (محمده محمد), diversity of names (apparently this refers to collective nouns) (محمده), and denominative names (معده محمد).⁵⁰ After giving this list, Paul explains these names and gives examples of them. He goes on to give detailed grammatical information about nouns, verbs and sentences.⁵¹

After explaining his beliefs and knowledge about linguistic matters, and particularly expounding upon the sentence, Paul enters into the main section of his letter, which concerns Aristotle's logic. Although he lays out his beliefs and knowledge about linguistics and logic in his introductory explanation of linguistics, he elucidates his logical ideas about true and false sentences in the linguistic part of this section,⁵² so there is some overlap between the sections. He tells us about eight oppositions ((مهتدايدلامه),⁵³ of declarative propositions (محمتنه),⁵⁴ Among these are universal (محمته),⁵⁵ indefinite (

⁵³ Ibid., p. 12, l. 2.

⁴⁶ Land, ibid., p. 5. ll. 19–25.

⁴⁷ Ibid., ll. 26–27.

 $^{^{\}rm 48}$ Ibid., p. 5, l. 27 – p. 6, l. 1.

⁴⁹ Ibid., pp. 6–9.

⁵⁰ Ibid., p. 8, l. 19 – p. 9, l. 5.

⁵¹ Ibid., pp. 10–11.

⁵² Ibid., p. 11, ll. 11–18.

⁵⁴ Ibid.

رحم المعنيدية),⁵⁶ and "designating specific names" (حما المعنيدية),⁵⁷ He goes on to explain and give examples of each opposition.⁵⁸

Next, Paul discusses the three syllogistic figures (حموت (حموت , محمول ,),⁵⁹ each of which occurs in 16 types (حمد),⁶⁰ and he gives explanations and examples of each one.⁶¹

Summary of the purpose of the letter

Paul in his letter that's and abridgement of Prophyry's Eisagoge and a version of individual books of the Organon⁶² combines general and particular beliefs and knowledge about theology in a part of his letter and presents his definition of belief.⁶³

Paul then engages in a discussion of ideas about God held by people who accept God's existence or have different ideas about him.

בא, ובעמם, אביום. ועו אינטמע, אנמאי איעיטא וא גר עו מע עם בעעוו. איעיטא גא איביום. ואיט עם מסטבאאי איעיטא געגע איטטע גא איבים סגבבלבגע איטטע, ביטאי איעיטא גא. איבים גיאי עער בלבגע ביבעי איעיטא איבים גרלאאי סבל גבעי עם איטטע, ביוסאי. איעיטא גא גראי מטא גבלבגע איטטע, ביסאי וגמס. סאיע גאיבים גבעי עם איטטע, ביסאי. איעיטא גא גראי גבלבגע איטטע, ביסאי וגמס. סאיע גאיבים גבא אי בעל בביג בראי גרבעים גבעיטאי איניטאיע איטטע גאיבים גע איטע געגע איניטאי געי איניט. סאיני איניטאיע איריט גרבעים גבעיט ערגע געגע איניטי. טענייט איניטאיע איריט גרבעיט גבעיט געג איניט. סער ביעיטי גרבעיט גרעיט געג איניט איניטאיע איט גרבעי געגע איניטאיע איני. סער געגע געגע איניטע איניעט געגע געערט געג איניע געטעיע.

Therefore some have said that God is one, and others that he is not one alone. And others have said that he has an opponent, [but] others that he does not [have one]. And others have said that he is omnipotent, but others that he is not omnipotent. Others have said that of the world and all that is in it, he is the creator, but others that it was not the case that they recognized that he is the creator of everything. And there are some who have said that the world was created from nothing, and there are some who have said that he made it from matter. And there are some who have said that the world is without beginning and also that it remains without end. Others falsely assert otherwise. For they have said that human beings are free beings with will, and there are those who are refuters of this. And they have said many other things that are like these, and they have affirmed in their commentaries, in which it is apparent that they refute one another and are opposed to one another.

⁵⁵ Ibid., l. 5.

⁵⁶ Ibid., l. 7.

⁵⁷ Ibid., ll. 11–12.

⁵⁸ Ibid., pp. 12–17.

⁵⁹ Ibid., p. 17, l. 10.

⁶⁰ Ibid., 1. 13.

⁶¹ Ibid., pp. 17–28.

⁶² Teixidor, "Les textes Syriaques de logique, de Paul le Perse", 117.

⁶³ Ibid., p. 2, lines 27&28.

⁶⁴ Ibid, p. 2, ll. 9–21.

After calling them dogmatic,⁶⁵ he says that "the matter of those dogmas in relation to belief and in relation to knowledge is necessary."⁶⁶

Paul defines belief by saying that it "is about everything that is distant and invisible and not accurately known."⁶⁷

With some careful thought about the word that Paul uses, one may be able to understand more clearly why Paul gives belief priority over knowledge. It seems that when Paul uses the term "belief," he does not intend to cause people to stagnate or remain inactive, but rather he wants them to act on their acceptance of knowledge, for this is the means to get away from dogma.

Conclusion

In this short paper I have tried to answer to two questions, first "who is Paul the Persian?" Based on alleged points I concluded his name is Paul the Persian, and not to identify with a Paulos of Nisibis or a Paulos the Philosopher. A theologian-philosopher who wrote a letter to the king Khusrau I and summary of Aristotle's Peri Hermeneias and lived in Persian Empire 531-578/9 AD.

Then "what is the role of knowledge and belief in the Paul the Persian's letter to King Khusrau Anushirvan?" Both in general and from the theological perspective, one can find that *practice* is the main concept.⁶⁸ I think the concept of practice is the main idea at the root of belief, and that it is the core of knowledge. The main goal is to get away from dogma.

