

UNIVERSITETI I PRISHTINËS
FAKULTETI I FILOLOGJISË

d h e

UNIVERSITETI I TIRANËS
FAKULTETI I HISTORISË – FILOLOGJISË

**SEMINARI NDËRKOMBËTAR
PËR GJUHËN, LETËRSINË
DHE KULTURËN SHQIPTARE**

Materialet e punimeve të Seminarit XXVIII Ndërkombëtar për
Gjuhën, Letërsinë dhe Kulturën Shqiptare
Prishtinë, 18 - 29 gusht 2009

PRISHTINË, 2009

Yamamoto, Kazuhiko, "An Alternative Analysis of An Introduction to Metaphysics by Heidegger in Comparison with the Ethical Structure of the Kanun," *Seminari Ndërkombëtar Për Gjuhën, Letersinë dhe Kulturën Shqiptare* 28/2: 77-90, 2009.

AN ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS OF AN INTRODUCTION TO
METAPHYSICS BY HEIDEGGER IN COMPARISON WITH THE
ETHICAL STRUCTURE OF THE KANUN

Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

Martin Heidegger (1889-1976) said that *An Introduction to Metaphysics* was based on the lecture he delivered at the University of Freiburg in Breisgau in the summer semester of 1935 (HEIDEGGER 1959: vi). While he made a discourse on the spatial aspect of humans' beings in *An Introduction to Metaphysics*, he discussed little on the temporal aspect of humans' beings, about which he discussed thoroughly in *Being and Time*. As if he realized that it was his mistake not to have fully discussed the spatial aspect of humans' being as a fundamental phenomenon in *Being and Time*, he told in *Letter on Humanism* that he tried to make "the turning (die Kehre)" from "Being and Time to "Time and Being" in the discourse on humans' being although he insisted that this turning was not a change of standing point from *Being and Time* (HEIDEGGER 1993: 217-265). When we notice that Heidegger have discussed almost exclusively about the spatial aspect of humans' being after the publication of *Being and Time* in 1927 until his death in 1976, this "turning" suggests that he made a sharp turn in the direction of his research to the exploration of the spatial aspect of humans' being. Heidegger himself told in "Author's Preface to the Seventh German Edition" of *Being and Time* that *Being and Time* which appeared in the spring of 1927 was designated as 'First Half,' suggesting that he planned to write 'Second Half,' which was never appeared, while he implies that *An Introduction to Metaphysics* may be designated as the 'Second Half,' indicating that *An Introduction to Metaphysics* is his most important work alongside *Being and Time*: the 'First Half' (HEIDEGGER 1962).

A Japanese scholar Watsuji Tetsuro (1889-1960) described that although he was profoundly impressed by Heidegger's discourse on humans' being upon reading *Being and Time* in Berlin during the early summer of 1927, he felt that Heidegger seemed not to have fully discussed the spatial aspect of humans' being in it (WATSUJI 1971: v-vii). Watsuji, who intuitively realized that humans' being had not only the temporal aspect as a fundamental phenomenon but the spatial aspect, launched his study for elucidating spatial and temporal aspects of humans' being in comparison with the ethical value system of the Japanese, with which he had familiarized since his childhood (WATSUJI 1996: 9-27). Previously I discussed that Watsuji's ethical concept was representative of the ethical value system which the ordinary Japanese people had cherished from late in the Edo period till the end of World War II, which consisted of filial piety to their ancestors and loyalty towards the Emperor along with their original idea of national polity (*kokutai*) (YAMAMOTO 2008: 352-382). *The Imperial Rescript on Education* issued in 1890 by the Emperor of Meiji played a crucial role to form the ethical value system of the ordinary Japanese people until the

end of World War II. *The Imperial Rescript on Education* assumes that Japan is a huge consanguine commune, presided over by the Emperor, who ascends the throne because of his blood relationship with the imperial ancestors. The imperial line is upheld by the continuation of imperial blood. As the imperial line originated in the divine obscurity of the Universe, loyalty to the Emperor is deemed an ethical act. The Japanese subjects belong to their own lineage with their ancestors. Since the ancestors of each lineage of all Japanese subjects are linked with the imperial ancestors, filial piety to parents is deemed equivalent to loyalty to the Emperor. *The Imperial Rescript on Education* ordains the subjects to seek to attain the continuity and growth of the consanguine commune through filial piety to the consanguine commune and loyalty to the Emperor. Watsuji's logic has a structure similar to *the Imperial Rescript on Education*. Watsuji insists that Japan is a huge home consisting of the homes of the Japanese subjects, that is, a huge consanguine commune. Each home have its own gods, which is related to the god of the Ise Shrine. The Emperor has divine authority because of his kinship with the god of the Ise Shrine. The home of homes have the obligation to continue to exist in the international community. If the home of the homes is to meet with difficulties, the passion and enthusiasm for action would be engendered among the Japanese, who are willing to devote themselves to battle for its defense. This ethical value system seems to constitute the subterranean waters which underlies the value system of ultra-nationalism in prewar Japan (YAMAMOTO 2008: 352-382).

