thus, a cool outfit is prenasal drip.

Posthumous — a time when something is related or similar to the ancient Roman city Postumus , in fewer words ,, was once alive and well and now all that's "gone out the window" (Sugar Ray , 2001 , "When it's Over" , Sugar Ray).

Good-Natured Gossip: about whom is the professor about whom I (Jacob Parr) happened to learn news: from a different professor who was retrieving his bike from the about whom's office this past semester and said to me — as I was looking for either the about whom or Balashov* to discuss my incredible, rigorous, absolute revolutionary formal analytic refutation of all of Kant — "He's [the about whom] on leave this semester, this isn't my office, I'm just grabbing my bicycle" (Dr. Piers Stephens, 2023, {whom I only recognized from his faculty photo and being easily recognizable }): which made sense since the about whom said that his [the about whom's] wife was (as told to Jacob Parr in 2017) a professor "living and teaching in California" and possibly still is so doing.

**** I can prove false Balashov's entire specialty, as Einstein was wrong and Balashov specializes in Einsteinian Spacetime and has been writing specifically about false distinctions about erroneous nominally-time-related-pseudoconcepts that are barely distinguishable nominally, namely "perdurance", which I take as a complete farce and like some types of pseudotimeconcepts, a bundle ... that said, pace Balashov's Linguistics and most other Metaphysics, specifically mereology — which I recently flattened with a new insight related to that which I call "Enjoinment" andor that which I call "Laident [or "Latent" or "Ladent" { alternate spellings }] properties" — which are distinct from "emergent properies":

Emergence — when a property magically appears out of nowhere

Laidency — when a property is already present in at least one of the parts and appears when either of the following occurs: when more parts are collected or enjoined, when a property-providing part is used in a property-expressing way, or when two or more parts are enjoined in such a way as to express at least one property otherwise left unexpressed

Example: a brick house cannot be burned down because each individual brick does not burn or melt, but a brick house can still fall over if either the kind of enjoinment does not entail expression of the sturdiness and hardness as seen in the individual bricks or does not incorporate at least one additional part that, when enjoined with brick, entails an expression of sturdiness

A single stick breaks easily but a bundle of sticks is difficult to break only because the original stick is by degree: relatively sturdy to its parts — plant cells — but not sturdy to those of larger orders of magnitudes

A brick is a solid block and can not easily be lived in — by a human — but is nevertheless porous , and as such can be enjoined with at least more of itself to express "empty space" at various orders of magnitude [or various order of scale , { sameysamey }] .

Thus conciousness is not an emergent property nor supervenient , as all properties are laident .

casually , with only an undergraduate education, by Jacob R. Parr June 18th 2023 $\,$

Appendix C

The correctness of attribution here does not really change so much the argument :

Lemma 1

A and B		Assumption
A -> C		Observation 1
B -> D		Inference 1
Therefore,	C and D	Material Conditional 2,3 and Conjuction

A and B	Assumption
A -> C1	Experiment (all Philosophy)/ or Sociology
B -> C2	(<i>Bourdieu</i> , p. 1 - li ₁₉ ,' 79.) Taste
"C2" = "currently , my most previous employer , for whom I taught creative nonfiction writing , all college entrace exams , and a course I personally made on Rhetoric , using Oxford's handbook on Rhetoric (which was surprisingly amazing since it's not an anthology! And that's not a joke , it really is a handbook!)"	Sample of one kind of true literal
C2 ≠ "C2"	or La Distinction
{C1, C2} = C	Up for debate
Let C1 = c , C2 = C ,	REDO x START-O!-AD reductio : necessarily , a Let
C2 cannot be an element of itself and simultaneously a private tutoring company in Georgia U S^	(Fact) or (Prove me wrong that (only I can o you can't solve the referenced paradox , which I nicknamed " the Junior's Dream "))
{A , B , C , , Z} = ABC	Arb.
A≠B≠C≠≠Z≠"Z"≠	yy = y's
(Q), Fr. instances are unique	{ La Mête : You , Me , We - <pl.></pl.>
An. instances est' unique Pt.	Ouve! L' Myth!
instances ≈ unique Oc.	L' »Alla«man'! Da liegen}
Instantareaequators.'De	(Spinoza [1] , B. A Ética . [(Deleuze ,
instanzione sono De. uniuniunicacorne	'post'-posth.), /tʃ/apter 2 [,
Pt. instances are unions. Fr.	9)]] Proposition XXXVII .)

Instant.....ipa

IT.		
Therefore,	(Genera ≠ Species	Lemma 1, 2 above. (see Bergson, 1988,
and	Species IFF Genera)	Memory and Matter 1988
= - There are	Kinds and Degrees**	
^ N.B. : "S^" used above means "the literal		** The subject of the book I just realized I
variable ranging over all possible sets of all		need to write and will probably (Hume , 1748 ,
other sets and would thus be a		"Section vi. Of Probability" , AN ENQUIRY
metavariable^^ literally" and here is in		CONCERNING HUMAN
reference with the course text used for UGA's		UNDERSTANDING)
undergraduate course on symbolic logic (for		be the greatest two-name book simply
humanities)	The Logic Book: I aced the	because it will be the most correct book to
5th edition as taught by Dr . Rene Jagnow***		have ever been and possibly will ever possibly
		be written by (at least) Jacob Parr , June
		2023 : see below for excerpt

June 15, 2023 at 9:12 PM There is no question of Being for all things are . There is no question of Knowledge for all things are known to me as they are given to me by Experience. There is only the question of the presentation of things: this is the fundamental question of Phemenology: What is to be known about those things presented by Experience and What is the presentation of things given to me by Experience? These two questions are ultimately inseparable , as we find their answers are the basis of all that there is and known: Kinds and Degrees . see Porphyry , Isagoge see Downtown , Gaki no Tsukai Yarahende "Chiki!-Chiki!" (note: "Chiki!-Chiki!" is the sound of a bicycle bell as sounded by Osakans born circa 1960 and part of the long title of the referenced work)

...also...

post-'posth, is to posth, as post-nasal is to nasal;

nasal :: post-nasal posth. :: post-posth.