We might well ask why Paul the Persian wrote about his ideas concerning belief and knowledge in a letter about Artistotle's logic to the king of Persia. It seems that he was trying, by means of courtesy and a sound scientific method, Khusrau's interests to Aristotle's thoughts⁶⁹, to teach the king of Persia that thinking correctly was an important function of logic.

He writes politely, saying to the king: "To the fortunate Khusrau, king of kings, the most excellent of men, from your servant Paul, greetings."⁷⁰ Paul's courtesy is shown by his use of the words: "fortunate Khusrau, most excellent of men, your servant."

The use of Aristotle's logic is legitimate because it contains the earliest formal study of logic that we have.⁷¹

PDF-Muster

⁶⁵ Ibid, p. 2, lines 25.

⁶⁸ Payne Smith, ibid, p. 19, sub ہمتے amn.

⁶⁹ Litvinsky, *History of Civilizations of Central Asia*, 94.

⁷⁰ Land, ibid., p. 1, l. 3.

⁷¹ http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/aristotle-logic/.

By means of logic and belief, with the help of knowledge, one can ensure that the basis for his life is practice. Such a person can be fortunate, as King Khusrau was.

Bibliography

BAR BAHLUL Hassan, Lexicon Syriacum, vol. 1, ed. Rubens Duval. Paris 1901.

- BAUMSTARK Anton, Geschichte der syrischen Literatur. Bonn 1922.
- BIENERT Wolfgang A., "Die ,Instituta regularia' des Junilius (Junillus) Africanus: Ein nestorianisches Kompendium der Bibelwissenschaft im Abendland," in: Martin Tamcke et al. (ed.), Syrisches Christentum Weltweit: Studien zur syrischen Kirchengeschichte: Festschrift Wolfgang Hage. Münster 1995), 307–324.
- BRUNS Peter, "Paul der Perser, Christ und Philosoph im spätantiken Sasanidenreich", in: Römische Quartalschrift 104 (2009) 28–53.

BRUNS Peter, "Wer war Paul der Perser?", in: Studia Patristica 45 (2010) 263-68.

COSTAZ Louis, *Dictionnaire Syriaque-Français/Syriac-English Dictionary*, 3rd ed. Beirut 2002.

DUVAL Rubens, La littérature syriaque. Paris 1907.

GOULET Richard (ed.), Dictionnaire des Philosophes Antiques. Paris 2012.

- GUTAS Dimitri, "Paul the Persian on the classification of the parts of Aristotle's philosophy: a milestone between Alexandria and Baġdâd", in: *Der Islam 60.2* (2009), 231-267.
- HAYATI Said (with Stevenson Paul S.), *Peri Hermeneias by Paul the Persian*. Tehran 2016.
- HUGONNARD-ROCHE Henri, "Du commentaire à la reconstruction: Paul le Perse interprète d'Aristote (sur une lecture du Peri Hermeneias, à propos des modes et des adverbes selon Paul, Ammonius et Boèce)", in: Josef Lössl/John W. Watt (eds.), *Interpreting the Bible and Aristotle in Late Antiquity: The Alexandrian Commentary Tradition between Rome and Baghdad*. Farnham/Burlington 2011, 207-224.
- HUGONNARD-ROCHE Henri, "Sur la lecture tardo-antique du Peri Hermenias d'Aristote: Paul le Perse et la tradition d'Ammonius" in: *Studia graeco-arabica* 3 (2013) 227-243.

JARRY Jacques, "Les hérésies dualistes dans l'empire byzantin du Ve au VIIe siècle", in: Bulletin de L'Institut Français D'Archéologie Orientale 63 (1965) 89-119.

KING Peter/SHAPIRO Stewart, The Oxford Companion to Philosophy. Oxford 1995.

LAND J. P. N., Otia Syriaca (Anecdota Syriaca, vol. 4). Leiden 1875.

- LEE A. D., "Evagrius, Paul of Nisibis and the problem of loyalties in the mid-sixth century", in: *Journal of Ecclesiastical History* 44.4 (1993) 569–585.
- LITVINSKY B.A. (ed.), History of Civilizations of Central Asia. Paris 1996.
- MAAS Michael, *Exegesis and Empire in the Early Byzantine Mediterranean*. Tübingen 2003.
- MAKOVELSKI A., *Histoire de la logique* (trans. from the Russian by Geneviève Dupond). Moscou 1978.

MERCATI Giovanni, Note di letteratura biblica ecristiana antica. Roma 1901.

PAYNE Smith J. (ed.), A Compendious Syriac Dictionary. Oxford 1903.

PAYNE Smith R., Thesaurus Syriacus. Oxford 1879.

SOKOLOFF Michael, A Syriac Lexicon. Winona Lake 2009.

TEIXIDOR Javier, "Les textes Syriaques de logique, de Paul le Perse", in: *Semitica* 47 (1997) 117-138.

TEIXIDOR Javier, Aristote en syriaque: Paul le Perse, logician du VIe siècle. Paris 2003.

TEIXIDOR, "L'introduction au *De interpretatione* chez Proba et Paul le Perse", in: *Symposium Syriacum VII*. Rome 1998, Javier 293–294.VAN ROMPAY Lucas, "Pawlos of Nisibis", "Pawlos the Persian" and "Pawlos the Phi-

VAN ROMPAY Lucas, "Pawlos of Nisibis", "Pawlos the Persian" and "Pawlos the Philosopher," in *Gorgias Encyclopedic Dictionary of the Syriac Heritage*, Piscataway 2011, 324–325.

VÖÖBUS Arthur, History of the School of Nisibis. Louvain 1965.