The comparative study on the ethical concepts between the Kanun and ultra-nationalism in prewar Japan along with the study on the ethical value system of the ordinary Japanese such as Watsuji led me to find that the ethical value system of Kanun had three pillars: the concept of a consanguine commune, the concept of continuity and growth of the consanguine commune, and the concepts of filial piety and loyalty to the consanguine commune (YAMAMOTO 2008: 352-382). Upon reading *Being and Time* as well as *An Introduction to Metaphysics*, I noticed the structural semblance of the value system between Heidegger's discourses on humans' being, and the three pillars of the Kanun. Here, I try to present an alternative analysis of Heidegger's *An Introduction to Metaphysics*, as discussed within the perspective of the comparison with these three pillars.

Heidegger's Logic in *An Introduction to Metaphysics*

According to Heidegger, the essent of being was called *physis* in ancient Greek world (INTRODUCTION 13^{*}). However, when *physis* was translated as *natura* in the Latin, the original meaning of the Greek word was thrust aside, resulting in the destruction of its actual philosophical force. People were cut off and alienated from the original essence of Greek philosophy and the Roman translation was taken over by Christianity and the Christian Middle Age (INTRODUCTION 13). The

* 'INTRODUCTION 13' designates the page 13 of *An Introduction to Metaphysics* (Heidegger, Martin, *An Introduction to Metaphysics*, Yale University Press, 1959). All citations are the same.

transformation in the meaning of *physis* started when *physis* was separated from *logos* by Plato and Aristotle, making *logos* a court of justice over being (INTRODUCTION 179). Heidegger called this transformation as the end of Greek philosophy because it concealed the original meaning of *physis* (INTRODUCTION 179). He insists that originally *physis* means the power that emerges and the enduring realm under its sway (INTRODUCTION 14). *Physis* is the process of a-rising, of emerging from the hidden, whereby the hidden is first made to stand. It denotes self-blossoming emergence, opening up, unfolding, that which manifests itself in such unfolding and perseveres and endures in it (INTRODUCTION 14). In Heidegger's metaphysics, humans' being is nothing other than their being in this world as a share of *physis*. The realm of being as such and as a whole is *physis*, whose essence and character are defined as that which emerges and endures (INTRODUCTION 16).

In *An Introduction to Metaphysics*, Heidegger insists that humans' being has two factors: the temporal and the spatial. There was a time when man was not. At all times man was and is and will be, because time produces itself only insofar as man is. Being in the sense of already-thereness was the perspective for the determination of time, while time was not the perspective specially chosen for the interpretation of being (INTRODUCTION 206). Time is not eternity and time fashions itself into a time only as a human, historical being-there (INTRODUCTION 84). He said that he discussed in *Being and Time* that the temporal aspect of Dasein had a "transcendental horizon," as is defined in terms of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there (INTRODUCTION 18). As the emergence of the essent as an overcoming of nothingness, man should be being-there <Dasein>: "in every case mine," which means that being-there is allotted to him or her in order that his or her self should be being-there. The ecstatically manifested being of the essent should be "cared" by him or her who is aware of such being (INTRODUCTION 28). This care leads them to make efforts to guard their historical being-there, which includes their own future being-there in the totality of the history allotted to man (INTRODUCTION 41). History as happening is an acting and being acted upon which pass through the *present*, which are determined from out of the future, and which take over the past (INTRODUCTION 44). What is metaphysically historical opens up the process of human being-there in its essential relations (INTRODUCTION 44). If man is not aware of being as the ecstatically manifested being of the essent and does not take care of it, when the farthest corner of the globe is conquered by technology and opened to economic exploitation, time would cease to be anything other than velocity, instantaneousness, and simultaneity, and time as history would vanish from the lives of all peoples, resulting in the darkening of the world, the flight of the gods, the destruction of the earth, the transformation of men into a mass, the hatred and suspicion of everything free and creative (INTRODUCTION 37-38). Thus, according to Heidegger one pillar of humans' being is 'time' as defined the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there, which is metaphysically historical.

