

International Journal of Languages and Culture

Publisher's Home Page: https://www.svedbergopen.com/



ISSN: 2788-404X

Perspective Article

Open Access

Cultural Values in Folktales and its Representation in Real Cultural Complexity: A Personal Perspective

Quang-Loc Nguyen1*

¹SP Jain School of Global Management, New South Wales 2141, Australia. E-mail: anhloc0706@gmail.com

Article Info

Volume 1, Issue 4, December 2021 Received: 08 August 2021 Accepted: 19 November 2021 Published: 05 December 2021 doi: 10.51483/IJLC.1.4.2021.1-2

Abstract

Studying the induced meaning of folktales is a compelling field that catches great attention from scholars and anthropologists. Cultural additivity is a concept that significantly contributes to human understanding of how folktales induce cultural lessons to social behaviors. In this paper, I attempt to comment the Cultural additivity: behavioural insights from the interaction of Confucianism, Buddhism and Taoism in folktales in terms of its used dataset construction, equation, modern world application, and limitation

Keywords: Cultural additivity, Cultural inhibitions, Folktales, Human behaviors

© 2021 Quang-Loc Nguyen. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

tudying the induced meaning of folktales is a compelling field that catches great attention from scholars and anthropologists (Alberto Acerbi *et al.*, 2017; John, 1963). However, there are few in-depth investigations of each story's message related to the cultural behaviors (Dennis, 1973; Quan-Hoang Vuong *et al.*, 2020). Cultural additivity is a concept that significantly contributes to human understanding of how folktales induce cultural lessons to social behaviors (Quan-Hoang Vuong *et al.*, 2020). In this paper, I attempt to review the Cultural additivity: behavioral insights from the interaction of Confucianism, Buddhism and Taoism in folktales in terms of its used dataset construction, equation, modern world application, and limitation.

In the dataset construction, I wonder about the messages that the author give to the pattern of the action in each folktale. In the author's example, a husband violates the Three Moral Bonds. But what if he self-corrects or controls his attitude toward his wife after this behavior? For example, on day one, the violation of Three Moral Bonds happens, so AVC (Anti-Confucian values) can be equivalent to one. However, on day two, no violation of Three Moral Bonds happens, then AVC is equivalent to 0. Thus, I believe that the dataset has not scoped the variation of characters' behaviors.

Furthermore, folktales often talk about a story with a limited number of characters. Thus, the folktale cannot show the pattern of behaviors and represent social values at a certain level. In reality, we may need to observe and collect more data on behaviors to show cultural influence and interaction. Therefore, I would recommend to the reader that the assumption would not be fully applied to the social context at the time.

In the societal implication of the paper, the author assumes: "While it might be true to claim that the three religions coexist in a cultural entity, the empirical results allow us to make the case that there is more than just mere co-existence.

2788-404X/© 2021. Agbasiere, Chijioke. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Corresponding author: Quang-Loc Nguyen, SP Jain School of Global Management, New South Wales 2141, Australia. E-mail: anhloc0706@gmail.com

There is indeed complex interaction and, seemingly, a domination of Confucianism over other religions". In this assumption, the data and equation are used to analyze based on the number of folktales rather than how much the influence of culture on real-life behavior, and differences between the unofficial culture and State's institutional culture professions, sciences. Therefore, the readers should be aware of how much difference between the folktales' and real-life' behaviors. This could help the readers interpret and apply the assumption more correctly.

In the modern world, young generations tend to pay less attention to folktales since they spend more time on the internet (Trung Tran *et al.*, 2020). Besides, the migration of other religions and beliefs during globalization may have certain effects on cultural behaviors. Therefore, I think the paper is suited for those who are interested in history and certain cultural behaviors in a tech-saturated world (Richard, 2011).

Not only may the case of creating new folktales by individuals that not be relative to social circumstance (Satu Apo and David Hackston, 2007), but also there is a significant number of outliers in studying social science. For example, Confucianism mentions loyalty to the king. In history, many people stand against the government (the king) due to many reasons, such as heavy levy. The parents' pious behavior: there are examples of children on the news that behave 'disrespectfully' to their parents. And the virtuous attitude as a wife: there are stories of a wife cheated on her husband may raise the question of the truth. Therefore, I wonder how folktales' robustness influences people's behaviors: How much it influences, and at which scale? I hope in the next paper I can see some of the author's suggestions.

To sum up, the paper about cultural additivity (Quan-Hoang Vuong *et al.*, 2018) is a should-read paper for those studying history and cultural behaviors. This paper has attempted to review its dataset structure, real-world application, and limitation. The limitation of the paper mainly derives from the finite representation of folktales to the real social complexity.

References

- Alberto, Acerbi., Jeremy Kendal. and Jamshid, J. Tehrani. (2017). Cultural Complexity and Demography: The Case of Folktales. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 38(4), 474–480.
- Dennis Paul, Klug. (1973). The Significance of the Judeo-Christian-Black Concept of Man and History in Values Education. PhD Thesis, The Ohio State University.
- John, L. Fischer. (1963). The Sociopsychological Analysis of Folktales. Current Anthropology, 4(3), 235–295.
- Quan-Hoang Vuong, Manh-Tung Ho, Hong-Kong Nguyen, Viet-Phuong La, Thu-Trang Vuong, Thi-Hanh Vu, Minh-Hoang Nguyen and Manh-Toan Ho. (2020). On How Religions Could Accidentally Incite Lies and Violence: Folktales as a Cultural Transmitter. *Palgrave Communications*, 6(1), 82.
- Quan-Hoang Vuong, Quang-Khiem Bui, Viet-Phuong La, Thu-Trang Vuong, Viet-Ha T. Nguyen, Manh-Toan Ho, Hong-Kong T. Nguyen and Manh-Tung Ho. (2018). Cultural Additivity: Behavioural Insights from the Interaction of Confucianism, Buddhism and Taoism in Folktales. *Palgrave Communications*, 4(1), 143.
- Richard, M. Dorson. (2011). Folklore in the Modern World. Walter de Gruyter, 23.
- Satu, Apo. and David, Hackston. (2007). The Relationship Between Oral and Literary Tradition as a Challenge in Fairy-Tale Research: The Case of Finnish Folktales. *Marvels Tales*, 21(1), 19–33.
- Tran, Trung, Manh-Toan Ho, Thanh-Hang Pham, Minh-Hoang Nguyen, Khanh-Linh P. Nguyen, Thu-Trang Vuong, Thanh-Huyen T. Nguyen, Thanh-Dung Nguyen, Thi-Linh Nguyen, Quy Khuc, Viet-Phuong La and Quan-Hoang Vuong. (2020). How Digital Natives Learn and Thrive in the Digital Age: Evidence from an Emerging Economy. *Sustainability*, 12(9) 3819.

Cite this article as: Quang-Loc Nguyen (2021). Cultural Values in Folktales and its Representation in Real Cultural Complexity: A Personal Perspective. *International Journal of Languages and Culture.* 1(4), 1-2. doi:10.51483/JJLC.1.4.2021.1-2.