Heidegger's next step is to elucidate the spatial aspect of the essent of being. In order to achieve it, he tried to explore the primordial meaning of the Greek words

such as *peras*, *to telos*, *entelecheia*, *morphe*, *eidos* or *idea* and *ousia* or *parousia* because he believes that the question of historical being-there involves us deeply into the question of language (INTRODUCTION 51, 59-60). Heidegger says that these words would reveal what the Greeks understood by being, which may be outlined with the expression such as erect standing-there, coming up and enduring (INTRODUCTION 59-60). These words are deemed to speak for the spatial aspect of what the Greeks understood by being. Heidegger think that *Peras* and *to telos* mean the limit of and the end of space, respectively, wherein is held the essent of being, which governs itself from out of the limit, wherein the enduring holds itself (INTRODUCTION 60). Aristotle's word for being, *entelcheia* is construed to mean the holding (preserving)-itself-in-the-ending (limit). That which places itself in its limit, completing it, and so stands has form, *morphe*, which must appear. The appearance of a thing was called *eidos* or *idea* in ancient Greek world. *Ousia* or *parousia* means <presence>, which designates an estate or homestead, standing in itself or self-enclosed. These words connote metaphysically that the ancient Greeks experienced being as *physis*, the emerging and arising, and the spontaneous unfolding that lingers (INTRODUCTION 61). *Physis*, the power first issues from concealment, which is unconcealment (*aletheia*) when the power accomplishes itself as a world (INTRODUCTION 61). In the process of unconcealment, conflict (*polemos*) occurs, which causes the realm of being to separate into opposites, giving rise to position and order and rank (INTRODUCTION 62). However, as this conflict rather constitutes unity than split or destroy unity, it is a binding-together (*logos*). In this meaning *polemos* and *logos* are the same (INTRODUCTION 62). The essent of being, *physis* has not just dropped on us accidentally from somewhere but had dominated our historical being-there since antiquity (INTRODUCTION 92).

Heidegger thinks that the "being" uttered in "is" has diverse meanings such as really present, permanently there, takes place, come from, belongs to, is made of, stays, succumbs to, stands for, has entered upon, has appeared (INTRODUCTION 91). He categorized these meanings into four: being and becoming, being and appearance, being and thinking, being and the ought (INTRODUCTION 93) and tried to achieve the unity between being (*physis*) and those because the separation of those from being is considered to have concealed the essent of being, transforming the true meaning of those. He seems to believe that he can clarify the essent of being through unearthing the original meanings of those, which would restore them to their own truth. Thus, the original unity between being and becoming, between being and appearance, between being and thinking and between being and the ought will be achieved, which would enable the essent of being to reveal itself. As a way to detect their original meanings, he resorts to examining the ancient Greek literature such as Parmenides' poem, Heraclitus' saying and the Antigone of Sophocles, which would lead him to penetrating into the origin of the words, which are supposed to retain the unity with being in their original meanings.

Heidegger attempts to achieve the unity between being and becoming by examining the original meaning of Parmenides' poem. According to him, the essence

of being was called *physis* among ancient Greeks: self-blossoming emergence, opening up, unfolding, that which manifests itself in such unfolding and perseveres and endures in it (INTRODUCTION 14). *Physis*, the power first issues from concealment, which is unconcealment (*aletheia*) when the power accomplishes itself as a world (INTRODUCTION 61). In opposition to the ordinary view that what is situated in becoming is no longer nothing and it is not yet that which it is destined to become, i.e. "no longer and not yet," he insists that becoming is an appearance of being and that therefore becoming as "emerging" belongs to *physis* (INTRODUCTION 97). If becoming is taken in the Greek sense, it as coming-into-presence and going-out of it is being as emerging, appearing presence (INTRODUCTION 114-115). It is customary to say that Western philosophy began from the opposition of Heraclitus' doctrine of becoming to Parmenides' doctrine of being. When becoming as "emerging" is understood to belong to *physis*, it becomes clear that Heraclitus and Parmenides said the same thing (INTRODUCTION 97).

According to Heidegger, being disclosed itself to the Greeks as *physis*, which is the realm of emerging and abiding, and at the same time a shining appearing (INTRODUCTION 100-101). In a sense, being means appearing, which is the essence of being (INTRODUCTION 101). Appearing, which makes manifest, is the power that emerges, which cause to emerge from concealment (unconcealment). The essence of being is to come to light, to appear on the scene, to take one's its place and to produce something, while nonbeing is to withdraw from appearing, from presence (INTRODUCTION 102). For the Greeks, the mode of the highest being is glory, which means to show oneself, appear, to enter into the light (INTRODUCTION 103). This appearance is historical, and history is discovered and grounded in poetry and myth (INTRODUCTION 105). Man is perpetually compelled to wrest being from appearance and preserve it against appearance. In the enduring struggle (*polemos*) between being and appearance, the essent such as the gods and the state, the temples and the tragedy are carried in permanence and unconcealment (INTRODUCTION 105). Man who holds to being as it opens round him is to take over being-there in the radiance of being, bring being to stand, endure it in appearance and against appearance. He must wrest both appearance and being from the abyss of nonbeing (INTRODUCTION 110). The Sophists and Plato declared that appearance was mere appearance and thus degraded. At the same time being, as *idea*, was exalted to a suprasensory realm, and a chasm between the merely apparent essent below and real being somewhere on high was created. It led to the interpretation that the lower was created and the higher the creator, which turned against antiquity (as paganism) and so disfigured it (INTRODUCTION 106).

Next Heidegger explores the original unity between *physis* and *logos*. He insists that when *logos* was separated from *physis*, the original meaning of *logos* was lost and a distinct *logos*, *logos* in the sense of reason and understanding, appeared on the scene (INTRODUCTION 123). Noticing that *legein* (speak) had originally meant "to gather," he found that *logos* retained an original meaning in the sense of "relation of the one to the other," leading to the meaning of *logos* as "collection" or "to collect

(INTRODUCTION 125).” Through interpreting Heraclitus’ fragments, Heidegger concludes that *logos* when united with being means “permanent gathering (INTRODUCTION 128).” *Logos* is the steady gathering, the intrinsic togetherness of the essent. i.e. being. In this context, *physis* and *logos* are the same (INTRODUCTION 130-131). Because *physis* as *logos* is basic gathering, rank and domination are implicit in *physis*. If *physis* is to disclose itself, it must itself have and maintain a rank (INTRODUCTION 133). Gathering is not a mere driving-together or healing-up but it maintains in a common bond the conflicting and that which tends apart. In maintaining a bond, the *logos* has the character of permeating power, of *physis* (INTRODUCTION 134). Language is considered *logos*, collection because the essence of language is found in the act of gathering within the togetherness of being, language as everyday speech comes to its truth only when speaking and hearing are oriented toward *logos* as collectedness in the sense of being (INTRODUCTION 172-173). Word preserves what was originally collected and so administers the overpowering power. *Logos* intrinsically requires violence to ward off mouting and dispersion (INTRODUCTION 172). Through violence, through acts of power, the overpowering is made manifest and made to stand (INTRODUCTION 171). Standing and active in *logos*, which is ingathering, man is the gatherer (INTRODUCTION 172). To be a man means to take gathering upon oneself, to undertake a gathering apprehension of the being of the essent, the sapient incorporation of appearing in the work, so to administer unconcealment, to preserve it against cloaking and concealment (INTRODUCTION 174). Where being prevails, apprehension prevails and happens with it. Apprehension, the receptive bringing-to-stand of the intrinsically permanent which manifests itself, ensures man to be a particular mode of being amid the essent as a whole because the particularity of being-human will grow from the particularity of its belonging to being as dominant appearing (INTRODUCTION 139). Apprehension is rather a process in which man first enters into a historical being (INTRODUCTION 141). When man knows appearance as historical being through apprehension, he is defined as the rational animal (INTRODUCTION 141-142). Man is a self only as historical being. Man’s selfhood means that he transforms the being that disclose itself to him into history and bring himself to stand in it. Selfhood does not mean that he is primarily an “ego” and an individual but no more than he is a we, a community (INTRODUCTION 143-144).

In the process of analyzing the first chorus of Sophocles’ *Antigone*, Heidegger explores the essence of man. He focuses on one word *deinotaton* in the chorus of *Antigone*, which means the strangest. According to *Antigone*, man is *to deinotaton*, the strangest of the strange (INTRODUCTION 149). To be the strangest of all is the basic trait of the human essence, within which all other traits must find their place (INTRODUCTION 151). *Deinon* means the powerful in the sense of one who uses power, who not only disposes of power <Gewalt> but violent <gewalt-tätig> insofar as the use of power is the basic trait not only of his action but also of his being-there (INTRODUCTION 149-150). Where the power irrupts, it can hold its overpowering power in check. Man is the violent one, not aside from and along with

other attributes but solely in the sense that in his fundamental violence he uses power against the overpowering (INTRODUCTION 150). The violent one, the wielder of power is he who breaks out and breaks up, he who captures and subjugates (INTRODUCTION 157). Time and time again the violent ones must shrink back in fear from the use of force and yet they are unable to forego it (INTRODUCTION 176). All violence shatters against one thing, death, which is an end beyond all consummation, a limit beyond all limits. Here there is no breaking-out or breaking-up, no capture or subjugation. When man comes to die, he is without issue in the face of death. Insofar as man is, he stands in the issuelessness of death (INTRODUCTION 158).

The violent wrests being from concealment into the manifest as the essent with the aid of *techne*, i.e. the initial and persistent looking out beyond what is given at any time. At the same time, the violent is to be manifested in *dike* (Fug), which is the directive which the overpowering imposes on its reign, the governing structure which compels adaptation and compliance. The violent confront one another. In this confrontation *techne* burst forth against *dike*, which in turn, as Fug, the commanding order disposes of all *techne*. The reciprocal confrontation is insofar as the strangest thing of all, being-human, is actualized, insofar as man is present as history (INTRODUCTION 160-161). Every violent curbing of the powerful is either victory or defeat. Both, each in its different way, fling him out of home, and thus, each in its different way, unfold the dangerousness of achieved or lost being. Both, in different ways, are menaced by disaster (INTRODUCTION 161). Man is forced into such a being-there, hurled into the affliction of such being, because the overpowering, in order to appear in its power, requires a place, a scene of disclosure (INTRODUCTION 162-163). The strangest (man) is what it is because, fundamentally, it cultivates and guards the familiar, in order to break out of it and let what overpowers it break in. The violent knows no kindness and conciliation. He cannot be mollified or appeased by success or prestige. To him disaster, the downfall into the issueless and placeless, is the deepest and broadest affirmation of the overpowering. In the shattering of the wrought work, in the knowledge that it is mischief and dunghill, he leaves the overpowering to its order (Fug) (INTRODUCTION 163). The essent of being disclosed itself only insofar as the structure <Fug> is guarded and preserved. *Dike* is the key to the essent in its structure (INTRODUCTION 166).

Physis as emerging power is an appearing, i.e. that which gathers itself, which brings-itself-to-stand in its togetherness and so stands. That which, already standing-there, presents a front, a surface, offers an appearance to be looked at. Appearing in the sense as bringing-itself-to-stand in togetherness involves space, which it first conquers. As it stands there, it creates space for itself, produces space and everything pertaining to it (INTRODUCTION 182-183). Appearing in the first sense opens up space, and in the second sense merely circumscribes and measures the space that has already been opened (INTRODUCTION 183). Opening space is emerging-into-unconcealment. Apprehension and gathering govern the opening up of unconcealment for the essent, the space being created for the appearing of the essent.

Unconcealment is to preserve its full momentum by repeating, drawing once again more deeply than ever from its source, leading to *ousia*, being in the sense of permanent presence, already-thereness (INTRODUCTION 191, 193). In order to be permanently present, we must go back to in comprehending and producing: the model, the *idea* as well as we must go back to in all *logos*, statement. It is what lies-before (INTRODUCTION 193). *Physis*, the power that emerges and discloses discloses itself as *idea* (INTRODUCTION 197).

In the final stage of his inquiry, Heidegger explores the unity between being and the ought. The issue of the unity between being and the ought is foreshadowed by the designation of the *on* <the essent, that which is> as *agathon* <the good> (INTRODUCTION 95). Plato says that the supreme idea was the idea of the good (*idea tou agathou*). Heidegger interprets that the “good” does not mean the morally proper but that, which accomplishes and can accomplish what is appropriate. The *agathon* is the standard as such, what first endows being with power to become a prototype (INTRODUCTION 196). Being itself, interpreted as *idea*, brings with it a relation to the prototypical, the exemplary, the ought (INTRODUCTION 197). When the ought <Sollen> is exalted as value, the value as the ought is in need of bolstering up. To this end, being is attributed to the values themselves. And this being means neither more nor less than the presence of something already-there. Thus, history came to be regarded as a realization of values (INTRODUCTION 198). In the final conclusion, Heidegger indicates that man should be understood, within the question of being, as the site which being requires in order to disclose itself. Man is the site of openness, the there. The essent juts into this there and is fulfilled. The perspective for opening of being must be grounded originally in the essence of being-there as such a site for the disclosure of being (INTRODUCTION 205).

The Kanun and the Three Pillars of its Ethical Value System

The ethical structure of the Kanun is a cultural apparatus which represents ethics and social order in a society without state power (YAMAMOTO 2008: 230-259). Its fundamental concept is blood. In a society without state power, the blood relationship is the most important tie which makes it possible for the people to group together intimately. At the same time, the act of revenge which the offended party carries out on the offending party is the ultimate force of justice, enabling society to keep peace and social order (YAMAMOTO 2008: 230-259). A society without state power is not one consisting of individuals, but one consisting of consanguine groups, which are the transcendental commune comprising the past, the present and the future, i.e. the dead, the living and the offspring. Those living in a consanguine commune must maintain their honor in the society and worship their ancestors. The living are under the obligation to guard the existence of their consanguine commune in the society and to secure its growth.

The disciplinary force that preserves social order in a society without state power is the violence of revenge (YAMAMOTO 2008: 230-259). The ethical structure of the Kanun incorporates the urge to violence into the ethical value system, converting the violence of revenge into a sacred force, supposedly wielded by the gods

(YAMAMOTO 2008: 230-259). The act of revenge is closely linked to the fear that their own existence could be jeopardized if the offense is not neutralized properly. The disappearance of the consanguine commune is tantamount to the destruction of the divine order of the Universe for the people in a society without state power. When one generation dies, the next generation inherits the commune. This succession is deemed to be the continuation of blood, which symbolically represents the repetition of the primordial events of the lineage. The exorbitant happiness, enormous honor or deep trauma which one generation has experienced is purified and sublimated into myth, epic and narrative, and then is orally transmitted to the following generations. The experience transmitted beyond generations through myth, epic and narrative is the resource which makes up and perpetuates the identity of the commune. A consanguine commune sustained by the continuation of blood is not the commune of the historical world, but of the mythological one. In this regard, a society without state power is full of the symbolism of the mythological world (YAMAMOTO 2008: 352-382). Thus, we can find in the ethical structure of the Kanun the three pillars: the concept of a consanguine commune, the concept of continuity and growth of the consanguine commune, and the concept of filial piety and loyalty to the consanguine commune.

Comparative Analysis of Heidegger's Logic with the Kanun

The Concept of the Consanguine Commune

According to Heidegger, humans' being has two attributes: the temporal and the spatial. In terms of time the basic attribute of *physis*, i.e. the power that emerges and the enduring realm under its sway is the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there. *Physis* manifests itself from the hidden, perseveres and endures. *Physis* has not just dropped on us accidentally from somewhere but had dominated our historical being-there since antiquity. The existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there is historical on account that language that speaks myths or poetry (*logos*) of a we, a community enables humans to gather and maintain a bond, leading to their permanent gathering. History as happening is an acting and being upon which pass through the present, which are determined from out of future, and which takes over the past. The realm under the sway of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there has a shining appearance. The existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there is secured by the "care" which man who is aware of his history takes of.

When this logic is dissected in terms of the ethical structure of the Kanun, the following structure is developed. It can be safely assumed that there was no intimate human bond other than blood relationship in ancient times. Heidegger acknowledged that he was discussing the primordial humans' being (LETTER 247^{*}). If we apply these understandings to our research on Heidegger's logic, it can be said that the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there means no more or less

^{*} 'LETTER 247' designates the page 247 of *Letter on Humanism* (Heidegger, Martin, "Letter on Humanism," Martin Heidegger Basic Writings, Routledge, pp. 217-265, 1993). All citations are the same.

than an honorable, enduring existence of a consanguine commune in the world. The history as the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there has features of the present, the future and the past, which seem to be in parallel with the concept of a consanguine commune which comprises the dead, the living and the offspring found in the ethical structure of the Kanun. The consanguine commune, who has its own history, which is made possible to manifest by *logos* is to be preserved by the care which man of the commune takes of. Thus, Heidegger's logic has a feature, which is apparently similar to the concept of a consanguine commune found in the ethical structure of the Kanun.

The Concept of Continuity and Growth of the Consanguine Commune

Heidegger insists that *physis*, i.e. the power that emerges and unfolds has to endure, keeping a shining appearance. This being is no more or less than the presence of already-there (the past). Appearing in the sense as bringing-itself-to-stand in togetherness involves space, which it first conquers. Appearing means opening up space, in which the power keeps an enduring appearing. Apprehension and gathering govern the opening up of unconcealment, i.e. the space being created for the appearance. Unconcealment is to preserve its full momentum by repeating, drawing once again more deeply than ever from its source, leading to the *ousia*, a permanent presence, already-thereness. In this context, *ousia*, a permanent presence may be construed to represent the temporal and spatial existence of a consanguine commune in the world, which is to be preserved by the care of man in the commune. Being interpreted as *idea* brings with it a relation to the prototypical, the exemplary, the ought. Man of the consanguine commune is expected to circumscribe and measure the space that has already been opened. It is the "good" and appropriate for man to make it possible for what first endows being with power to become a prototype, i.e. for him to make every effort to keep the temporal as well as spatial presence of the consanguine commune in the world. Thus, it is apparent that Heidegger's logic has a feature, which is in parallel with the concept of continuity and growth of the consanguine commune found in the ethical structure of the Kanun.

The Concept of Filial piety and Loyalty to the Consanguine Commune

In the process of unconcealment, i.e. the power manifesting itself and enduring in the realm under its sway, conflict occurs, which causes the realm of being to separate into opposites, giving rise to position and order and rank. Man is the strangest of the strange: one who uses power, who not only disposes of power but is violent on account that the use of power is the basic trait not only of his action but of his being-there. He is the violent one, the wielder of power, who breaks out and breaks up, who captures and subjugates. The violent wrests being from concealment into manifest through the use of power. The violent confront one another. The reciprocal confrontation is actualized on account that man is present as history. At the same time, this conflict rather constitutes unity than split or destroy unity because through violence, through acts of power, the overpowering is made manifest and made to stand, creating the

commanding order (*dike* or *Fug*). The overpowering imposes the directive on its reign, the governing structure which compels adaptation and compliance. Thus, power of the violent is curved, resulting in either their victory or defeat. The commanding order maintains the conflicting and that which tends apart in a common bond.

When we see Heidegger's discourse from the viewpoint of the ethical structure of the *Kanun*, it becomes apparent that it comprises the concept similar to the concept of filial pity and loyalty to the consanguine commune. Heidegger insists that the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there, i.e. humans' historical being as a we or a community must appear in the world and endure there. The world where the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there is present is full of violence, confronting one another because violence is the basic trait of man, which is linked with being of man. In order to secure being-there, man must struggle to open and maintain space and the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there in the world, which is under the sway of the customary code. In the process of securing temporal and spatial being in the world, they must remain both filial and loyal to the already standing-there. When it is in danger, they must defend its appearance and secure its endurance through violence if necessary. In this contest, to be filial and loyal to the prototypical, the exemplary is deemed to be the ought. Thus, we can find in Heidegger's discourse the concept similar to the concept of filial piety and loyalty to the consanguine commune found in the ethical structure of the *Kanun*.

Discussion

One of the issues, which should be solved first when analyzing Heidegger's logic is what Heidegger really means by the words such as temporality and time. A clue to solve it may be the "primordial" mode of temporality. Heidegger says that he explores the meaning of Being determined primordially in terms of time called "*Temporal*" determinateness (BEING AND TIME 40*), as ontological inquiry should be primordial (BEING AND TIME 31). He thinks that time needs to be explicated primordially as the horizon for the understanding of Being (BEING AND TIME 39). When we try to explicate time primordially, we must go into remote antiquity when humans' perception of time was quite different from that in modern ages. It may be safely assumed that there was no principal bond other than blood relationship in ancient times which could unite people and categorize people into distinct groups, while there was no political power which could unite people beyond the blood relationship. It should be also surmised that in ancient times, time was perceived longitudinally in terms of the continuous presence of a consanguine commune with endless repetition of blood (generations), i.e. the past was understood as the times of ancestors and the future as the times of offspring (those yet to be born). Heidegger himself indicates that one's own past, which always means the past of one's

* 'BEING AND TIME 40' designates the page 40 of *Being and Time* (Heidegger, Martin, *Being and Time*, Blackwell, 1962). All citations are the same.

'generation' is not something which follows along after Dasein, but something which already goes ahead of it (BEING AND TIME 41) and that time, in the sense of 'being in time,' functions as a criterion for distinguishing realms of Being (BEING AND TIME 39). These discourses when combined would lead us to the concept that Being determined primordially in terms of time is the temporal, enduring existence of a consanguine commune, which is supposed to have originated in the divine obscurity of the Universe (Nature).

If it is possible to explicate that Heidegger's "the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there" is the concept similar to the concept of a consanguine commune on account of our earlier discourses, his logic which sometimes looks cryptic (SCHMIDT 2001: 225-270) becomes much easier for us to realize what he really meant in his sayings. It is clear that there is no "world" (Being or *physis*) in which humans achieve being without everything spatial and all space-time (LETTER 237). Language makes it possible for everything spatial and all space-time to manifest, therefore called the house of Being (LETTER 217). Because plants and animals are lodged in their respective environments but are never placed freely in the clearing of Being, i.e. "world," they lack language (LETTER 230). We may say that when humans acquired the language "blood" for the first time, they really began to discern the existential-ecstatic temporality of being-there, which opened "world" to humans, thereby becoming true humans. The thought of holiness appears along with the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there, and from the thought of holiness, the thought of divinity emerged, resulting in the appearance of the word "god (LETTER 253)." The familiar abode for man, the "ecstatic dwelling" of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there is the open region for the presencing of god (LETTER 258). Man as a spiritual-ensouled-bodily being (LETTER 233) who is called from the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there into its preservation and endurance is the shepherd who should take care of it. Man who is thrown in the "ecstatic projection" of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there must guard the ecstatic dwelling through action if necessary (LETTER 241, 246). In this context, whole "world" is deemed to be the aggregate of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there, which may either confront one another or reconcile. At the same time, this world is permeated with *Nomos*, the assignment contained in the dispensation of ecstatic dwelling for the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there. *Nomos* is not merely something fabricated by human reason but the directives which is capable of dispatching man into the ecstatic dwelling of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there (LETTER 262). *Ethos* means abode, dwelling place in a Greek way. This abode, the open region in which man dwell allows what pertains to man's essence, what in arriving resides in nearness to him, to appear (LETTER 256). Into this ecstatic dwelling of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there, gods sometimes come to presence as guests (LETTER 256-258). "Ethics," which is related to the word, *ethos* ponders the abode of man (LETTER 258). Only out of such dispatching man into the ecstatic dwelling of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there,

supporting and obligation were engendered, thereby the original ethics was born (LETTER 262). The original ethics was deemed to be one for assigning and guarding the ecstatic dwelling of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there. This ethics would ordain that the act of guarding, defending and securing the ecstatic dwelling of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there is ethical, while the act of damaging, spoiling and wrecking it is unethical. In other words, it is "humanism" that man makes utmost efforts to guard and secure the ecstatic dwelling of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there, i.e. the continuity and growth of a consanguine commune in the "world." When the ecstatic dwelling of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there in the "world" is guarded and secured through confrontation and reconciliation, "healing" is to be achieved. If it is not secured, the raging appeared, nihilating other ecstatic dwellings of the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there, because Being (world or *physis*) itself is what is contested (LETTER 260-261). Being is not to be thought in the sense of absolute actuality as unconditioned will that wills itself and does so as the will of knowledge and of love. It has a will to power. Dasein nihilates a human inasmuch as it belongs to the essence of Being. Being nihilates-as Being (LETTER 261). Healing would resurge when the existential-ecstatic temporality of human being-there is granted an ascent into grace, while raging would be compelled to malignancy when it is not.

Heidegger's logic in "*An Introduction to Metaphysics*" has been analyzed from the viewpoint of comparing it with the three pillars found in the ethical structure of the Kanun: the concept of a consanguine commune, the concept of continuity and growth of the consanguine commune and the concept of filial piety and loyalty to the consanguine commune. Our discourse on Heidegger's logic indicates that his seemingly cryptic discourse on humans' being has a structure similar to the three pillars. Previously I referred to the mythological narrative of ultra-nationalism in prewar Japan as follows (YAMAMOTO 2008: 352-382).

The Consanguine Commune of the Japanese: Japan is the consanguine commune presided over by the Emperor. He is qualified to be the sovereign because he inherits the blood of the ancestors who grew out of the divine obscurity of the Universe. The Japanese belong to their consanguine commune, which has its own ancestors. If the Japanese ascend their family tree, their blood would join the blood of the imperial line. The Emperor accepts his ancestor as a guest-god at the Great Food Offering Ritual upon ascending the throne. He offers the guest-god food and hospitality. The guest-god utters blessings in return for the hospitality, which ensures the prosperity of the Emperor's bloodline. The Emperor presides over the consanguine commune of the Japanese as the supreme priest with divine power.

The Continuity and Growth of the Consanguine Commune: Japan is an ethical commune because it is a consanguine commune which originated from the divine obscurity of the Universe. At the same time, it is the transcendental commune which comprises the dead, the living, and those yet to be born. The ethical and transcendental commune, originating from and comprising the divine world, has the

obligation to continue to exist in the world forever. The extinction of this consanguine commune is the highest violation of the divine law, tantamount to the denial of the ethics and order of the Universe.

Filial Piety and Loyalty: When the consanguine commune of the Japanese is in peril, its members are to stand up for its defense. If the consanguine commune has insoluble conflicts with another commune, it could thus annihilate the obstructing commune in order to secure its existence. Any act defending or improving the consanguine commune is ethical, while any act damaging or negating it is unethical. Its members swear an oath that they would remain loyal to the commune. If any member breaks the oath, he is to be expelled from the commune. The soul of the member who remains loyal and dies in battle for the commune could go to Heaven where it would mingle with the dead. The dead of the consanguine commune of the Japanese who dies in wars are worshiped as gods, and are soothed by the rituals performed by the supreme priest of the commune: the Emperor.

When we posit Heidegger's logic alongside the mythological narrative of ultra-nationalism in prewar Japan, we cannot but notice their structural similarities, suggesting that Heidegger told the three pillars in *Being and Time*, *An Introduction of Metaphysics* and other works, in another, his own language, which look sometimes cryptic and enigmatic. When we analyze his discourse with the ethical structure of the Kanun in mind, a fine vase of Heidegger's logic will come into our view. He seems to have broken a fine vase of his logic into pieces and assigned them in a seemingly randomized, cryptic manner. Without the clue of the ethical structure of the Kanun, it seems difficult to put the pieces together into the original form of vase, which then becomes seeable for appreciating its beauty. The fact that the ethical structure of the Kanun enables us to piece together the fragments into a fine vase even if it may look peculiar is one of the proofs, which would make our discourse plausible.

References

- Heidegger, Martin, *An Introduction to Metaphysics*, Yale University Press, 1959.
- Heidegger, Martin, *Being and Time*, Blackwell, 1962.
- Heidegger, Martin, "Letter on Humanism," *Martin Heidegger Basic Writings*, Routledge, pp. 217-265, 1993.
- Schmidt, Dennis, "Heidegger," *On Germans and Other Greeks*, Indiana University Press, pp. 225-270, 2001
- Watsuji, Tetsuro, *Climate and Culture*, The Hokuseido Press, 1961.
- Watsuji, Tetsuro, *Ethics in Japan*, State University of New York Press, 1996.
- Yamamoto, Kazuhiko, *The Ethical Structure of the Albanian Customary Law (Struktura Etike e të Drejtës Zakonore Shqiptare)*, Botimet "Almera," 2008.